



final report

Project code: L.EQT.1620

Prepared by: Dr Rod Polkinghorne
Polkinghorne Pty Ltd

Date published: 15/09/2019

PUBLISHED BY
Meat and Livestock Australia Limited
Locked Bag 1961
NORTH SYDNEY NSW 2059

Product Collection for Future MSA Eating Quality Research

Meat & Livestock Australia acknowledges the matching funds provided by the Australian Government to support the research and development detailed in this publication.

This publication is published by Meat & Livestock Australia Limited ABN 39 081 678 364 (MLA). Care is taken to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this publication. However MLA cannot accept responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the information or opinions contained in the publication. You should make your own enquiries before making decisions concerning your interests. Reproduction in whole or in part of this publication is prohibited without prior written consent of MLA.

Executive summary

MSA consumer sensory testing and the resulting ability to predict consumer satisfaction for individual beef meal portions has been a major driver of industry change. This change being to a consumer focus with measurable revenue improvement to all sectors through the delivery of superior value.

Accurate prediction however, can only be built on substantial data and as MSA has expanded to support branding of a greater number of cuts to new markets, requests have grown for MSA prediction of further muscles or cuts coupled with alternative cooking methods. This research project provides a major pillar from which to deliver expanded outcomes and improved prediction across the carcass in a new MSA model.

To avoid the risk of confounding new cut x cook results with cattle type or environmental effects it is important that any testing be spread across a sufficiently diverse range of cattle. Further benefit is gained by testing a large array of muscles and treatments “within animal” as any environmental or genetic effect is common to all samples removing prediction variation.

The project objective was to collect a large number of samples from each carcass over a number of diverse cattle types and sources. The utilisation of progeny from three Beef Information Nucleus (BIN) programs provided an ideal base for the research. Eighteen head, in turn subdivided into three subgroups of 6 head with differing criteria, were utilised from each of the Northern BIN (Brahman, Droughtmaster and Santa Gertrudis), the Hereford crossbred BIN (black baldy Hereford over Angus, Angus over black baldy) and an Angus BIN (Low and High growth and myostatin). The Hereford BIN were grass fed in Tasmania whereas the Northern BIN were 100 day grainfed in Queensland and the Angus BIN 168 days on feed in NSW. The project was designed to sample cuts across a diverse cattle range with known genomic information: it was not designed to compare breeds.

Cuts were collected from both sides of the 54 head, all MSA compliant, selected from much larger groups. The cuts were processed down to individual muscles from 55 to 64 muscles collected from each carcass resulting in sampling of 67 different muscles, 26 of which had no prior MSA testing. Many of these were selected to enable MSA grading of the majority of product codes listed in the Handbook of Australian Meat. Fabrication of the muscles produced 7,261 consumer samples each to be evaluated by 10 consumers.

Further data value was delivered by the use of 8 alternative cooking methods, including sous-vide and osso bucco, to compare to the existing slow cook protocol, moist heat roasting relative to the standard dry roasting protocol and comparison of chuck and short rib cuts cooked on the bone to the component muscles from the other carcass side cooked boneless. In addition, the new samples provided current data for cuts that had either very low existing data or which had not been tested for many years. An ageing comparison was made to strengthen or establish estimates for cuts and to evaluate potential cooking interaction with ageing.

The consumer test results combined with the extensive data available through BIN records, MSA grading inputs and fabrication of the extensive muscle range and cooking methods have been a major source of data addition to the AUSBlue database and provided critical input to the development of a new generation of MSA prediction model.

This is expected to deliver increased value to the Australian beef industry through superior consumer prediction and an increased range of alternative muscle and cook alternatives that can be adopted to meet specific branding and market requirements.

Table of contents

1	Background	4
2	Project objectives	5
3	Methodology	5
3.1	Collection Planning	5
3.1.1	Grading and Cut collection.....	9
3.1.2	MSA Sample preparation	15
3.1.3	Pick Design	23
3.1.4	Picking & Posting.....	23
4	Results.....	24
5	Discussion.....	25
6	Conclusions/recommendations	25
7	Key messages	25
7.1	Bibliography	26

1 Background

The increased adoption of MSA grading across all Australian beef industry segments has assisted in building industry returns through a focus on consumer evaluation, providing improved value indication. As demand for MSA based brands has expanded, together with higher pricing, there has been increased interest in marketing further cuts as MSA graded together with evaluation of alternative cooking methods that are either relevant to specific markets or which could lead to improved eating quality for various cuts.

A partial driver to this has been the desire to apply an MSA standard to the full range of muscle codes in the Handbook of Australian Meat (HAM) (Anon.2005). In many cases the HAM definitions cover cut codes that encompass more than one muscle. Under MSA practice the multiple muscles can be graded and marketed with the grade assigned to the lowest MQ4 score muscle within the cut. At project inception there were a substantial number of muscles which had not been MSA evaluated and which were consequently ineligible for grading. This created difficulties where processors were promoting their MSA based brands but couldn't utilise the brands on some items sold in domestic and export markets.

Further cuts were previously evaluated only under a single cooking method which predicted a sensory outcome believed to be worse than that possible with alternative cooking techniques. There were also legitimate and important questions relating to a number of bone-in cuts and the potential difference to evaluation when cooked "off the bone".

In addition, a number of cooking methods; including Slow Cook and Stir Fry, had not been utilised in MSA testing for greater than 10 years. This led to questions as to their currency with consumers and further, numbers tested within some cooking methods and muscle combinations were low, restricted to particular cattle types and/or had little to no ageing data from which to build prediction.

MSA is delivered by applying a predicted cook outcome for a nominated cut x cook combination which will further vary according to the source animal characteristics and treatments. Typical variations relate to animal type, Hormonal Growth Promotant (HGP) use, production background and/or age. The prediction models require extensive data gathered under controlled conditions to be robust. This robustness is increased when a wide range of muscles and muscle x cook combinations are drawn from a single carcass, or common group of carcasses, as the "within animal" control reduces the potential confounding between animal or environmental impacts.

The acceleration of genomic application also makes cattle, with known genetic makeup and raised within common environments, highly desirable as a research resource. The project was designed to collect an extensive range of cuts from three Beef Improvement Nucleus (BIN) projects to provide three distinct cattle populations, representing breed differences. Multiple cooking styles, boneless and bone-in cooking and a range of cut ageing was planned to derive maximum value from the project through consumer testing of an extreme range of cattle with extensive animal background data.

A clear understanding of within animal cut differences across various contrasting cattle populations provided a strong base from which to examine relationships and incorporate in new prediction models.

2 Project objectives

The project objectives were listed as “facilitate the collection, cut up and picking and posting of product” from 3 separate kills.

Results will aim to:

- Improve model accuracy on cuts with limited information
- Expand the MSA model with new cut x cook combinations within the existing cook methods
- Create new cut x cook methods with novel cook methods
- Test existing cook methods, which may not have been tested recently, to see whether consumer sensory preferences have changed

3 Methodology

3.1 Collection Planning

The total project plan encompassed three separate BIN sources: Northern, Hereford (Black Baldy) and Angus BIN groups. To maximise the sample interconnection across the project and remain within budget it was decided to restrict each BIN group to 18 animals, with essentially all cuts collected from both sides of each to in turn provide the maximum possible comparison of cook type and ageing within muscle with sufficient replication across the total 54 head.

To further control range within the groups, 6 head were to be selected from each of 3 subsets within each of the BIN groups.

Within the Northern BIN 6 x Brahman, 6 x Santa Gertrudis and 6 x Droughtmaster carcasses were to be selected based on their northern short fed production system. The Hereford BIN was located in Tasmania and designed to assess common Hereford commercial crosses with Hereford sires over Angus cows, first cross Hereford x Angus cows and pure Angus progeny sub populations from a southern grass fed production system. Six head were to be selected from each. The Angus BIN included high and low feedlot growth plus myostatin gene subsets, again with 6 head to be selected from each.

Original planning was based on a reference table, utilised in previous MSA research, to assign MSA muscle codes to muscles within cuts. The table, originally assembled by Alan Gee of Cosign Pty Ltd, combined the HAM Alphabetical List of Muscle Names with yield data published by Butterfield and May (1966) and other sources.

Portions of many muscles are present across multiple cuts. The MSA convention is to utilise a 6 digit alphanumeric code to relate the source cut in industry terminology (the first 3 letters) with the component muscles (the following 3 digits reflecting the HAM muscle number). As an example CHK045, CUB045 and STR045 together with STA045 and STP045 all denote the *M.longissimus dorsi* within the chuck, cube roll, striploin or anterior and posterior striploin respectively. This enables a test of muscle position effects in addition to muscle relationships to eating quality.

Table 1 presents the original source table with additions and includes muscles currently not sensory tested. A calculated weight for a 300Kg carcass is shown and was observed in initial selection of possible new muscles worthy of testing due to their mass and potential to be individually harvested if found to have sensory merit.

MSA consumer sensory protocols require 10 consumers per sample, which dictates a minimum sample size, varying somewhat with cooking method. For smaller muscles it was planned to make a single sample after combining a muscle from both carcass sides.

Table 1. HAM muscles & numbers, MSA codes and estimated weight for a 300Kg carcass.

HAM No	H.A.M. Muscle Name	Body %	HAM	HAM	%inPr	F/H	Ngt@30l	MSA Code
1	M.adductor femoris	1.90%	Topside/cap off	2000, 2001	100%	Hind	1.767	TOP001
2	M.anconaeus	0.10%	Blade	2300	80%	Fore	0.074	
3	M.articularis genu	0.08%	Thick Flank	2060	100%	Hind	0.074	
4	M.biceps brachii	0.67%	Fore Shin	2360, 2365	100%	Fore	0.623	FQS004
5	M.biceps femoris (syn. gluteobiceps)	7.29%	Full Rump, Rump Cap	2080, 2091	19%	Hind	1.288	RMP005
5	M.biceps femoris (syn. gluteobiceps)	7.29%	Silverside, Outside	2020, 2030	81%	Hind	5.492	OUT005
6	M.brachialis	0.48%	Blade	2300	20%	Fore	0.089	
6	M.brachialis	0.48%	Chuck	2260	20%	Fore	0.089	
6	M.brachialis	0.48%	Fore Shin	2360, 2365	60%	Fore	0.268	FQS006
7	M.brachiocephalicus	1.46%	Chuck	2260	100%	Fore	1.358	CHK007
8	M.coracobrachialis	0.15%	Blade	2300	100%	Fore	0.140	
9	M.cutaneus omobranchialis						0.000	
10	M.cutaneus trunci	1.63%	Brisket	2320	24%	Fore	0.364	
10	M.cutaneus trunci	1.63%	Chuck	2260	7%	Fore	0.106	
10	M.cutaneus trunci	1.63%	Rib Set	2223	9%	Fore	0.136	
10	M.cutaneus trunci	1.63%	Thick Flank	2060	5%	Hind	0.076	
10	M.cutaneus trunci	1.63%	Thin Flank	2200	55%	Fore	0.834	
11	M.deltoides	0.50%	Blade	2300	100%	Fore	0.465	BLD011
12	M.diaphragma	0.10%	Brisket	2320	61%	Fore	0.057	
12	M.diaphragma	0.10%	Chuck	2260	31%	Fore	0.029	
13	M.extensor carpi obliquus	0.02%	Fore Shin	2360	100%	Fore	0.019	
14	M.extensor carpi radialis	0.73%	Blade	2300	33%	Fore	0.224	
14	M.extensor carpi radialis	0.73%	Fore Shin	2360	67%	Fore	0.455	FQShin
15	M.extensor carpi ulnaris	0.29%	Fore Shin	2360	100%	Fore	0.270	
16	M.extensor digiti quarti proprius	0.21%	Fore Shin	2360	100%	Fore	0.195	
17	M.extensor digiti quarti proprius (pe	0.25%	Hind Shank	2360	100%	Hind	0.233	OUT017
18	M.extensor digiti tertii proprius	0.12%	Fore Shin	2360	100%	Fore	0.112	
19	M.extensor digiti tertii proprius (ped	0.25%	Hind Shank	2360	100%	Hind	0.233	
20	Mextensor digitorum communis	0.08%	Fore Shin	2360	100%	Fore	0.074	
21	M.extensor digitorum longus	0.25%	Hind Shank	2360	100%	Hind	0.233	
22	M.flexor carpi radialis	0.11%	Fore Shin	2360	100%	Fore	0.102	
23	M.flexor carpi ulnaris	0.15%	Fore Shin	2360	100%	Fore	0.140	
24	M.flexor digitorum longus	0.20%	Hind Shank	2360	100%	Hind	0.186	
25	M.flexor digitorum profundus	0.64%	Fore Shin	2360	100%	Fore	0.595	FQShin
26	M.flexor digitorum profundus (pedis)						0.000	
27	M.flexor digitorum sublimis	0.36%	Fore Shin	2360	100%	Fore	0.335	
27a	M.flexor digitorum sublimis (pedis)	0.44%	Silverside	2020	100%	Hind	0.409	
28	M.flexor hallucis longus	0.63%	Hind Shank	2360	100%	Hind	0.586	HQShin
29	M.gastrocnemius	2.07%	Silverside, Outside	2020, 2030	100%	Hind	1.925	OUT029
30	M.gluteus accessorius	0.28%	Full Rump	2080	100%	Hind	0.260	RMP030
31	M.gluteus medius	3.82%	Full Rump	2080	94%	Hind	3.339	RMP031
31	M.gluteus medius		Rostbiff	2110				RMP131 (2/3 portion)
31	M.gluteus medius		Rostbiff	2110				RMP231 (1/3 portion)
31	M.gluteus medius	3.82%	Striploin	2140	6%	Hind	0.213	
32	M.gluteus profundus	1.25%	Full Rump	2080	100%	Hind	1.163	RMP032
33	M.gracilis	1.40%	Topside, Topside Cap	2000, 2002	100%	Hind	1.302	TOP033

HAM No	H.A.M. Muscle Name	Body %	HAM	HAM	%inPr	F/H	Ngt@30(MSA Code
34	M.iliacus	0.88%	Tenderloin, But Tenderloin	2150, 2170	100%	Hind	0.818	TDR034
35	M.iliocostalis	0.46%	Rib Set	2223	63%	Fore	0.270	
35	M.iliocostalis	0.46%	Rib Set	2223	19%	Fore	0.081	
35	M.iliocostalis	0.46%	Striploin	2140	18%	Hind	0.077	
36	M.infraspinatus	2.02%	Blade, Oyster Blade	2300, 2303	90%	Fore	1.691	OYS036
36	M.infraspinatus	2.02%	Rib Set	2223	10%	Fore	0.188	
37	M.intercostales externus and intern	2.59%	Brisket	2320	22%	Fore	0.530	
37	M.intercostales externus and intern	2.59%	Chuck	2260	14%	Fore	0.337	CHK037
37	M.intercostales externus and intern	2.59%	Rib Set	2223	42%	Fore	1.012	RIB037
37	M.intercostales externus and internus		Intercostals	2430				INT037
37	M.intercostales externus and intern	2.59%	Striploin	2140	5%	Hind	0.120	
37	M.intercostales externus and intern	2.59%	Thin Flank	2200	17%	Fore	0.409	
38	M.intertransversarii cervicis	0.46%	Chuck	2260	100%	Fore	0.428	
39	M.intertransversarius longus	0.28%	Chuck	2260	100%	Fore	0.260	
40	M.ischiocavernosus	0.10%	Topside	2000	100%	Hind	0.093	
41	M.latissimus dorsi	2.04%	Blade	2300	18%	Fore	0.341	BLD041
41	M.latissimus dorsi		Chuck					CHK041
41	M.latissimus dorsi	2.04%	Rib Set	2223	80%	Fore	1.518	RIB041
42	M.levator costarum		Rib Set	2223	100%	Fore	0.000	
43	M.longissimus cervicis	0.32%	Chuck	2260	100%	Fore	0.298	
44	M.longissimus capitis et atlantis	0.28%	Chuck	2260	100%	Fore	0.260	
45	M.longissimus dorsi	6.55%	Chuck	2260, 2275	2%	Fore	0.122	CHK045
45	M.longissimus dorsi	6.55%	Cube Roll	2244	28%	Fore	1.706	CUB045
45	Mlongissimus dorsi	6.55%	Striploin	2140	70%	Hind	4.264	STR045
46	M.longus capitis	0.23%	Chuck	2260	100%	Fore	0.214	
47	M.longus colli	0.82%	Chuck	2260	100%	Fore	0.763	CHK047
48	M.mulfidi cervicis	0.25%	Chuck	2260	100%	Fore	0.233	CHK048
49	M.mulfidi dorsi	0.86%	Chuck	2260	18%	Fore	0.144	
49	M.mulfidi dorsi	0.86%	Rib Set	2223	18%	Fore	0.144	
49	M.mulfidi dorsi	0.86%	Striploin	2140	64%	Hind	0.512	STR049
50	M.obliquus capitis caudalis	0.34%	Chuck	2260	100%	Fore	0.316	
51	M.obliquus externus abdominis	2.17%	Brisket	2320	37%	Fore	0.747	
51	M.obliquus externus abdominis	2.17%	Thin Flank	2200	63%	Hind	1.271	TFL051
52	M.obliquus internus abdominis	1.63%	Full Rump	2080	20%	Hind	0.303	
52	M.obliquus internus abdominis	1.63%	Thin Flank, Flap Meat	2200, 2206	80%	Hind	1.213	TFL052
53	M.obturator externus and internus	0.58%	Topside	2000	100%	Hind	0.539	
54	M.omotransversarius	0.53%	Chuck	2260	100%	Fore	0.493	
55	M.pectineus	0.63%	Topside	2000	100%	Hind	0.586	TOP055
56	M.pectoralis profundus	3.72%	Brisket, Pectoral	2320, 2328	77%	Fore	2.664	BRI056
56	M.pectoralis profundus	3.72%	Chuck	2260	23%	Fore	0.796	CHK056
57	M.pectoralis superficialis	1.50%	Brisket, Profundis	2320, 2332	95%	Fore	1.325	BRI057
57	M.pectoralis superficialis	1.50%	Chuck	2260	5%	Fore	0.070	
58	M.peroneus longus	0.10%	Hind Shank	2360	100%	Hind	0.093	
59	M.peroneus tertius	0.25%	Hind Shank	2360	100%	Hind	0.233	HQS059
60	M.popliteus	0.29%	Hind Shank	2360	100%	Hind	0.270	
61	M.protractor praeputii	0.05%	Brisket	2320	100%	Fore	0.047	
62	M.psoas major	1.70%	Tenderloin	2150	100%	Hind	1.581	TDR062
63	M.psoas minor	0.34%	Tenderloin	2150	100%	Hind	0.316	
64	M.rectus abdominis	2.13%	Brisket	2320	48%	Fore	0.951	
64	M.rectus abdominis	2.13%	Thin Flank	2200	52%	Fore	1.030	TFL064
65	M.rectus capitis dorsalis major	0.05%	Chuck	2260	100%	Fore	0.047	
66	M.rectus femoris	2.12%	Thick Flank	2060, 2067	100%	Hind	1.972	KNU066
67	M.rectus thoracis	0.14%	Brisket	2320	100%	Fore	0.130	
68	M.rhomboideus	1.45%	Chuck, Chuck Crest	2260, 2278	70%	Fore	0.944	CHK068
68	M.rhomboideus	1.45%	Rib Set	2223	30%	Fore	0.405	
69	M.sacrooccygeus dorsalis et latera	0.14%	Full Rump	2080	50%	Hind	0.065	
69	M.sacrooccygeus dorsalis et latera	0.14%	Scrap	2080	50%	Hind	0.065	
70	M.sartrorius	0.39%	Thick Flank	2060	50%	Hind	0.181	
70	M.sartrorius	0.39%	Topside	2000	50%	Hind	0.181	

HAM No	H.A.M. Muscle Name	Body %	HAM	HAM	%inPr	F/H	Ngt@300	MSA Code
71	M.scalenus dorsalis	0.17%	Chuck	2260	100%	Fore	0.158	
72	M.scalenus ventralis	0.58%	Chuck	2260	100%	Fore	0.539	
73	M.semimembranosus	5.24%	Topside, Cap Off	2000, 2001	100%	Hind	4.873	TOP073
74	M.semispinalis capitis	1.55%	Chuck, Chuck Eye Roll	2260, 2275	88%	Fore	1.269	CHK074
74	M.semispinalis capitis	1.55%	Rib Set	2223	12%	Fore	0.173	
75	M.semitendinosus	2.59%	Silverside, Eye Round	2020, 2040	100%	Hind	2.409	EYE075
76	M.serratus dorsalis caudalis	0.12%	Rib Set	2223	95%	Fore	0.106	
76	M.serratus dorsalis caudalis	0.12%	Striploin	2140	5%	Fore	0.006	
77	M.serratus dorsalis cranialis	0.13%	Chuck	2260	5%	Fore	0.006	
77	M.serratus dorsalis cranialis	0.13%	Rib Set	2223	95%	Fore	0.115	
78	M.serratus ventralis cervicis	3.12%	Chuck	2260	100%	Fore	2.902	CHK078
79	M.serratus ventralis thoracis	1.34%	Brisket	2320	29%	Fore	0.361	
79	M.serratus ventralis thoracis	1.34%	Chuck	2260	23%	Fore	0.287	
79	M.serratus ventralis thoracis	1.34%	Rib Set	2223	48%	Fore	0.598	RIB078
80	M.soleus	0.10%	Silverside	2020	100%	Hind	0.093	
81	M.spinalis dorsi	1.64%	Chuck, Chuck Eye Roll	2260, 2275	31%	Fore	0.473	CHK081
81	M.spinalis dorsi	1.64%	Rib Set, Cube Roll	2223, 2244	60%	Fore	0.915	CUB081
81	M.spinalis dorsi	1.64%	Striploin	2140	9%	Hind	0.137	
82	M.splenius	0.77%	Chuck	2260	100%	Fore	0.716	
83	M.sternocephalicus	0.05%	Brisket	2320	15%	Fore	0.007	
83	M.sternocephalicus	0.05%	Chuck	2260	85%	Fore	0.040	
84	M.subscapularis	1.17%	Blade	2300, 2304	95%	Fore	1.034	BLD084
84	M.subscapularis	1.17%	Rib Set	2223	5%	Fore	0.054	
85	M.supraspinatus	1.52%	Chuck Tender	2310	100%	Fore	1.414	CTR085
86	M.tensor fasciae antibrachii	0.16%	Blade	2300	100%	Fore	0.149	
87	M.tensor fasciae latae	1.36%	Full Rump, Tri-Tip	2080, 2131	72%	Hind	0.911	RMP087
87	M.tensor fasciae latae	1.36%	Thick Flank	2060	28%	Hind	0.354	
88	M.teres major	0.46%	Blade	2300	100%	Fore	0.428	BLD088
89	M.teres minor	0.20%	Blade	2300	100%	Fore	0.186	
90	M.tibialis anterior	0.12%	Hind Shank	2360	100%	Hind	0.112	
91	M.tibialis posterior	0.12%	Hind Shank	2360	100%	Hind	0.112	
92	M.transversus abdominis	1.21%	Brisket	2320	45%	Fore	0.506	
92	M.transversus abdominis	1.21%	Thin Flank	2200	55%	Hind	0.619	
93	M.trapezius cervicalis	0.54%	Chuck	2260	100%	Fore	0.502	
94	M.trapezius thoracis	0.52%	Chuck	2260	15%	Fore	0.073	
94	M.trapezius thoracis	0.52%	Rib Set	2223	85%	Fore	0.411	
95	M.triceps brachii caput laterale	0.65%	Blade	2300	100%	Fore	0.605	BLD095
96	M.triceps brachii caput longum	3.13%	Blade	2300	100%	Fore	2.911	BLD096
97	M.triceps brachii caput mediale	0.10%	Blade	2300	100%	Fore	0.093	BLD097
98	M.vastus intermedius	0.70%	Thick Flank	2060	100%	Hind	0.651	KNU098
99	M.vastus lateralis	2.59%	Thick Flank	2060	100%	Hind	2.409	KNU099
100	M.vastus medialis	0.70%	Thick Flank	2060	100%	Hind	0.651	KNU100

Prior MSA testing, and the associated cooking, had been conducted after removal of all bone to provide a consistent muscle preparation and presentation protocol. However, questions had arisen regarding cooking “on the bone” and possible flavour benefits. To provide a test of this possibility it was planned to cook some rib and shin cuts, in both bone-in and boneless form, with the bone removed after cooking and prior to serving, to provide a consumer comparison. For the shin cuts the alternative cooks were coded as SC2 (Slow Cooked for 2 hours – the standard protocol) and OSO (Osso Bucco). As the lower shin portion contains many small muscles, these were not separated in either form.

For the rib and chuck cuts however, the bone-in alternatives required multiple muscles (intercostals and covering profundus, serratus or latissimus) to be cooked as a single piece which might or might not be impacted by the presence of bone or, alternatively, by being cooked as a common mass. To provide data and assess these possibilities, the bone-in comparison designated cooking the cut and included muscles from one carcass side bone-in with those from the paired side to be cooked with

the same muscles without bone, but bound together with netting. Muscle codes were created by adding a first 1 integer for those cooked bone-in and a 2 integer for those cooked as a group without bone. *Table 2* provides an overview of the coding used.

Table 2. Codes used to identify common muscles cooked as bone-in or boneless cuts.

HAM description and Code	No	CODE	Muscle	Preparation Notes
Spare ribs 1695	37	CHK137	M.intercostales externus and internus	When prepared bone in as spare ribs (intercostales only muscle included)
Chuck short ribs 1631	56	CHK156	M.pectoralis profundus	When prepared as chuck rib (ribs 1 to 2/3) with rib bones, profundus & intercostales included.
Chuck short ribs 1631/Chuck rib meat 1696	78	CHK178	M.serratus ventralis cervicis	When prepared as chuck rib (ribs 3 to 5)with rib bones, serratus & intercostales included
	37	INT237	M.intercostales externus and internus	When cooked boneless with intercostales (position unknown) & covering muscles separated after cooking
Chuck rib meat 2640/chuck meat square 2645	56	CHK256	M.pectoralis profundus	Matched boneless equivalent to 156 chuck rib (ribs 1 to 2/3), profundus & intercostales included.
Chuck rib meat 2640/chuck meat square 2645	78	CHK278	M.serratus ventralis cervicis	Matched boneless equivalent to 178 chuck rib (ribs 3 to 5), serratus & intercostales included.
	37	CHK337	M.intercostales externus and internus	Intercostales separated after cooking on bone with covering muscles as Chuck Ribs
Spare ribs 1695	37	RIB137	M.intercostales externus and internus	When prepared bone in as spare ribs (intercostales only muscle included)
Short ribs 1694	41	RIB141	M.latissimus dorsi	When prepared as short ribs with rib bones, latissimus & intercostales muscles included
	37	INT237	M.intercostales externus and internus	When cooked boneless with intercostales (position unknown) & covering muscles separated after cooking
Rib meat square 2650	41	RIB241	M.latissimus dorsi	Matched boneless equivalent to 141 short rib with latissimus & intercostales included.
	37	RIB337	M.intercostales externus and internus	Intercostales separated after cooking on bone with covering muscles as Short Ribs

Further cooking alternatives were also planned to evaluate moist roasting in a Combi oven (COM) relative to the dry roasting (RST) protocol and to compare a sous-vide (SVD) slow cooking method to the standard SC2. It was also agreed that stirfry (SFR) and yakinku (YAK) should be contrasted with the SC2 and SVD prepared within muscle, where size permitted. This was also included to update consumer response to SC2, YAK and SFR cooking methods.

A final agreed design overlay was to contrast ageing within, in particular, the slow cooking methods (SC2 and SVD). This arose from recent data analysis indicating that ageing effects were significantly reduced for SC2 samples. If upheld by more data this had implications for prediction modelling as ageing estimates may need to interact with cook type in addition to muscle, a fundamental revision to the SP2009 and earlier models which applied common ageing to a muscle across all cook types.

Mr Greg Butler and Mr Steve Humphries, both highly experienced butchers and trainers in research and industry muscle identification and boning practice, were engaged in the cut up and sample preparation to assist in muscle identification. Both have been key contributors to developing MSA sample preparation protocols over many years. Janine Lau, Jessira Perovic and Rod Polkinghorne also assisted in defining consumer sample preparation standards. The final collection and MSA sample preparation within each kill was marginally modified to account for muscle size, and in light of experience with previous groups.

3.1.1 Grading and Cut collection

The Northern BIN group were harvested at a Southern Queensland plant on February 27th, 2017, the Hereford BIN at a Tasmanian plant on March 14th, 2017 and the Angus BIN at the same Southern Queensland plant on April 5th, 2017.

All cattle were steers and none were HGP treated. Each of the BIN groups had been fattened together providing uniform environmental conditions within each BIN but different across the three locations which were in Queensland (Northern and grain fed 100 days), NSW (Angus and grainfed for 168 days) and Tasmania (Hereford and grass fed). All carcasses were AT hung. ***It should be emphasised that the purpose of the research was not to compare breeds*** but rather to ensure that the consumer samples, prepared from an extensive muscle range, were representative of a diverse cattle population to reduce the risk of confounding muscle and cook results with breed or environmental effects.

On each occasion the 18 head, and the subsets of 6 within the 18, were selected from much larger numbers after MSA grading and reference to pH and temperature decline data to ensure all carcasses utilised complied with MSA criteria.

Tables 3 to 5 display the principal grading inputs for each of the BIN groups and sub groups.

Table 3. Grading inputs for Northern BIN carcasses selected for sensory sampling

SUBGROUP		HSCW	Epbi	Hump	Ema	Ossification	Ausmeat Marbling	Msa Marbling	RibFat	Ph	MSAIndex
Brahman 100% TBC	Min	334	100	140	76	130	0	150	4	5.41	52.24
	Average	345	100	174	85	147	1	283	8	5.51	53.80
	Max	358	100	210	91	170	1	330	9	5.58	55.04
	StdDev	10.15	100	28.53	5.19	15.06	0.52	68.61	2.07	0.07	1.24
Droughtmaster 50% TBC	Min	342	50	85	75	130	0	190	5	5.45	56.10
	Average	347	50	104	85	138	1	265	9	5.50	57.15
	Max	353	50	125	100	150	1	340	13	5.54	57.95
	StdDev	5.17	50	17.72	9.41	7.53	0.55	68.63	2.83	0.04	0.79
Santa Gertrudis 37.5% TBC	Min	367	37.5	85	88	120	0	200	5	5.47	57.59
	Average	375	37.5	105	93	142	0	277	9	5.53	58.34
	Max	384	37.5	120	104	190	1	350	13	5.60	59.50
	StdDev	7.20	37.5	13.04	5.79	24.83	0.52	54.28	2.58	0.06	0.76
ALL Northern	Min	334	37.5	85	75	120	0	150	4	5.41	52.24
	Average	356		128	88	142	1	275	8	5.51	56.27
	Max	384	100	210	104	190	1	350	13	5.60	59.50
	StdDev	16.04		39.00	7.71	16.65	0.51	60.80	2.45	0.06	2.23

** All groups Male, 100 Days on Feed, No HGP and AT hung

Table 4. Grading inputs for Hereford BIN carcasses selected for sensory sampling

SUBGROUP		Dentition	HSCW	P8 Fat	Hump	Ema	Ossification	Ausmeat Marbling	Msa Marbling	RibFat	Ph	MSAIndex
Hereford x Angus	Min	0	292	5	65	74	120	0	230	3	5.47	58.21
	Average	1	306	5	68	83	135	0	293	5	5.60	59.76
	Max	4	321	7	70	97	150	1	340	7	5.70	60.78
	StdDev	1.67	12.06	0.82	2.58	8.07	12.25	0.52	43.67	1.47	0.10	0.94
Angus x (Hereford x Angus)	Min	0	286	5	60	72	120	0	260	3	5.43	58.97
	Average	0	301	8	70	77	135	1	313	6	5.50	59.96
	Max	0	310	10	85	83	140	3	530	8	5.57	63.17
	StdDev	0.00	8.81	1.75	10.49	4.85	8.37	1.22	106.33	1.72	0.05	1.61
Angus	Min	0	290	4	55	70	130	1	300	3	5.44	58.94
	Average	0	298	7	72	77	133	1	323	5	5.52	59.99
	Max	0	312	9	80	81	140	1	350	7	5.63	60.93
	StdDev	0.00	8.81	1.76	9.83	4.89	5.16	0.00	18.62	1.41	0.08	0.76
ALL Hereford BIN	Min	0	286	4	55	70	120	0	230	3	5.43	58.21
	Average	0	302	7	70	79	134	1	310	5	5.54	59.90
	Max	4	321	10	85	97	150	3	530	8	5.70	63.17
	StdDev	1.03	10.01	1.72	8.04	6.45	8.56	0.78	64.44	1.52	0.09	1.10

*** Note all cattle Male, grass fed, HGP free and AT Hung

The Angus BIN cattle were sourced from a long running research herd in which cattle had been continually selected for high and low muscling and for myostatin gene amplitude providing a well documented herd with extensive variation. The subgroups selected were based on the highest and lowest feedlot growth rates for two subgroups with myostatin the third.

Table 5. Grading inputs for Angus BIN carcasses selected for sensory sampling

SUBGROUP		HSCW	Hump	Ema	Ossification	Ausmeat Marbling	Msa Marbling	RibFat	Ph	MSAIndex
High Growth	Min	317	75	76	110	1	340	10	5.47	63.11
	Average	360	87	81	122	2	412	14	5.52	64.03
	Max	403	100	90	140	3	510	19	5.55	65.57
	StdDev	28.61	11.25	5.08	11.69	0.75	59.47	3.76	0.03	1.04
Low Growth	Min	311	65	66	100	1	320	10	5.47	60.56
	Average	335	74	81	128	1	382	13	5.52	63.64
	Max	350	80	90	170	3	530	17	5.69	65.94
	StdDev	13.16	5.85	8.13	24.83	0.82	74.68	2.93	0.08	2.28
Myostatin	Min	291	70	83	130	1	320	7	5.48	59.58
	Average	331	81	92	155	1	335	11	5.52	60.47
	Max	368	85	105	180	1	350	14	5.61	61.90
	StdDev	33.19	5.85	8.38	18.71	0.00	10.49	2.48	0.05	0.86
ALL ANGUS BIN	Min	291	65	66	100	1	320	7	5.47	59.58
	Average	342	81	85	135	1	376	13	5.52	62.71
	Max	403	100	105	180	3	530	19	5.69	65.94
	StdDev	28.09	9.22	8.63	23.33	0.70	61.37	3.25	0.06	2.18
*** All Male, No HGP, 168 Days on Feed and AT Hung										

Subsequent management of the selected carcasses, and cuts within each collection, was effected by CutUpDeveloper (CUD) files produced from standard MSA software as described by Polkinghorne and Gee (2006). In brief, the CUD software provided a means to develop a design designating the linkage from BIN group : to sub group : to body : to side : to primal : to muscle : to muscle position : to individual consumer sample. Each sample was further designated for cooking method and days of ageing post mortem. The software was also utilised to produce control files and identification labels for the sides, primal and final samples, which were assigned unique 4 digit alphanumeric EQSRef codes, used for sample identification through to serving of the 10 consumers to which each sample was assigned.

Figure 1 displays a portion of the CUD input in which groups and subgroups are defined and the required muscles designated. Further processes designate muscle positions, cooking method(s) and ageing as partially depicted in Figure 2. From this point the software was used as an aid to develop a balanced design to ensure carcass side and muscle position was balanced across cook and ageing.

The software also produced an Acquisition Sheet for each kill that pre-assigned primal number identification to the primals to be collected with those for the left side of one body displayed in Figure 3.

Figure 1. Portion of CUD design input allocating group, subgroup and muscle requirements

Jump to Model	Jump to Display Cut Up	Jump to Input sht	Create and Install Body Sides	Expand Kill into Model for Cuts	Groups Incorp.												Groups Solo								
Master Group Number	KILL (Group)		Kill Date	Abattoir or Works																					
492	492		Thu 23 Feb 17	Warwick	Total Sides												Total Sides								
GROUP DESC	G R O U P	N o S I D E S	L or R	H A T	S T I	B L	B L	B L	B L	C	S	O	C	C	C	C	C	C	R	R	R	R			
			LR	AT	LVES	4	8	8	9	9	8	4	3	0	4	4	6	7	7	8	8	3	4	7	3
BBBB	492.1	12	LR	AT	LVES	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
DMDM	492.2	12	LR	AT	LVES	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
SGSG	492.3	12	LR	AT	LVES	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Group Totals of BodySides		36																							

Figure 2. Portion of CUD design input designating muscle position, cook and days ageing

M S T R	Jump to Kill		Jump to Display Cut Up			Test Model as Cut Up				S U M	R O T A T E	Publish Acquisition Sheet					R O T A T E							
	G R O U P	C O M P	D E L	C U T	S U M	P O S 1	P O S 2	P O S 3	P O S 4			C O O K S	G R L	R S T	S F R	Y A C		S O S	N o .	A G E S	A G E 1	A G E 2		
492	492.1	BBBB	12	12	12	BLD084	1	C										1				10		
492	492.1	BBBB	12	12	12	BLD088	1	C										1				10		
492	492.1	BBBB	12	12	12	BLD095	1	C										1				10		
492	492.1	BBBB	36	12	12	BLD096	3	T	C1	C2								1	1	1		10		
492	492.1	BBBB	24	12	12	CTR085	2	H	T									1	1			10		
492	492.1	BBBB	48	12	12	STR045	4	A1	A2	P3	P4							1	2	1	1	10		
492	492.1	BBBB	36	12	12	OYS036	3	H	C	T								1	1	1		10		
492	492.1	BBBB	12	12	12	CHK007	1	C														10		
492	492.1	BBBB	24	12	12	CHK041	2	C1	C2									1				10		
492	492.1	BBBB	12	12	12	CHK047	1	C														10		
492	492.1	BBBB	24	12	12	CHK068	2	C1	C2											1	1	2	10	
492	492.1	BBBB	12	12	12	CHK074	1	C														10		
492	492.1	BBBB	36	12	12	CHK078	3	C1	C2	C3								1				10		
492	492.1	BBBB	12	12	12	CHK081	1	C														10		
492	492.1	BBBB	12	12	12	CHK082	1	C														10		
492	492.1	BBBB	48	12	12	RIB037	4	C1	C2	C3	C4							1	1	1	1	10		
492	492.1	BBBB	24	12	12	RIB041	2	C1	C2													10		
492	492.1	BBBB	12	12	12	RIB079	1	C														10		
492	492.1	BBBB	24	12	12	RIB137	2	C1	C2													10		
492	492.1	BBBB	24	12	12	RIB141	2	C1	C2													10		
492	492.1	BBBB	12	12	12	TFL051	1	C														10		
492	492.1	BBBB	12	12	12	TFL052	1	C														10		
492	492.1	BBBB	12	12	12	TFL064	1	C														10		
492	492.1	BBBB	48	12	12	BRI056	4	N1	N2	N3	N4								1	1	1	2	10	
492	492.1	BBBB	48	12	12	BRI057	4	P1	P2	P3	P4									1	1	1	1	10
492	492.1	BBBB	12	12	12	ARM006	1	C															10	
492	492.1	BBBB	12	12	12	FQSHIN	1	C															10	
492	492.1	BBBB	12	12	12	HQSHIN	1	C															10	

Figure 3. Portion of CUD Acquisition Sheet allocating Primal ID for cut collection from one side at boning.

GroupComment	Group	AnimalID	CUD ref	Works Body No.	Side	Hang	Stim	Primal	Primal ID
BBBB	492.1		1	100	L	AT	LVES	BLD	52317
BBBB	492.1		1		L	AT	LVES	CTR	52318
BBBB	492.1		1		L	AT	LVES	STR	52319
BBBB	492.1		1		L	AT	LVES	OYS	52320
BBBB	492.1		1		L	AT	LVES	CHK	52321
BBBB	492.1		1		L	AT	LVES	RIB	52322
BBBB	492.1		1		L	AT	LVES	TFL	52323
BBBB	492.1		1		L	AT	LVES	BRI	52324
BBBB	492.1		1		L	AT	LVES	FQS	52325
BBBB	492.1		1		L	AT	LVES	HQS	52326
BBBB	492.1		1		L	AT	LVES	INT	52327
BBBB	492.1		1	100	L	AT	LVES	TDR	52328
BBBB	492.1		1		L	AT	LVES	RMP	52329
BBBB	492.1		1		L	AT	LVES	KNU	52330
BBBB	492.1		1		L	AT	LVES	OUT	52331
BBBB	492.1		1		L	AT	LVES	EYE	52332
BBBB	492.1		1		L	AT	LVES	TOP	52333

To maximise the number of samples within budget parameters it was elected to collect cuts from both carcass sides, where muscles were either small or which were poorly represented or non-existent in the AUSBlue database. The upper portion of *Figure 3* lists those collected from both sides.

The cuts at the lower portion of *Figure 3* were well represented in existing data and were only collected from a single side to provide connection, while reducing cost. The cube roll was not included, as the striploin was regarded as an adequate representation of the *M.longissimus* muscle. Sides were alternated for the single cut collection.

For each collection a written instruction regarding cuts required, boning specification, transport and billing arrangements was emailed to the plant prior to the kill date. An example is shown in *Figure 4*.

Laminated 20 x 8 cm brightly coloured tickets were then produced to identify carcass sides with the tickets prominently displaying a CUD number of 1 to 18 and LEFT or RIGHT. The tickets were secured to the loin by 150mm stainless steel pins to provide highly visible identification for sorting from the chiller and through the boning process. At a later point the actual plant body numbers were substituted for the CUD number within AUSBlue.

Figure 4. Example list of primals required as advised to the plant.**Primals to collect**

Primals (to collect from 18 carcasses)	Pieces per body (left & right sides)	Piece Count
Blade (subscap retained)	2	36
Chuck tender	2	36
Full chuck including neck	2	36
Ribset (Fleece only, we do not want the cube roll)	2	36
Short ribs	2	36
Full brisket (if size permits otherwise NE & PE with deckle retained)	2	36
Thin Flank (flank steak, internal & external flank plates)	2	36
FQ Shin	2	36
HQ Shin	2	36
Striploin	2	36
Silverside (outside flat, eyeround & heel)	1	18
Rump	1	18
Knuckle	1	18
Topside	1	18
Tenderloin s/s off	1	18

A further set of laminated coloured labels, 50 x 50 mm, were produced with each continuing ID for each primal. A representative portion of a Primal label file is shown in *Figure 5*. Each label includes the carcass CUD number and side (2 R in the example) and a further unique 5 digit number that was used in subsequent primal fabrication to consumer samples. Research personnel were positioned at boning stations to observe boning and to note the CUD ID on the large carcass side tickets. As a required cut was boned and sliced the related Primal ticket for that cut was placed within the vacuum bag to maintain ID.

The primals were chilled overnight and then transported by refrigerated transport to the University of New England (UNE) meat laboratory for fabrication into consumer samples.

The same procedures were utilised for each of the three collections other than carcass quarter tickets being utilised to suit the quarter chain boning in Tasmania. For this collection, cuts were shipped to Melbourne after collection and moved by road transport to Armidale.

Figure 5. Example of Primal Labels used to maintain cut ID

52362 Body #2 R BLADE	52365 Body #2 R OYSTER BLADE	52369 Body #2 R BRISKET
52363 Body #2 R CHUCK TENDER	52366 Body #2 R CHUCK	52372 Body #2 R INTERCOSTALS
52364 Body #2 R STRIPLOIN	52368 Body #2 R THIN FLANK	

3.1.2 MSA Sample preparation

All fabrication of consumer samples from the collected primals was conducted in the UNE meat laboratory by research and University personnel. Fabrication was conducted according to MSA protocols (Gee, 2006 and summarised in Anon, 2008).

In brief each primal was removed from vacuum packaging and placed on a tray with its identifying ticket. For some primals, particularly the chucks and briskets which had often been cut into pieces post collection due to carton size or weight limits, this required carefully aligning the portions in order to follow muscle seams. The butchers then fully denuded each primal including silverskin and separated the component muscles where appropriate. The denuded single muscle portions were then placed back on a tray/s with the identifying Primal ticket and passed to a recording station.

Further CUD software files were utilised by the recorder to relate the Primal ticket ID with subsequent EQSRef individual sample ID for each portion nominated within each of the muscles and positions within all muscles from that primal. An example of the CutUpSheet control file is shown in *Figure 6* and a portion of the associated CutUpLabels Avery 7160 self adhesive label file displayed in *Figure 7*. Both were printed and bound prior to commencing the cutup.

The Primal Ticket 5 digit number was referenced in the CutUpSheet control file which provided detail of all consumer sample sets to be prepared from each muscle and position within the source primal.

Figure 6. Portion of the CUD CutUpSheet file

Seq	EQS	Primal	Cut	Cook	Age	Pos	Kill	Obj	Check
AUS91432	P3Y8	52317	BLD088	GRL	10	C	Thu 23 Feb 17	y	
AUS91622	H0A0	52317	BLD096	RST	10	C1	Thu 23 Feb 17		
AUS92251	F4Q8	52317	BLD084	SFR	10	C	Thu 23 Feb 17	y	
AUS92252	Q6Q4	52317	BLD095	SFR	10	C	Thu 23 Feb 17	y	
AUS92610	K9C0	52317	BLD096	YAK	10	T	Thu 23 Feb 17	y	
AUS92611	T7E6	52317	BLD096	YAK	10	C2	Thu 23 Feb 17		
AUS91623	K6J3	52318	CTR085	RST	10	H	Thu 23 Feb 17	y	
AUS91803	E8B7	52318	CTR085	SC2	28	T	Thu 23 Feb 17		

In the *Figure 6* example a Blade primal, with a primal number ID of 52317 is to be fabricated into 4 muscles and further to 6 consumer samples. The recorder checked that the 4 muscles (BLD088, BLD096, BLD084 and BLD095) had been prepared by the butchers and arranged them in a standard orientation on the tray. Another primal, a chuck tender with a Primal Ticket number of 52318 then follows specifying 2 samples to be prepared from a single muscle. Where an objective sample for laboratory use is required a Y is present in the Obj column.

The 52317 Primal number was then referenced in the CutUpLabels, displayed in *Figure 7*, which carried information related to each row of the CutUpSheet. The labels were removed and lightly attached to the tray edge with the position (C, C1, T, C2 or H in the example) aligned with the muscle as laid out on the tray. Additional labels indicated that spare muscle was to be collected for objective laboratory analysis.

After marking the CutUpSheet Check column to record that a sample had been obtained the tray and labels was passed to slicers equipped with a cutting jig and sample blocks to indicate the required size and grain direction for each cooking method. The cutting jig was set to 25mm for samples designated as GRL (grill).

The slicer utilised the labels as instruction on muscle fabrication with the position designating the location of each sample within the muscle and the cook code SC2 requiring preparation of 22 cubes of standard size, SFR a standard size and shape muscle portion for subsequent slicing, GRL five individual 70 x 35 mm x 25 mm thick steaks and so on.

Figure 7. Self adhesive labels produced from the CUD CutUpLabels file

52317	OBJECTIVE 52317 - BLD084 F4Q8 0503	AUS92251 F4Q8 52317 SFR C BLD084 0503
OBJECTIVE 52317 - BLD088 P3Y8 0503	AUS91432 P3Y8 52317 GRL C BLD088 0503	OBJECTIVE 52317 - BLD095 Q6Q4 0503
AUS92252 Q6Q4 52317 SFR C BLD095 0503	AUS91622 H0A0 52317 RST C1 BLD096 0503	OBJECTIVE 52317 - BLD096 K9C0 0503
AUS92610 K9C0 52317 YAK T BLD096 0503	AUS92611 T7E6 52317 YAK C2 BLD096 0503	52318
OBJECTIVE 52318 - CTR085 K6J3 0503	AUS91623 K6J3 52318 RST H CTR085 0503	AUS91803 E8B7 52318 SC2 T CTR085 2303

If a sample could not be fabricated the label was returned to the recorder who marked the CutUpFile accordingly.

The tray, complete with all fabricated samples, self adhesive labels and original Primal Ticket, was then passed to packers who placed each label on a vacuum pouch and packed the associated sample within the pouch which was then vacuum sealed. Each of the 5 steaks within a grill sample were individually wrapped in freezer wrap to prevent them sticking together when frozen.

The labels again provided instruction for sorting post sealing with the 4 digit code in the right bottom label corner designating a freeze on date. Samples were sorted into freeze down dates within cooking method. They were stored chilled until freezing date then laid single depth for rapid freezing. Post freezing samples were packed in foam and stored at -20°C.

The unique Sequence and EQSRef codes assigned by the CUD software formed the primary identification for all subsequent sample management through to the individual consumer plate ID labels.

Table 6 presents the number of consumer samples fabricated from each of the 3 BIN collections, in all 7261 representing 67 individual source muscles, 26 of which had not been previously tested and identified within the “NEW” column. The 5 cook types presented in Table 6 summarise further subdivisions of Osso Bucco (OSO) and Sous-Vide (SVD) within the SC2 counts and moist heat roasting (COM) plus bone-in and boneless forms within the RST counts.

Table 6. Consumer samples fabricated by muscles and primary cook method from 3 BIN collections.

MUSCLE	CODE	Bone	NORTHERN BIN						HEREFORD BIN						ANGUS BIN						ALL BIN GROUPS						NEW					
			GRL	RST	SC2	SFR	YAK	TOTAL	GRL	RST	SC2	SFR	YAK	TOTAL	GRL	RST	SC2	SFR	YAK	TOTAL	GRL	RST	SC2	SFR	YAK	TOTAL						
M.deltoideus	BLD011																			16		16			32	0	0	16	0	16	32	Y
M.latissimus dorsi	BLD041																			36					36	0	0	36	0	0	36	Y
M.subscapularis	BLD084				9	18	9					12	12	12						12	11	11			34	0	0	33	41	32	106	Y
M.teres major	BLD088		34							18										16					16	68	0	0	0	0	68	Y
M.triceps brachii caput laterale	BLD095					36						36								12	12	12			36	0	0	12	84	12	108	Y
M.triceps brachii caput longum	BLD096		6	12	30	12	12			6	24	24	6	12						12	24	13	11	12	72	24	60	67	29	36	216	Y
M.triceps brachii caput mediale	BLD097				18	9	9					24	6	6						12	12	12			36	0	0	54	27	27	108	Y
M.pectoralis profundus	BRI056			36	72						34	80	7	14						36	90	9	9	144	0	106	242	16	23	387		
M.pectoralis superficialis	BRI057				36	17	17					58	16	14						36	18	18			72	0	0	130	51	49	230	
M.brachiocephalicus	CHK007																			27					27	0	0	27	0	0	27	Y
M.intercostales externus and internus	CHK037										1														1	0	1	0	0	0	1	Y
M.latissimus dorsi	CHK041			1	1																				2	0	1	1	0	0	2	Y
M.longus colli	CHK047				3							6								36					36	0	0	45	0	0	45	Y
M.multifidi cervicis	CHK048				25							26								36					36	0	0	87	0	0	87	Y
M.pectoralis profundus	CHK056																					9			9	0	0	0	0	9	9	Y
M.rhomboideus	CHK068				72							53								65					65	0	0	190	0	0	190	Y
M.semispinalis capitis	CHK074		7	7	14		7			6	3	21	3	3						12	18	34			64	25	28	69	3	10	135	Y
M.serratus ventralis cervicis	CHK078		9	26	36		18			29	24	37		18						9	17	45	18	27	116	47	67	118	18	63	313	Y
M.spinalis dorsi	CHK081		9		9	9	9			9		9	9	9						9		9	9	9	36	27	0	27	27	27	108	Y
M.splenius	CHK082				18	18						18	17							18	18				36	0	0	54	53	0	107	Y
M.trapezius cervicalis	CHK093																			36					36	0	0	36	0	0	36	Y
M.intercostales externus and internus	CHK137	Y		18							18									18					18	0	54	0	0	0	54	Y
M.pectoralis profundus	CHK156	Y		9							9									9					9	0	27	0	0	0	27	Y
M.serratus ventralis cervicis	CHK178	Y		9							9									9					9	0	27	0	0	0	27	Y
M.pectoralis profundus	CHK256			9							9									9					9	0	27	0	0	0	27	Y
M.serratus ventralis cervicis	CHK278			9							9									9					9	0	27	0	0	0	27	Y
M.intercostales externus and internus	CHK337			16							18									18					18	0	52	0	0	0	52	Y
M.supraspinatus	CTR085		3	15	54					3	15	52								12	24	35			71	18	54	141	0	0	213	
M.semitendinosus	EYE075			18	35	9	9				18	36	9	9						12	34	12	12		70	0	48	105	30	30	213	
M.biceps brachii	FQS004				18							18								36					36	0	0	72	0	0	72	Y
M.brachialis	FQS006				17							17								36					36	0	0	70	0	0	70	Y
Flexor/extensor muscle group surrounding the radius	FQSHIN	y			36							71								55					55	0	0	162	0	0	162	
M.peroneus tertius	HQS059				18							18								28					28	0	0	64	0	0	64	Y
Muscle group surrounding the tibia	HQSHIN	y			36							64								56					56	0	0	156	0	0	156	
M.intercostales externus and internus	INT037			31		14					25	5	1							35	18	18			71	0	91	23	33	0	147	
M.intercostales externus and internus	INT237			36							36									36					36	0	108	0	0	0	108	Y
M.rectus femoris	KNU066			18	18						18	18								18	18				36	0	54	54	0	0	108	
M.vastus intermedius	KNU098				8	9						9	9								9	9			18	0	0	26	27	0	53	
M.vastus lateralis	KNU099			18	35		9				18	35		4						18	36		9		63	0	54	106	0	22	182	

M.vastus medialis	KNU100		9	9	18		9	9	18		9	9	18		0	0	0	27	27	54										
M.biceps femoris (syn. gluteobiceps)	OUT005		9	18	36		9	72		11	18	36		9	74		7	18	36		9	70		27	54	108	0	27	216	
M.flexor digitorum sublimis	OUT027																	12				12		0	0	12	0	0	12	Y
M.gastrocnemius	OUT029		18	18				36		18	18				36		17	18				35		0	53	54	0	0	107	
M.infraspinatus	OYS036		23	23	20	13	11	90		27	27	12	15	9	90		24	24	18	12	12	90		74	74	50	40	32	270	
M.latissimus dorsi	RIB041										9		9	18				18	9	9	36		0	0	27	9	18	54		
M.serratus ventralis thoracis	RIB078			4	9	7		20											9	9	18		0	0	4	18	16	38		
M.intercostales externus and internus	RIB137	y	18					18		18				18			18				18		0	54	0	0	0	54	Y	
M.latissimus dorsi	RIB141	y	18					18		18				18			18				18		0	54	0	0	0	54	Y	
M.latissimus dorsi	RIB241		18					18		18				18			18				18		0	54	0	0	0	54	Y	
M.intercostales externus and internus	RIB337		20					20		18				18			18				18		0	56	0	0	0	56	Y	
M.biceps femoris (syn. gluteobiceps)	RMP005		9	9		9	9	36		5	6		12	11	34		8	7		9	8	32		22	22	0	30	28	102	
M.gluteus accessorius	RMP030												11	1	12					7		7		0	0	0	18	1	19	
M.gluteus profundus	RMP032				9	9		18			3				3								0	0	3	9	9	21		
M.tensor fasciae latae	RMP087		6		6	6		18									4	5		7	2	18		4	11	0	13	8	36	
M.gluteus medius	RMP131		9	18		9	9	45		10	16		8	9	43		9	18		9	9	45		28	52	0	26	27	133	
M.gluteus medius	RMP231		9	18		9	9	45		3	15		9	9	36		4	18		7	7	36		16	51	0	25	25	117	
M.longissimus dorsi	STR045		67	36	72			175		72	36	72			180		36	67	36	18	18	175		175	139	180	18	18	530	
M.multifidi cervicis	STR049																		17			17		0	0	17	0	0	17	Y
M.iliacus	TDR034		18					18		18				18			18				18		54	0	0	0	0	54		
M.psoas major	TDR062		12	36		12	12	72		3	36		7	12	58		9	34		9	8	60		24	106	0	28	32	190	
M.obliquus externus abdominis	TFL051			12	12	12		36				12	12	12	36				12	12	12	36		0	0	36	36	36	108	
M.obliquus internus abdominis	TFL052			12	12	12		36				12	12	12	36				12	12	12	36		0	0	36	36	36	108	
M.rectus abdominis	TFL064			12	12	12		36				12	11	12	35				12	12	12	36		0	0	36	35	36	107	
M.adductor femoris	TOP001			12	12	12		36				12	11	11	34				12	12	12	36		0	0	36	35	35	106	
M.gracilis	TOP033			36				36										36				36		0	0	72	0	0	72	
M.pectineus	TOP055				9	9		18												9	9	18		0	0	0	18	18	36	
M.semimembranosus	TOP073		18	36	72		18	144		17	34	67		17	135		18	36	72		18	144		53	106	211	0	53	423	
TOTAL			242	580	924	293	264	2303		237	566	976	248	243	2270		207	626	1205	319	331	2688		686	1772	3105	860	838	7261	26

Further detail on the cooking methods utilised within the HAM code and muscle subdivisions is provided in *Table 7*. A greater breakdown of ageing periods by BIN group is available in *Table 8*. The variation in first ageing dates relates to the logistics of transport time to have meat available at UNE post kill and also to the extreme volume of samples fabricated. Where possible cuts known to have higher ageing rates were fabricated first to provide maximum ageing variation relative to the 28 day final benchmark.

After fabrication the CUD CutUpSheet files were processed to confirm all samples that were successfully prepared, identify any that were not obtained or modify any that were incorrectly cut or frozen on a different date. These files were then transferred by the final CUD software step into the AUSBlue database and marked Available enabling their selection for sensory testing.

Table 7. Cooking methods utilised within muscle and source primal cut from 3 BIN cut collections

Cut & HAM Collected	Cut Derivatives & HAM	MSA Code	HAM M.	H.A.M. Muscle Name	COOK CODES PREPARED
CHUCK 2260		CHK007	7	M. brachiocephalicus	SC2
		CHK041	41	M. latissimus dorsi	COM, SC2
	Neck chain 2460	CHK047	47	M. longus colli	SC2
	Needs to be left on during dressing	CHK048	48	M. multifidus cervicis	SC2
		CHK056	56	M. pectoralis profundus	YAK
	Chuck crest 2278	CHK068	68	M. rhomboideus	SC2, SVD
		CHK074	74	M. semispinalis capitis	GRL, RST, SC2, SFR, SVD, YAK
		CHK078	78	M. serratus ventralis cervicis	COM, GRL, RST, SC2, SFR, SVD, YAK
		CHK081	81	M. spinalis dorsi	GRL, SC2, SFR, YAK
		CHK082	82	M. splenius	SC2, SFR
		CHK093	93	M. trapezius cervicalis	SC2
	Spare ribs 1695	CHK137	37	M. intercostales externus and internus	COM, RST
	Chuck short ribs 1631	CHK156	56	M. pectoralis profundus	COM, RST
	Chuck short ribs 1631/Chuck rib meat 1696	CHK178	78	M. serratus ventralis cervicis	COM, RST
		INT237	37	M. intercostales externus and internus	COM, RST
	Chuck rib meat 2640/chuck meat square 2645	CHK256	56	M. pectoralis profundus	COM, RST
	Chuck rib meat 2640/chuck meat square 2645	CHK278	78	M. serratus ventralis cervicis	COM, RST
	CHK337	37	M. intercostales externus and internus	COM, RST	
CHUCK TENDER 2310	Chuck Tender 2310	CTR085	85	M. supraspinatus	COM, GRL, RST, SC2, SVD
BLADE 2300		BLD011	11	M. deltoideus	SC2, YAK
		BLD041	41	M. latissimus dorsi	SC2
	Blade Undercut 2304	BLD084	84	M. subscapularis	SC2, SFR, YAK
		BLD088	88	M. teres major	GRL
	Bolar Blade 2302	BLD095	95	M. triceps brachii caput laterale	SC2, SFR, YAK
	Bolar Blade 2302	BLD096	96	M. triceps brachii caput longum	COM, GRL, RST, SC2, SFR, SVD, YAK
Bolar Blade 2302	BLD097	97	M. triceps brachii caput mediale	SC2, SFR, SVD, YAK	
OYSTER BLADE 2304	Oyster Blade 2304	OYS036	36	M. infraspinatus	COM, FLT, GRL, RST, SC2, SFR, SVD, YAK
RIBSET 2223	Intercostals 2430	INT037	37	M. intercostales externus and internus	COM, RST, SC2, SFR
	Rib cap 2470	RIB041	41	M. latissimus dorsi	SC2, SFR, SVD, YAK
	Short rib meat 2465	RIB078	78	M. serratus ventralis thoracis	SC2, SFR, YAK
	Spare ribs 1695	RIB137	37	M. intercostales externus and internus	COM, RST
	Short ribs 1694	RIB141	41	M. latissimus dorsi	COM, RST
		INT237	37	M. intercostales externus and internus	COM, RST
Rib meat square 2650	RIB241	41	M. latissimus dorsi	COM, RST	
	RIB337	37	M. intercostales externus and internus	COM, RST	
BRISKET 2323		BRI056	56	M. pectoralis profundus	COM, RST, SC2, SFR, SVD, YAK
		BRI057	57	M. pectoralis superficialis	SC2, SFR, SVD, YAK
FQ Shin 1682	Shin/shank forequarter 1682/2360/2365/Group F	FQSHIN		Flexor/extensor muscle group surrounding SC2, OSO	
	Armbone shin 1685/ Shin special trim C conical muscle	FQS004	4	M. biceps brachii	SC2, SVD,
	Armbone shin 1685/ Shin special trim E	FQS006	6	M. brachialis	SC2, SVD,
TENDERLOIN 2150	Butt Tenderloin 2170	TDR034	34	M. iliacus	GRL
	Tenderloin 2150	TDR062	62	M. psoas major	COM, GRL, RST, SFR, YAK
STRIPLOIN 2140		STR045	45	M. longissimus dorsi	COM, GRL, RST, SC2, SFR, SVD, YAK
		STR048	48	M. multifidus cervicis	SC2
RUMP 2090	Rump Cap 2091	RMP005	5	M. biceps femoris (syn. gluteobiceps)	GRL, RST, SFR, YAK
		RMP030	30	M. gluteus accessorius	SFR, YAK
		RMP032	32	M. gluteus profundus	SC2, SFR, YAK
	Tri-Tip 2131	RMP087	87	M. tensor fasciae latae	GRL, RST, SFR, YAK
	Rostbiff 2110/D-Rump 2100 (sets grade for both)	RMP131	31	M. gluteus medius	COM, GRL, RST, SFR, YAK
	Rostbiff 2110	RMP231	31	M. gluteus medius	COM, GRL, RST, SFR, YAK
SILVERSIDE 2020	Outside Flat 2050/Outside meat 2033	OUT005	5	M. biceps femoris (syn. gluteobiceps)	COM, GRL, RST, SC2, SFR, SVD, YAK
	FDS	OUT027	27	M. flexor Digilbrum Superficialis	SC2
	Heel Special Trim 2365 Group B	OUT029	29	M. gastrocnemius	COM, RST, SC2, SVD
EYE ROUND 2040	Eye Round 2040/Inside meat 2035	EYE075	75	M. semitendinosus	COM, RST, SC2, SFR, SVD, YAK
TOPSIDE 2000		TOP001	1	M. adductor femoris	SC2, SFR, YAK
	Topside Cap 2002	TOP033	33	M. gracilis	SC2, SVD
		TOP055	55	M. pectineus	SFR, YAK
	Cap off Topside 2001/Inside meat 2035	TOP073	73	M. semimembranosus	COM, GRL, RST, SC2, SVD, YAK
KNUCKLE 2070	M.rectus femoris 2067	KNU066	66	M. rectus femoris	COM, RST, SC2, SVD
	M.vastus intermedius 2069	KNU098	98	M. vastus intermedius	SC2, SFR
	M. vastus lateralis 2068	KNU099	99	M. vastus lateralis	COM, RST, SC2, SVD, YAK
		KNU100	100	M. vastus medialis	SFR, YAK
THIN FLANK 2200	External flank Plate 2204	TFL051	51	M. obliquus externus abdominis	SC2, SFR, YAK
	Internal Flank Plate 2203 & Flap Meat 2206	TFL052	52	M. obliquus internus abdominis	SC2, SFR, YAK
	Flank Steak 2210	TFL064	64	M. rectus abdominis	SC2, SFR, YAK
HQ Shank	Shin/shank hindquarter 1683/2360/2365 Group A/D	HQSHIN		Muscle group surrounding the tibia	OSO, SC2
	Shin/shank hindquarter 1683/2360/2365 Group A	HQS059	59	M. peroneus tertius	SC2, SVD

Table 8. Ageing periods applied by muscle within three BIN cut collections

Days Aged	NORTHERN BIN						HEREFORD BIN				ANGUS BIN		
	7	8	10	11	28	TOTAL	7	8	28	TOTAL	4	28	TOTAL
BLD011											18	14	32
BLD041											18	18	36
BLD084		36				36	27		9	36	18	16	34
BLD088		34				34	18			18	16		16
BLD095		36				36	18		18	36	18	18	36
BLD096		59			13	72	48		24	72	36	36	72
BLD097		36				36	18		18	36	18	18	36
BRI056		72			36	108	83		52	135	81	63	144
BRI057		52			18	70	56		32	88	37	35	72
CHK007											16	11	27
CHK037							1			1			
CHK041				2		2							
CHK047		3				3	2		4	6	18	18	36
CHK048				24	1	25	12		14	26	18	18	36
CHK056											9		9
CHK068		36			36	72	26		27	53	32	33	65
CHK074		35				35	26		10	36	32	32	64
CHK078		89				89	72		36	108	63	53	116
CHK081		36				36	24		12	36	18	18	36
CHK082		36				36	23		12	35	18	18	36
CHK093											18	18	36
CHK137		18				18	18			18	18		18
CHK156		9				9	9			9	9		9
CHK178		9				9	9			9	9		9
CHK256		9				9	9			9	9		9
CHK278		9				9	9			9	9		9
CHK337		16				16	18			18	18		18
CTR085		43		2	27	72	43		27	70	36	35	71
EYE075			54		17	71	54		18	72	37	33	70
FQS004			18			18	9		9	18	18	18	36
FQS006			17			17	8		9	17	18	18	36
FQSHIN	36					36	71			71	55		55
HQS059	18					18	9		9	18	12	16	28
HQSHIN	36					36	46		18	64	56		56
INT037		45				45	31			31	71		71
INT237		36				36	36			36	36		36
KNU066			36			36	36			36	20	16	36
KNU098			17			17	18			18	12	6	18
KNU099			57		5	62	39		18	57	36	27	63
KNU100			18			18	18			18	12	6	18
OUT005			54		18	72	50		22	72	42	30	72
OUT027											5	7	12
OUT029			36			36	36			36	23	12	35
OYS036		90				90	90			90	44	46	90
RIB041							18			18	24	12	36
RIB078		20				20					12	6	18
RIB137		18				18	18			18	18		18
RIB141		18				18	18			18	18		18
RIB241		18				18	18			18	18		18
RIB337		20				20	18			18	18		18
RMP005			36			36		34		34	16	16	32
RMP030								12		12	2	5	7
RMP032			18			18		3		3			
RMP087			18			18					11	7	18
RMP131			45			45		43		43	22	23	45
RMP231			45			45		36		36	17	19	36
STR045	121				54	175	108		72	180	103	72	175
STR049											8	9	17
TDR034			18			18	18			18	9	9	18
TDR062			72			72	58			58	32	28	60
TFL051		36				36	24		12	36	18	18	36
TFL052		36				36	24		12	36	18	18	36
TFL064		36				36	23		12	35	18	18	36
TOP001			36			36	34			34	18	18	36
TOP033			36			36					18	18	36
TOP055			18			18					12	6	18
TOP073			108		36	144	93		42	135	73	71	144
TOTAL	211	1046	757	28	261	2303	1592	128	548	2268	1610	1080	2690

Days Aged	NORTHERN BIN						HEREFORD BIN				ANGUS BIN			
	7	8	10	11	28	TOTAL	7	8	28	TOTAL	4	28	TOTAL	
BLD011											18	14	32	
BLD041											18	18	36	
BLD084		36				36	27		9	36	18	16	34	
BLD088		34				34	18			18	16		16	
BLD095		36				36	18		18	36	18	18	36	
BLD096		59			13	72	48		24	72	36	36	72	
BLD097		36				36	18		18	36	18	18	36	
BRI056		72				36	108	83	52	135	81	63	144	
BRI057		52				18	70	56		32	88	37	35	72
CHK007											16	11	27	
CHK037							1			1				
CHK041				2		2								
CHK047		3				3	2		4	6	18	18	36	
CHK048				24	1	25	12		14	26	18	18	36	
CHK056											9		9	
CHK068		36				36	72	26		27	53	32	33	65
CHK074		35				35	26		10	36	32	32	64	
CHK078		89				89	72		36	108	63	53	116	
CHK081		36				36	24		12	36	18	18	36	
CHK082		36				36	23		12	35	18	18	36	
CHK093											18	18	36	
CHK137		18				18	18			18	18		18	
CHK156		9				9	9			9	9		9	
CHK178		9				9	9			9	9		9	
CHK256		9				9	9			9	9		9	
CHK278		9				9	9			9	9		9	
CHK337		16				16	18			18	18		18	
CTR085		43		2	27	72	43		27	70	36	35	71	
EYE075			54		17	71	54		18	72	37	33	70	
FQS004			18			18	9		9	18	18	18	36	
FQS006			17			17	8		9	17	18	18	36	
FQSHIN	36					36	71			71	55		55	
HQS059	18					18	9		9	18	12	16	28	
HQSHIN	36					36	46		18	64	56		56	
INT037		45				45	31			31	71		71	
INT237		36				36	36			36	36		36	
KNU066			36			36	36			36	20	16	36	
KNU098			17			17	18			18	12	6	18	
KNU099			57		5	62	39		18	57	36	27	63	
KNU100			18			18	18			18	12	6	18	
OUT005			54			18	72	50		22	72	42	30	72
OUT027											5	7	12	
OUT029			36			36	36			36	23	12	35	
OYS036		90				90	90			90	44	46	90	
RIB041							18			18	24	12	36	
RIB078		20				20					12	6	18	
RIB137		18				18	18			18	18		18	
RIB141		18				18	18			18	18		18	
RIB241		18				18	18			18	18		18	
RIB337		20				20	18			18	18		18	
RMP005			36			36		34		34	16	16	32	
RMP030								12		12	2	5	7	
RMP032			18			18		3		3				
RMP087			18			18					11	7	18	
RMP131			45			45		43		43	22	23	45	
RMP231			45			45		36		36	17	19	36	
STR045	121				54	175	108		72	180	103	72	175	
STR049											8	9	17	
TDR034			18			18	18			18	9	9	18	
TDR062			72			72	58			58	32	28	60	
TFL051		36				36	24		12	36	18	18	36	
TFL052		36				36	24		12	36	18	18	36	
TFL064		36				36	23		12	35	18	18	36	
TOP001			36			36	34			34	18	18	36	
TOP033			36			36					18	18	36	
TOP055			18			18					12	6	18	
TOP073			108		36	144	93		42	135	73	71	144	
TOTAL	211	1046	757	28	261	2303	1592	128	548	2268	1610	1080	2690	

3.1.3 Pick Design

Under MSA protocols (Gee, 2006) a “Pick” represents 42 consumer samples that are served to 60 consumers with each consumer being served 7 samples and each sample being assessed by 10 consumers. The first sample served to all consumers is designated a “Link” and designed to be a mid eating quality to align the consumers at a mid point on the scales. 10 consumers each taste a common link sample so that 6 of the 42 samples tested within a pick are links. The data from these is identified and while utilised in full to assess consumer characteristics is not mixed with subsequent sample data used for prediction modelling.

The principles involved in designing picks are described in Anon, 2008 with key aspects around the use of a 6x6 Latin square to control presentational order of 6 products, each comprising 6 samples. While the products are allocated to ensure a wide expected quality range the samples within product are selected to be as uniform as possible. As every consumer is served one sample from each product (but in balanced Latin square defined order) each consumer is expected to receive a discernible quality range.

Further detail is that consumers are paired with 5 GRL, RST or COM samples halved after cooking to serve 10 consumers or, in the case of SC2, SVD, OSO and SFR each pair served from the same sample bain marie pan at the same time. Yakiniiku samples are individually cooked but also duplicated within pairs who are served in different groups.

Each of the 5 servings for any sample are in five different serving orders between 2 and 7 and within a different subset of 12 consumers, ensuring that all samples are distributed across the group and serving order in addition to fully balanced presentation at the product level.

A total of 183 consumer picks were designed with a majority entirely composed of BIN samples with some combined with other product collections to ensure linkage within the AUSBlue data.

3.1.4 Picking & Posting

Picking relates to the sorting of samples into picks as designated by the pick designs which utilise AUSBlue programs to select a sample, allocate to a product and then produce operational files to manage and record the sorting / picking process. These processes are further described by Gee (2006) and summarised by Anon (2008). When all samples have been confirmed as ‘found’, further software routines allocate the samples to their Latin square order and to individual consumers. The software also produces files from which to print labels used on the consumer questionnaires and plates together with files to check and process the results back into AUSBlue and the sensory files. This process was conducted for all the BIN product.

The process following picking varied with the cooking method. For SC2 and SVD samples the 42 bags (samples) selected moved directly to cooking with the cubes within each bag browned and cooked for 2 hours in a broth for SC2 or cooked directly for 2 hours in the bag using a water bath for SVD prior to transfer to 1/9th bain marie pans for serving. Some protocol modifications were developed to manage the SVD method and enable serving of paired SC2 and SVD samples within a common pick (group of consumers).

The RST and COM samples were transferred directly to cooking once picked as no further preparation was required.

The SFR and YAK samples were further processed by slicing the prepared sample blocks into 22 Stirfry pieces, notionally 10 x 10 x 75mm or to 20 Yakiniyu slices notionally 20 x 50 x 4mm prior to cooking.

The GRL picks required a standard “Posting” process in which the 5 steaks within each sample were arranged into specific order and positions on 21 vacuum packed A4 Round Sheets to control cooking and serving order. To conduct a post, the 42 sample bags were laid out on a table in alphanumeric order adjacent to a vacuum packing machine and opened. A Round Sheet with 10 EQSref and matching Sequence numbers was placed within a plastic sleeve in turn within a 250 x 350mm vac bag and secured by the closed end to a clipboard. One person was then positioned with the clipboard and called one of the 10 EQSRef numbers. A second person positioned near the 42 open sample bags then located the EQSRef and called back the Sequence number. Once confirmed the sample bag was correct by the cross calling procedure a single steak (of 5) was passed to the caller and placed on top of the EQSRef printed on the Round sheet. This process was repeated until 10 steaks were located on their EQSRef locations at which point the Round was complete and the bag vacuumed and sealed to ensure the steaks were held in position in the standard 3 – 4 – 3 orientation. The process was repeated until all 21 rounds were posted at which point all round sheets had 10 steaks and all 42 sample bags were empty.

This procedure was utilised to deliver the required presentational order. The Round sheets are laid against the grill during cooking in order from Round 1 to 7 for each group of 20 consumers within a pick. Following a timer the 10 steaks are transferred to the grill in a strict left to right, top to bottom pattern which maintains ID from raw to cooked.

4 Results

The result, as specified in the project objectives, was successfully achieved with the final milestone completed with the picking and posting of samples. The sensory testing and resulting data are reported within an associated project (L.EQT.1720) and have been of fundamental importance in developing the next generation MSA prediction model.

The project successfully identified and collected 26 muscles, not previously tested by MSA, together with dramatically expanding data on other muscles either not tested for a long period and/or with very low existing data. In all, 7,261 consumer samples were fabricated from up to 67 muscles, drawn from each of the 54 source cattle. Samples were prepared for paired evaluation of new cooking methods, to strengthen ageing data across muscles and to compare consumer response to selected cuts cooked on the bone relative to boneless.

5 Discussion

The scale and complexity of this project demanded detailed planning and extensive cooperation between multiple parties including the three BIN management groups, other research interests to be accommodated within each group, MSA staff, the abattoirs, UNE and contract staff. The need for extremely detailed muscle identification demanded highly skilled butchers, more akin to dissectionists, with the control of samples and preparation also well beyond more typical cut collection and fabrication projects.

The project could not have been successfully planned and delivered without engaging a highly experienced and motivated team over a three month period and their prioritising this work despite many other demands on their time. This dedication and commitment is appreciated.

The engagement of students, including a French graduate, is considered a benefit providing the opportunity for early career scientists and students to engage in highly complex research activity in conjunction with experienced senior researchers and industry experts. This should be of great value in building industry understanding and knowledge of experimental design and protocol delivery under challenging industry conditions.

Cooperation was excellent at all sites and the assistance of all parties is gratefully acknowledged.

6 Conclusions/recommendations

The project highlighted a number of challenges related to both scale and complexity. These were successfully met but relied heavily on a very experienced team from MSA and external contractors. The need for extremely detailed planning and communication with abattoir staff both before and during on plant activities was reinforced and should be noted as an essential component of any future similar project.

Some practical problems were realised which related to very large primal cuts being subdivided during packing due to carton size or programmed weight limits at the abattoir after the initial bagging. This required additional primal tickets and created challenges in “reassembling” the primal at UNE. In future, this could be reduced by either alternative packaging solutions or by cut reduction along muscle seams in a specified manner.

7 Key messages

Given this project related to the collection and preparation of consumer samples with results primarily to be used in MSA prediction modelling, the key messages relate to process rather than ultimate industry value, although they created a sound and critical base for ensuing research activity.

Key messages are:

- 54 cattle from 3 different BIN projects were utilised to provide a wide range of cattle types from which to collect primal cuts for MSA consumer sample fabrication. This countered the risk of individual muscle differences being confounded with specific cattle types or environments.
- 7,261 consumer samples, each to be evaluated by 10 consumers, were fabricated from up to 67 muscles collected from each carcase.
- Sample fabrication included 26 muscles not previously tested by MSA.
- Many other muscles with little existing or current data were also collected.
- Samples were prepared to enable testing of new MSA cook methods including sous-vide and moist heat (combi oven) roasting together with comparison of cooking on and off the bone with boneless shin compared to osso bucco and chuck and short rib portions cooked as a bone-in piece compared to paired boneless samples from the other carcase side.
- The extensive muscle linkage within animal provides extremely powerful data for use in prediction modelling and will be a major contributor to development of MSA models.

7.1 Bibliography

Anon (2005). Handbook of Australian Meat 7th Edition “International Red Meat Manual”. AUS-MEAT Ltd. ISBN 0 9578793 69.

Anon (2008). Accessory Publication: MSA sensory testing protocols. Aust. J. Exper. Agric. 48(11), 1360-1367.

Butterfield, R.M and May N.D.S. (1966). Muscles of the Ox. University of Queensland Press., Brisbane, Australia.

Polkinghorne, R. and Gee, A. (2006). Protocol Book 1. Cut Up Developer (CUD) software for modelling consumer tests for MSA beef.

Gee, A. (2006). Protocol Book 3. Fabricating, freezing and storage of taste test samples of Beef for MSA Pathway trials.