

# final report

Project Code:

P.PSH.0217

Prepared by:

Thomas Meat Machinery

Date published:

June 2006

PUBLISHED BY Meat and Livestock Australia Limited Locked Bag 991 NORTH SYDNEY NSW 2059

# Evaluation of Meat Products from a Prototype Spiral Grinding Plates

Meat & Livestock Australia and the MLA Donor Company acknowledge the matching funds provided by the Australian Government to support the research and development detailed in this publication.

This publication is published by Meat & Livestock Australia Limited ABN 39 081 678 364 (MLA). Care is taken to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this publication. However MLA cannot accept responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the information or opinions contained in the publication. You should make your own enquiries before making decisions concerning your interests. Reproduction in whole or in part of this publication is prohibited without prior written consent of MLA.

# Preliminary Evaluation of Restructured Beef and Lamb Products Produced using Mincing Plates Developed by Thompson Meat Machinery

Prepared by

Dean Gutzke

Food Science Australia Brisbane Laboratory, PO Box 3312 Tingalpa DC Qld 4173

Tel: (07) 3214 2000 Fax: (07) 3214 2062 *E-mail:* Dean.Gutzke@foodscience.afisc.csiro.au

> A fee-for-service report prepared for Mr Rod Sammon Thompson Meat Machinery

> > May 1999

# Preliminary evaluation of restructured beef and lamb products produced using mincing plates developed by Thompson Meat Machinery.

#### BACKGROUND TO THE RESEARCH

Thompson Meat Machinery Pty Ltd are currently developing new mincing methods for production of minced meat products which do not require binders to retain their shape, either raw or cooked. To assist in the design of mincing plates to produce minced meat products with optimum bind, a preliminary investigation was carried out with assistance from Thompson Meat Machinery at the Food Science Australia facility.

The purpose of this trial was to evaluate two mincing plates, by using them to process various meat trims. Beef and lamb trim (80 percent Chemical Lean [CL] and 90 percent CL) and beef knuckle muscle were used for the trial.

#### **PRODUCT TREATMENTS**

Beef:

A. Spiral - 90 CL Beef Trim

- 1. Mixed for 1 minute then filled into clear 150mm casing
- 2. Mixed for 1 minute then vacuum packed
- 3. Cut twice (2<sup>nd</sup> cut) then vacuum packed
- B. Straight 2mm plate 90 CL Beef Trim
- 1. Mixed for 3 minutes and filled into clear 150mm casing
- 2. Mixed for 6 minutes and filled into clear 150mm casing
- 3. Mixed for 3 minutes and vacuum packed
- 4. Mixed for 6 minutes and vacuum packed

#### C. FSA Kidney plate + straight 2mm plate - 80CL Beef Trim

- 1. No mixing, filled into clear 150mm clear casing
- 2. Mixed for 6 mins then filled into 150mm clear casing
- 3. No mixing, vacuum packed
- 4. Mixed for 6 minutes then vacuum packed

D. Straight 2mm plate - 80CL Beef Trim

- 1. No mixing, filled into 150mm clear casing
- 2. Mixed for 13 minutes then filled into 150mm clear casing
- 3. No mixing, vacuum packed
- 4. Mixed for 13 minutes then vacuum packed

#### E. Straight 2mm plate - 90CL Beef Aged Knuckle

- 1. No mixing, filled into clear casing
- 2. Mixed for 6 minutes then filled into 150mm clear casing
- 3. No mixing, vacuum packed
- 4. Mixed for 6 minutes then vacuum packed

#### Lamb:

#### F. Straight 2mm plate - 80CL Lamb Trim

- 1. No mixing, filled into 150mm clear casing
- 2. Mixed for 6 minutes then filled into 150 mm clear casing
- 3. No mixing, vacuum packed
- 4. Mixed for 6 minutes then vacuum packed

G. Straight 2mm plate - 90CL Lamb Trim

- 1. No mixing, filled into 150mm clear casing
- 2. Mixed for 6 minutes then filled into 150mm clear casing
- 3. No mixing, vacuum packed
- 4. Mixed for 6 minutes then vacuum packed

#### METHODOLOGY

#### **Raw Material**

Fresh (<48 h p.m.) beef and lamb trim (80CL and 90CL) and beef knuckle muscle provided by Thompson Meat Machinery were used to manufacture 100% meat slices and roasts. The meat was delivered chilled to Food Science Australia in Brisbane and used immediately for processing.

Thompson Meat Machinery supplied mincing equipment and mincing plates. They also supplied casings and the meat used in the trial. Thompson Meat Machinery also supplied four staff to observe the processing and evaluation of the products. **Preparation of Beef and Lamb Products (fresh and chilled for 24 hrs)** Raw beef or lamb meat was minced (2mm mincing plate), and the minced product was filled into casings. Chubs were removed from the chiller after 24 hours, and sliced into steaks and roasts for evaluation.

The following is a description of the four products.

- 1. 100% minced beef steaks: Sliced from chubs manufactured from raw minced beef (80CL and 90CL) with no binders added.
- 2. 100% minced lamb steaks: Sliced from chubs manufactured from raw minced lamb (80CL and 90CL) with no binders added.
- 3. 100% minced beef roasts: Chubs manufactured form raw minced beef (80CL and 90CL) with no binders added, which were then cut into roasting size portions of approximately 1 kg.
- 4. 100% minced lamb roasts: Chubs manufactured form raw minced lamb (80CL and 90CL) with no binders added, which were then cut into roasting size portions of approximately 1 kg.

Each steak was cooked at 180°C for four minutes each side and for a further minute on each side, using a Silex hotplate. The results of the visual assessment were recorded and a summary of these results is included in Table 1. Individual comments made by the four observers will be included in the Appendix of the report. Comments were noted and products allocated a score out of five for easy reference later (See Table 2).

Chubs used for roasts were chilled overnight. Roasts were prepared in a conventional oven to determine suitability of the products as roasting pieces.

#### **Evaluation of Products**

The following is a summary of the tests that were conducted on the two beef and two lamb products 24 hours after manufacture. General appearance was assessed visually, and raw bind strength was evaluated by physically holding the slice in one hand so that it hung suspended, then gently applying pressure until the bind fails. Overall bind strength was assessed subjectively and a percentage allocated.

Once cooked, the steaks were allowed to cool, sliced to determine the ease of cutting and allocated a score out of five, where 1 represented poor sliceability, and 5 represented excellent sliceability. Pieces of the steak were sampled and comments were tabulated with regard to flavour, tenderness, juiciness, and texture. Finally, a score out of five was given to each product in order to rank the most acceptable treatment.

Some of the products were selected for roasting. These products were cooked in a conventional oven at 180°C for 35-45 minutes, then cooled for 5 minutes before slicing. Appearance of the roasted meat, particle size, and colour were noted before samples were sliced and tasted. A score out of five was then allocated.

#### RESULTS

Note that large pieces of raw beef trim were placed in the TMM mincer, with a 2mm spiral plate and blade. Due to the size of the meat pieces being forced through the small plate apertures, the plate broke. This was caused by not having a pre-breaker plate in use. As a result of the spiral blade failure, the remainder of the trial consisted of use of the straight 2mm plate only. To lessen the possibility of further damage, the meat trim was broken down into smaller pieces before being minced.

The Appendix contains the preliminary bind stability results of the minced beef and minced lamb steaks and roasts. Other factors for consideration were overall appearance, tenderness, juiciness, flavour and texture.

#### **Comments:**

*i)* Steaks produced from fresh minced beef and lamb chubs, cooked immediately after mincing.

The best response was given to the straight plate double-cut beef trim, mixed for 6 minutes, followed by the straight single-cut beef trim mixed for 6 minutes. It was thought that the spiral cut samples were of a more dry texture, and that the evaluation the following day would either prove or disprove this theory. The lamb samples were not satisfactory, as the level of cook loss was quite high compared to the beef samples. The lamb steaks appeared rubbery and chewy by comparison.

# ii) Steaks produced from minced beef and lamb chubs stored at $0^{\circ}C$ for 24 hours.

The five best cooked steak products were as follows;

- 1. 80CL Beef, minced through CSIRO kidney plate, then put through the Straight 2mm plate. Mixed for 6 minutes then extruded into a clear casing. (Rated 4 out of 5)
- 2. 90CL Beef, Spiral plate, second cut, mixed for 6 minutes, then vacuum packaged. (Rated 4 out of 5)
- 3. 80CL Beef, Straight plate cut, no mixing and extruded into oxygen permeable polyethylene casing provided by the client. (Rated 3.5 out of 5)

- 4. 80CL Beef, Straight plate cut, mixed for 13 minutes, then vacuum packaged. (Rated 3.5 out of 5)
- 5. 80CL Beef, minced through CSIRO kidney plate, then put through the Straight 2mm plate. Mixed for 6 minutes then vacuum packaged. (Rated 3.5 out of 5)

# iii) Evaluation of Roasts produced from fresh minced meat chubs stored at $0 \,^{\circ}$ C for 24 hours.

The following treatments produced the most favourable beef and lamb roasts, with factors for consideration including overall appearance, tenderness, juiciness, flavour and texture. The higher the listed rating, the more favoured the product.

#### Beef:

- 1. 80CL Beef Straight plate cut (2mm), mixed for 6 minutes, then filled into a casing. (Rated 4 out of 5)
- 2. 90CL Beef Double cut Straight plate (2mm), mixed for 6 minutes, then vacuum packaged. (Rated 3.5 out of 5)
- 3. 90CL Beef Straight plate cut (2mm), mixed for 6 minutes, then filled into a clear casing. (Rated 3 out of 5)
- 4. 90CL Beef Spiral plate cut, mixed for 1 minute then filled into a clear casing. (Rated 2.5 out of 5)
- 5. 80CL Beef Straight plate cut (2mm), mixed for 13 minutes, then filled into a clear casing. (Rated 2 out of 5)

#### Lamb:

- 1. 80CL Lamb, Straight plate cut (2mm), mixed for 6 minutes, then filled into a clear casing. (Rated 3 out of 5)
- 2. 90CL Lamb, Straight plate cut (2mm), no mixing and vacuum packed. (Rated 2 out of 5)
- 3. 90CL Lamb, Straight plate cut (2mm), mixed for 6 minutes then vacuum packed. (Rated 1.5 out of 5)
- 4. 90 CL Lamb, Straight plate cut (2mm), no mixing and filled into a clear casing. (Rated 0.5 out of 5)

#### CONCLUSION

It appeared from preliminary results that some degree of binding occurred in steaks and roasts produced from minced meat, made using modified mincing plates. Further trials are required to quantify the bind strength of these meat products produced using the Thompson Meat Machinery mincing plates.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Food Science Australia recommends that Thompson Meat Machinery conduct further trials such as objective measurement of bind strength in both raw and cooked products manufactured from minced meat. The recommendation includes microbial trials and further assessment of different mincing blades to improve the binding ability of the minced and formed meat products. Further cooking and evaluation trials will also be required.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Food Science Australia would like to thank Mr Peter Finitsis and Sharon Kennedy-Miles for their contribution in conducting this trial and preparing the preliminary report.

Dean Gutzke (Ph.D) Food Technologist Phone: 07 32142004 Fax: 07 32142062

### **APPENDIX 1.**

2

 $\mathcal{O}$ 

ſ	Treatment	Appearance	Slice test	Taste	Tenderness	Juiciness	Rating Out of 5
	90CL Beef straight plate no mixing	Browned	Good initial cut, second cut showing average level of bind	Definitely steak like in flavour	Tender steak-like texture	Good mouthfeel - juicy	3.5
ľ	90CL Beef spiral 2 <sup>nd</sup> cut no mixing	Relatively even cook throughout	Good 1 <sup>st</sup> cut with good bind, 2 <sup>nd</sup> cut shows less bind	Meatloaf-like texture, solid	Dense, slightly chewy	Dry	2
-	90CL Beef spiral single cut mixed for 6 minutes		Initial cut below average bind, 2 <sup>nd</sup> cut better	Steaklike in flavour	Good mouthfeel, not hard to chew	Medium dry	3
[	80CLBeef straight double cut, mixed for 6 minutes	Visually the most appealing and meat like product	Smooth initial cut, 2 <sup>nd</sup> cut being excellent	Steak like with a medium/dry aftertaste	tender	juicy	4
Ī	80CL Beef straight single cut, mixed for 6 minutes		Initial cut and 2 <sup>nd</sup> cut good	Good mouthfeel, good flavour	Not too hard to chew	juicy	2.5
Ī	90CL Beef spiral double cut, mixed for 6 minutes		Initial cut good, 2 <sup>nd</sup> cut average	Very dry in taste, almost crumbly	Easy to chew, dry texture	Dry	2
-	80 CL Lamb straight cut, mixed 6 minutes	Shrunk by one third, connective tissue visible in strands, uneven texture. Like hamburger patty.	First cut not good/clean	Mince-like, rubbery texture	chewy	Extremely oily, with dry aftertaste	1.5
	90CL Lamb straight cut, mixed 6 mnutes	Uneven texture compared to beef	First cut good, 2 <sup>nd</sup> cut average	Great mouthfeel, good taste	Still a bit rubbery on the outside	juicy	2

٠.

## Table 1. Steaks produced from fresh meat (cooked) – Evaluation of Steaks processed immediately after mincing.

\_\_\_\_l

ſ		Treatment	Bind score	Wave test	Appearance	Slice rating	Taste	Tenderness	Juiciness	Rating out of 5
-	90CL Beef	Spiral cut, 1 minute mix-casing	50%	4 waves	Fatty particles visible	Sliced well -2/5	Dry aftertaste	Tough and rubbery, very chewy	Juicy	2
		Spiral cut, 1 minute mix – vac pack	70%	7 waves	Better shape, due to forming under vacuum packaging. Semi-marbled	Sliced well – 3/5	bland	Good meaty texture	Moist, even though seeming a little overcooked	2.5
$\vee$ –		Spiral cut, 6 minute mix, vac pack	80%	9 waves	Very natural appearance.	Sliced well – 3/5	Very meaty like beef	Dry mouthfeel after chewing	A bit dry	4
		Straight cut 2mm, 3 minute mix - casing	30%	2 wave	Loose bind structure, casing lacks pressure of vac pack	Rough slice	discarded	discarded	discarded	I
-		Straight cut 2mm, 6 minute mix - casingD	D	I	S	С	A	R	D	ED
		Straight cut 2mm, 3 minute mix – vac pack	60%	6 waves	Jagged cut surface, blotchy	Average sliceability 3/5	discarded	discarded	discarded	2.5
		Straight cut 2mm, 6 minute mix - vac pack	50%	4 waves	Air pockets visible in meat, not as compact	Good slicing 4/5	Meaty, but not textured like real steak	chewy	juicy	3.5
	80CL Beef (Polyethylene casing)	Straight cut 2mm, no mixing -casing	60%	6 waves	Air present, looser bind than with vac pack method	Sliced well 3.5/5	Very pleasant product with no dry aftertaste. Very good. BEST SO FAR	Chewy, but not too bad	Good juicy flavour	4
	90CL Beef- frozen	Straight cut, no mixing			Full of air	3/5 slice rating	Very dull	Not tender	Very dry	1.5
		Treatment	Bind Score	Wave Test	Appearance	Slice Rating	Taste	Tenderness	Juciness	Rating out of 5
	80CL Beef	CSIRO Kidney Plate, then Straight cut 2mm no mixing filled into casing	25%	1.5 waves	Not good- too much air content, not firm	Not scored	Not tasted	Not tried	Not tried	1
		CSIRO Kidney Plate, then Straight cut 2mm 6 minutemix,then filled into casing	30%	2 waves	First cut terrible, uneven texture	2/5	Nice flavour and mouthfeel delicious!	tender	Very juicy	4
		CSIRO Kidney Plate, then Straight cut 2mm 6 min mix, vac pack	70%	7 wave	Fatty appearance, but firm	2.5/5 good slice	Good with a good 'bite'	Firm but not chewy	juicy	3.5
	80CL Beef	Straight cut no mix, filled into casing	10%	Not a good bind, bad appearance	Not rated	Not tried	Not tried	Not tried	Not tried	1
		Straight cut 13 min mix, filled into casing	30%	3 waves	Bad cut,too crumbly	2/5	Not tried	Not tried	Not tried	1

## Table 2.Steaks produced from minced meat (stored at 02 for 24hrs)

-

1	<u>L</u>	L <u></u>	11		L	<u> </u>	[]				<u>[</u> ]	( <u>.                                    </u>	[]			[ <b>]</b>		II	<u>8</u>
---	----------	-----------	----	--	---	----------	----	--	--	--	------------	--	----	--	--	------------	--	----	----------

Table 2.	Steaks	produced fro	om minced	meat (	stored a	t 0°cfor	24hrs)	continued
----------	--------	--------------	-----------	--------	----------	----------	--------	-----------

	Treatment	Bind Score	Wave Test	Appearance	Slice Rating	Taste	Tenderness	Juiciness	Rating out of
80CL Beef	Straight cut no mix, vac pack	60%	5 waves	Some air present, but not crumbly. Very fatty	Good first cut 2.5	Not tried	Not tried	Not tried	2
	Straight cut 13 min mix, vac pack	50%	4 waves	Some air spaces texture rates 3.5/5	Easily cut 3/5	Good flavour	Soft and tender,	juicy	3.5
Beef Muscle	Straight cut 2mm 6 min mix, filled into casing	50%	3 wave	Not worth testing further	Easy to cut 3/5	Not tried	Not tried	Not tried	1.5
	Straight cut 2mm no mixing, vac pack	50%	3 wave	Some air present	Moderately easy to cut 2.5/5	Not tried	Very soft	Not tried	1.5
	Straight cut 2mm 3 min mix, in casing	negligible	Not tested	Not well bound	Not tried	Not tried	Not tried	Not tried	1
80CL Lamb	Straight cut 2mm, no mix filled into casing	Not rated	D	I	S	С	A	R	D
	Straight cut 2mm, 6 min mix filled into casing	40%	2 waves	Not properly bound TRY AS A ROAST	Not sliced	Not lamb tasting!	Not tried	Not tried	.5
	Straight cut 2mm, no mix, vac pack	D	1	S	С	A	R	D	ED
	Straight cut 2mm, no mix into casing	D	I	S	C	А	R	D	ED
90CL Lamb	Straight cut 2mm, no mix filled into polyethylene casing	30%	1.5 wave	Dull colour TRY AS A ROAST	Fair slicing 2.5/5	Not tried	Not tried	Not tried	2.5
90CL Lamb	Straight cut 2mm, 6 min mix filled into casing	10%	0 waves	terrible	1/5 for cut	Not tried	Not tried	Not tried	1
	Straight cut 2mm, no mix vac pack	60%	6 wave	Air present TRY AS A ROAST	3/5	Better than the 80 CL lamb, full of flavour with no taint	Moderately tender	juicy	3.5
	Straight cut 2mm, 6 min mix vac pack	40%	2 waves	OK TRY AS A ROAST	Sliced well 3.5/5	Not tried	Not tried	Not tried	2
95CL Beef	Straight cut 2mm, 6 min mix, filled into casing	40%	3 waves	Full of air, not solid like vac packed product. Like a hamburger	2/5	Not tried	Not tried	Not tried	1

-

## Table 3.Evaluation of Roasts

	Treatment	Bind	Appearance	Slice Rating	Taste	Tenderness	Juciness	Rating out of 5
90CL Beef	Straight cut, 6 min mix into casing	Bind crumbled	Better – fewer surface cracks. Dry appearance on outside	Bad – lots of air present	Closer to typical beef roast than other, some liverish taste	Rubbery chew	Moist, but chewy	3/5
80CL Beef	Straight cut, 6 min mix into casing	Well bound. The roll kept its shape and integrity	Resembles roast meat in appearance	Good slice – easily cut. nice and smooth	Good taste, with a slight fatty aftertaste. Maybe try 85CL?	Moist	juicy	4/5
90CL Beef	Double cut Straight plate 2mm, 6 min mix, vac pack	Maintained integrity did not shrink	No cracks, kept shape perfectly. Looks a little dry	Great to slice, although some air pockets visible	Good taste and texture, easy to chew	Moderately tender	A bit dry to quite dry. Try 85CL for moister product	3.5/5
80CL Beef	Straight cut plate 2mm, 13 min mix into casing	Far too crumbly very little bind	Lots of cracks on surface, looks dry	Crumbled on slicing	Dry granular texture	Low degree of tenderness	Dry!	2/5
80CL Lamb	Straight cut, 6 min mix into casing	Crumbly texture, too much air	Full of air pockets and blood spots	Held shape, though shrunken, crumbly	Bland taste, some taint (metallic)	chewy	moist	3/5
90CL Lamb	Straight cut, 2mm, no mix vac packed	Not fully bound inside roast	Cracks on surface, but not as open	Terrible texture, hard to slice, large particles	Bland but not oily	Full of air, chewy	Too dry try using 85CL	2/5
90CL Lamb	Straight cut, 2mm no mix into casing	Not well bound at all – total waste of effort	vile	Falling apart	bland	yuk	yuk	.5/5
90CL Lamb	Straight cut 2mm, 6 min mix vac pack	Need a tighter bind, too loose –	Meaty appearance. Too much air	Good cut but too grainy	Bland flavour	chewy	Dry and bland	1/5

.

•

,