



Final report

Improving fertility in northern cattle through host and pathogen molecular diagnosis

Project code:

P.PSH.0799

Prepared by: Prof Ala E. Tabor, Dr Gry Boe-Hansen, Dr Kieren McCosker, Dr Hannah Siddle, Prof Ben H. Hayes, Dr Ali Raza, Mst Sogra Banu Juli, Zhetao Zhang, Dr Conny Turni, Dr Lida Omaleki, Dr Chian Teng Ong, Dr Elizabeth Ross, Dr Shannon Landmark, Retired: Dr Geoffry Fordyce, A/Prof Patrick Blackall.

The University of Queensland

Date published:

14 September 2023

PUBLISHED BY Meat & Livestock Australia Limited PO Box 1961 NORTH SYDNEY NSW 2059

This is an MLA Donor Company funded project.

Meat & Livestock Australia acknowledges the matching funds provided by the Australian Government to support the research and development detailed in this publication.

This publication is published by Meat & Livestock Australia Limited ABN 39 081 678 364 (MLA). Care is taken to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this publication. However MLA cannot accept responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the information or opinions contained in the publication. You should make your own enquiries before making decisions concerning your interests. Reproduction in whole or in part of this publication is prohibited without prior written consent of MLA.

Abstract

Vibriosis or Bovine Genital Campylobacteriosis is a widespread venereal disease of cattle which is carried by asymptomatic bulls causing infertility or abortion in heifers and cows. It can be prevented by effective VibroVax[®] vaccination of maiden heifers and bulls, maintaining a young bull herd (culling old bulls), and by mating Campylobacter fetus subspecies venerealis negative bulls. Issues with BGC are thus mostly due to poor vaccination compliance and the retainment of old bulls in the herd. Currently there are no tests available to detect C. fetus venerealis (to differentiate from C. fetus subsp. fetus which is less likely to cause abortion) in clinical samples, and the available IgA ELISA cannot confirm a current infection. Through undertaking vaccination challenge trials in heifers and bulls, and through sampling field herds, this project has developed a 'tool kit' for managing BGC. The trials demonstrated that C. fetus venerealis can be detected in vaccinated and unvaccinated animals and that qPCR positivity is transient. Trials confirmed that young bulls clear the pathogen compared to old bulls. A newly developed TaqMan SNP qPCR assay was less sensitive than targeted long read genome sequencing in detecting low levels of C. fetus venerealis in clinical samples. Poor C. fetus venerealis detection in heifers rendered the ability to identify EBVs for BGC resistance not possible in this research. Serum biomarkers were identified which can confirm BGC infection and/or vaccination status. If an entity could be identified to deliver the genomic sequencing screening as a service, the tools developed here will ultimately enable red meat producers to manage vibriosis/BGC and other reproductive diseases.

Executive summary

Background

Campylobacter fetus venerealis is known as the venereal disease agent of bovine genital campylobacteriosis (BGC or Vibriosis) causing infertility and abortion, while a related subspecies C. fetus fetus only causes sporadic abortions. Currently there is only a herd IgA ELISA diagnostic test for vibriosis/BGC offered by Veterinary diagnostic laboratories in Australia, which retains long term positivity following initial exposure to the disease. This test cannot be used to confirm the presence of the causative pathogen C. fetus venerealis. Diagnostic assays need to discriminate between the two subspecies which has proven to be problematic when relying on the isolation of pure cultures from contaminated diagnostic samples. Some diagnostic markers previously developed (McMillen et al., 2006; Abril et al., 2007) were also found to cross react with closely related species such as C. hyointestinalis (Spence et al., 2011; Sanhueza et al., 2014) and other species commonly found in the bull prepuce (Lew-Tabor et al., 2013). Until the genomes of these species were sequenced, it became evident that species sharing the same niche were potentially more likely to exchange mobile genetic elements containing virulence genes which are thus unreliable diagnostic markers (Gorkiewicz et al., 2010; van der Graaf-van Bloois et al., 2013, 2014; Lew-Tabor et al., 2013; Barrero et al., 2014). This suggested that conserved housekeeping genes could be the best diagnostic assay targets however none to date have been exploited by other groups. Other questions arose from our research including, do other species found in the bull prepuce cause reproductive diseases? or does the microbiome favour conditions for the persistence of C. fetus venerealis particularly in asymptomatic bulls?

This project planned to define the microbiomes of the reproductive tracts in healthy and diseased tropical cattle and investigate the host genetics associated with reproductive disease susceptibility/resistance (within the same cattle). This would lead to the development of specific diagnostic tests for BGC and determine whether the microbiome is associated with increased susceptibility to BGC. As a result of understanding genetic variations between cattle we will also pilot the development of genetic markers that can be used in breeding programs to select for BGC resistant genotypes.

Potential Outcomes Planned included:

• Novel methods for differentiating *C. fetus* subspecies *fetus* and *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* (the latter subspecies is the cause of BGC).

- Identification of novel genital pathogens.
- Diagnostic test for distinguishing BGC-infected from vaccinated animals.
- EBV for resistance/susceptibility to genital infections.

Objectives

By 1 Dec 2023 (originally drafted in 2017):

a) Sampled at least 1,000 cattle (heifers, cows and bulls) from healthy and diseased northern beef herds for genotyping and microbiome analysis.

One thousand and sixteen cattle were sampled for this study thus the objective was met successfully.

b) Defined the microbiomes of the reproductive tracts in healthy and diseased cattle.

The microbiome data from the field samples from Objective a) (2.1) were difficult to interpret, thus two controlled vaccine and *C. fetus venerealis* challenge studies were undertaken in heifers and bulls respectively. The microbiomes of unvaccinated cattle were successfully compared to vaccinated cattle in each trial to define the microbiomes of healthy and diseased (unvaccinated challenged) cattle.

c) Investigated the host genomics associated with reproductive disease susceptibility/resistance.

Despite access to nine herds which did not vaccinate with VibroVax[®], too few *C. fetus venerealis* cattle were identified using qPCR methods (Objective d) or 2.4) to undertake host genomics associated with BGC susceptibility/resistance. Instead, we determined that the host genome potentially plays a role in influencing the reproductive microbiome associated with disease susceptibility/resistance.

d) Developed a validated specific molecular diagnostic test for BGC.

Following *C. fetus* genome analyses, the MraY gene TaqMan SNP Assay was validated as a specific molecular diagnostic test for BGC meeting this objective successfully. However, for low positive or ambiguous samples in qPCR, the application of Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT) sequencing on clinical samples was shown to be more reliable for the detection of *C. fetus venerealis*.

e) Determined whether the genital microbiome is associated with increased susceptibility to BGC

Differences in the genital microbiome from different herds is an indicator of exposure to different environments and a reflection of the gastrointestinal microbiome in those environments. Susceptibility to BGC could only be associated with the detected presence of *C. fetus* in the genital microbiome of cattle from unvaccinated herds. Herds identified with *C. fetus* demonstrated a more diverse microbiome than those without *C. fetus* successfully meeting this objective.

f) Determined whether BGC immune biomarkers (post infection or vaccination) can be identified for assay development

The metagenome has no clear differences between vaccinated and unvaccinated bulls, however challenge with *C. fetus venerealis* leads to an increase in *Histophilus* spp. consistently. Vitamin D binding protein (DBP), haptoglobin (HP) and serum amyloid A (SAA) were identified as biomarkers for *C. fetus venerealis* post infection (DBP, HP, SAA) and BGC vaccination (DBP) successfully.

g) Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be used in breeding programs to select for resistant genotypes.

Objective c) (2.3) demonstrated that due to a lack of qPCR positive cattle, genomics studies for BGC resistance was not possible. Preliminary data also demonstrated that the host genome potentially plays a role in influencing the reproductive microbiome associated with disease susceptibility/resistance, however we were unsuccessful in securing sufficient samples from diseased herds towards genomic marker development.

Methodology

Reproductive tract samples from the northern genomics project were screened using new *C. fetus venerealis* qPCR assay and metagenomics methods. Inconclusive results led to the undertaking of two controlled trials in heifers and in bulls to compare host responses to *C. fetus venerealis* challenge in vaccinated vs unvaccinated animals. Reproductive samples were measured in qPCR, metagenomics, and long read sequencing; and serum was used for quantitative proteomics to identify BGC associated biomarkers.

Results/key findings

The reproductive microbiome is a product of the environment and future studies to identify unhealthy microbiomes can only be undertaken at the herd level.

Bacterial genomics guided the identification of gene (mraY) which was exploited to develop a *C. fetus venerealis* TaqMan SNP assay.

The mraY qPCR assay had only transient positivity in clinical samples as observed in samples from bull and heifer vaccination challenge trials.

Controlled bull and heifer VibroVax[®] vaccination and challenge trials demonstrated that *C. fetus venerealis* can be detected in both vaccinated and unvaccinated animals after challenge.

Long read sequencing methods are much more sensitive and specific in detecting *C. fetus venerealis* in clinical samples compared to qPCR when the bacterial load is low.

Histophilus somni bacteria co-infect with *C. fetus venerealis* as confirmed by metagenomic studies and during *C. fetus venerealis* artificial challenge – it is not known if this pathogen is contributing to infertility.

Metagenomics is a useful method that can identify sporadic or opportunistic pathogens associated with abortion e.g. *Escherichia coli* and *Histophilus somni*.

Vitamin D Binding protein (DBP), Haptoglobin (HP) and Serum Amyloid A (SAA) were identified as biomarkers for *C. fetus venerealis* infections (all 3 biomarkers) and BGC vaccination (DBP).

The project could not develop EBVs for BGC resistance in heifers as too few qPCR positive cattle (BGC sensitive) could be identified.

Benefits to industry

We were able to develop a new specific qPCR for *C. fetus venerealis* detection, however we also discovered that qPCR positivity is transient. Using novel genomic sequencing tools is more sensitive and reliable than qPCR, however, we will need to identify an external provider for this service to be made available to producers.

BGC biomarkers were identified, and ELISA tests could be made available to industry as a diagnostic laboratory test or a crush side rapid antibody.

The tools developed here will ultimately enable red meat producers to manage vibriosis/BGC.

Future research and recommendations

During this research program which commenced in 2018, the development of rapid antigen tests as a standard COVID19 test has been demonstrated. We had not planned to develop tools like this; however, the technology is now available, and our biomarkers could be delivered as crush side antibody tests for vibriosis/BGC if production of these tests is feasible. Through our thorough investigations including controlled experiments in bulls and heifers, as well as field sampling, we found that qPCR for vibriosis/BGC is far too transient and can yield false negative results. Advances in genomic sequencing are such that we could deliver a methodology which detects both *C. fetus venerealis* and *T. foetus* (and potentially *Histophilus somni*) in mucus samples as well as cattle genotyping records if required. Technology transfer discussions are currently underway with a State Government Laboratory and a cattle genotyping company.

For immediate adoption, the qPCR assay could be used however biomarker ELISA assays require further evaluations. For the long term, a service provider who can apply novel long read sequencing diagnostic data would be ideal for the industry.

Table of contents

| Exe | cutive | e summary3 |
|-----|--------|--|
| 1. | Back | ground11 |
| 2. | Obje | ectives (Original)13 |
| | 2.1 9 | Sampled at least 1,000 cattle (heifers, cows and bulls) from healthy and diseased northern beef herds for genotyping and microbiome analysis13 |
| | 2.2 [| Defined the microbiomes of the reproductive tracts in healthy and diseased cattle |
| | 2.3 | nvestigated the host genomics associated with reproductive disease susceptibility/resistance |
| | 2.4 [| Developed a validated specific molecular diagnostic test for BGC13 |
| | 2.5 [| Determined whether the genital microbiome is associated with increased susceptibility to BGC13 |
| | 2.6 [| Determined whether BGC immune biomarkers (post infection or vaccination) can be identified for assay development |
| | 2.7 F | Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be used in breeding programs to select for resistant genotypes |
| 3. | Met | hodology14 |
| | 3.1 | Sampled at least 1,000 cattle (heifers, cows and bulls) from healthy and diseased northern beef herds for genotyping and microbiome analysis |
| | 3.2 | Defined the microbiomes of the reproductive tracts in healthy and |
| | | diseased cattle15 |
| | 3.2.1 | Heifer study15 |
| | 3.2.2 | Bull study 17 |
| | 3.2.3 | Microbiome methods 18 |
| | Figu | re 219 |

| 3.3 Investigated the host genomics associated with reproductive | |
|--|-------|
| disease susceptibility/resistance. | 19 |
| 3.3.1 Genomic markers | 19 |
| 3.3.2 Genomic:metagenome markers | 20 |
| 3.4 Developed a validated specific molecular diagnostic test for BG | 2. 20 |
| 3.4.1 Genome sequencing | 20 |
| 3.4.2 Assay 1 | 23 |
| 3.4.3. Assay 2 | 24 |
| 3.4.4. Assay 3 | 24 |
| 3.4.5. Diagnostic assay adoption by State Government Veterinary Diagnostic | |
| laboratories | |
| 3.4.6. Other diagnostic approaches | |
| 3.4.6.1 Digital Droplet PCR | |
| 3.4.5.2 Nanopore enrichment methods | 25 |
| 3.4.6 Field assay evaluations | 26 |
| 3.5 Determined whether the genital microbiome is associated with | |
| increased susceptibility to BGC | 26 |
| 3.6 Determined whether BGC immune biomarkers (post infection or | |
| vaccination) can be identified for assay development | 28 |
| 3.6.1 Microbiome biomarkers | 28 |
| 3.6.2 Protein biomarkers | 31 |
| 3.7 Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be used in | |
| breeding programs to select for resistant genotypes | 31 |
| Results | 32 |
| 4.1 Sampled at least 1,000 cattle (heifers, cows and bulls) from hea and diseased northern beef herds for genotyping and microbior analysis. | ne |
| 4.2 Defined the microbiomes of the reproductive tracts in healthy a | nd |
| diseased cattle | 33 |
| 4.2.1 Heifer study | 33 |
| 4.2.2 Heifer microbiome analysis | 34 |
| 4.2.3 Bull study | 39 |

4.

| disease susceptibility/resistance. 4 4.4 Developed a validated specific molecular diagnostic test for BGC. 4 4.4.1. Genome sequencing. 4 4.4.2 Assay 1 cpn60. 5 Assay 1. 5 Assay 1. 5 4.4.2 Assay 2 RS04330. 5 A.4.3 Assay 2 RS04330. 5 A.4.4 Assay 3 mraY 5 4.4.5 Other methods. 5 4.4.5 Other methods. 5 4.4.5.1 ddPCR 5 4.4.5.2 Long read sequencing. 6 4.4.6.4 Field evaluations of assays. 6 4.4.6.1 AaCo samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.2 Roma samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.3 Jindowae samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.4 Secum proteomics – Abattoir and Jindowae samples. 6 4.4.6.5 Comparison of qPCR with ONT methods (genomic and metagenomic analyses) 6 4.5.1 Metagenome analysis of herd NG036 and NG037. 6 4.5.2 Microbiome analysis of herd NG034. 7 4.5.3 Mining the microbiomes for <i>Campylobacter</i> sequences 7 4.6.1 Metagenomic biomarkers. 7 4.6.2 Proteomic biomarkers. 8 4.7 P | 4.3 Investigated the host genomics associated with reprodu | uctive |
|---|--|--------------|
| 4.4.1. Genome sequencing. 4 4.4.2 Assay 1 cpn60. 5 Assay 1 5 4.4.3 Assay 2 RS04330. 5 Assay 2. 5 4.4.4 Assay 3 mraY 5 4.4.5 Other methods 5 4.4.5.1 ddPCR 5 4.4.5.2 Long read sequencing. 6 4.4.6.3 Long read sequencing. 6 4.4.6.4 Abatoir samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.2 Roma samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.4 Abattoir samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.5 Serum proteomics – Abattoir and Jindowae samples 6 4.4.6.6 Comparison of qPCR with ONT methods (genomic and metagenomic analyses) 6 4.5.1 Metagenome analysis of herds NG036 and NG037. 6 4.5.2 Microbiome analysis of herd NG034. 7 4.5.3 Mining the microbiomes for <i>Campylobacter</i> sequences 7 4.6.4 Determined whether BGC immune biomarkers (post infection or vaccination) can be identified for assay development 7 4.5.2 Proteomic biomarkers. 7 4.6.2 Proteomic biomarkers 8 4.7 Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be used in breeding programs to select for resistant genotypes. 8 | disease susceptibility/resistance. | 43 |
| 4.4.2 Assay 1 cpn60 5 Assay 1 5 Assay 1 5 4.4.3 Assay 2 RS04330 5 Assay 2 5 4.4.3 Assay 2 RS04330 5 Assay 2 5 4.4.4 Assay 3 mraY 5 4.4.5 Other methods 5 4.4.5 Other methods 5 4.4.5 Long read sequencing 6 4.4.6 Field evaluations of assays 6 4.4.6.1 AaCo samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.2 Roma samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.3 Jindowae samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.4 Abattoir samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.5 Serum proteomics – Abattoir and Jindowae samples 6 4.4.6.6 Comparison of qPCR with ONT methods (genomic and metagenomic analyses) 6 4.5.1 Metagenome analysis of herds NG036 and NG037 6 4.5.2 Microbiome analysis of herd NG034 7 4.5.3 Mining the microbiomes for <i>Campylobacter</i> sequences 7 4.6.4 Determined whether BGC immune biomarkers (post infection or vaccination) can be identified for assay development 7 4.6.2 Proteomic biomarkers 8 4.7 Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be used in breeding progra | 4.4 Developed a validated specific molecular diagnostic test | for BGC45 |
| Assay 1. 5 4.4.3 Assay 2 RS04330. 5 Assay 2. 5 4.4.4 Assay 3 mraY 5 4.4.5 Other methods 5 4.4.5 Long read sequencing 6 4.4.5.1 ddPCR 5 4.4.6.2 Roma samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.2 Roma samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.3 Jindowae samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.4 Abattoir samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.5 Serum proteomics – Abattoir and Jindowae samples 6 4.4.6.6 Comparison of qPCR with ONT methods (genomic and metagenomic analyses) 6 4.5.1 Metagenome analysis of herds NG036 and NG037 6 4.5.2 Microbiome analysis of herds NG036 and NG037 6 4.5.3 Mining the microbiomes for <i>Campylobacter</i> sequences 7 4.6 Determined whether BGC immune biomarkers (post infection or vaccination) can be identified for assay development 7 4.6.1 Metagenomic biomarkers 7 4.6.2 Proteomic biomarkers 8 4.7 Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be | 4.4.1. Genome sequencing | 45 |
| 4.4.3 Assay 2 RS04330 | 4.4.2 Assay 1 cpn60 | 53 |
| Assay 2 | Assay 1 | 53 |
| 4.4.4 Assay 3 mraY 5 4.4.5 Other methods 5 4.4.5 Other methods 5 4.4.5 I ddPCR 5 4.4.5.1 ddPCR 5 4.4.5.2 Long read sequencing 6 4.4.6 Field evaluations of assays 6 4.4.6.1 AaCo samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.2 Roma samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.3 Jindowae samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.4 Abattoir samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.5 Serum proteomics – Abattoir and Jindowae samples 6 4.4.6.6 Comparison of qPCR with ONT methods (genomic and metagenomic analyses) 6 4.5.5 Determined whether the genital microbiome is associated with increased susceptibility to BGC 6 4.5.1 Metagenome analysis of herds NG036 and NG037 6 4.5.2 Microbiome analysis of herd NG034 7 4.5.3 Mining the microbiomes for <i>Campylobacter</i> sequences 7 4.6 Determined whether BGC immune biomarkers (post infection or vaccination) can be identified for assay development 7 4.6.2 Proteomic biomarkers 8 4.7 Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be used in breeding programs to select for resistant genotypes. 8 | 4.4.3 Assay 2 RS04330 | 55 |
| 4.4.5 Other methods 5 4.4.5.1 ddPCR 5 4.4.5.2 Long read sequencing 6 4.4.5.2 Long read sequencing 6 4.4.6 Field evaluations of assays 6 4.4.6.1 AaCo samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.2 Roma samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.3 Jindowae samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.4 Abattoir samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.5 Serum proteomics – Abattoir and Jindowae samples 6 4.4.6.6 Comparison of qPCR with ONT methods (genomic and metagenomic analyses) 6 4.5.1 Metagenome analysis of herds NG036 and NG037 6 4.5.2 Microbiome analysis of herd NG034 7 4.5.3 Mining the microbiomes for <i>Campylobacter</i> sequences 7 4.6 Determined whether BGC immune biomarkers (post infection or vaccination) can be identified for assay development 7 4.6.1 Metagenomic biomarkers 7 4.6.2 Proteomic biomarkers 8 4.7 Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be used in breeding programs to select for resistant genotypes 8 | Assay 2 | 55 |
| 4.4.5.1 ddPCR 5 4.4.5.2 Long read sequencing. 6 4.4.5.2 Long read sequencing. 6 4.4.6.5 Field evaluations of assays. 6 4.4.6.1 AaCo samples qPCR. 6 4.4.6.2 Roma samples qPCR. 6 4.4.6.3 Jindowae samples qPCR. 6 4.4.6.4 Abattoir samples qPCR. 6 4.4.6.5 Serum proteomics – Abattoir and Jindowae samples. 6 4.4.6.6 Comparison of qPCR with ONT methods (genomic and metagenomic analyses) 6 4.5.1 Metagenome analysis of herds NG036 and NG037. 6 4.5.2 Microbiome analysis of herd NG034. 7 4.5.3 Mining the microbiomes for <i>Campylobacter</i> sequences 7 4.6.1 Metagenomic biomarkers. 7 4.6.2 Proteomic biomarkers. 7 4.6.2 Proteomic biomarkers. 8 4.7 Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be used in breeding programs to select for resistant genotypes. 8 | 4.4.4 Assay 3 mraY | 57 |
| 4.4.5.2 Long read sequencing 6 4.4.6 Field evaluations of assays 6 4.4.6.1 AaCo samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.2 Roma samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.3 Jindowae samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.4 Abattoir samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.5 Serum proteomics – Abattoir and Jindowae samples 6 4.4.6.6 Comparison of qPCR with ONT methods (genomic and metagenomic analyses) 6 4.5.5 Determined whether the genital microbiome is associated with increased susceptibility to BGC 6 4.5.1 Metagenome analysis of herds NG036 and NG037 6 4.5.2 Microbiome analysis of herd NG034 7 4.5.3 Mining the microbiomes for <i>Campylobacter</i> sequences 7 4.6.1 Metagenomic biomarkers 7 4.6.2 Proteomic biomarkers 8 4.7 Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be used in breeding programs to select for resistant genotypes. 8 | 4.4.5 Other methods | 59 |
| 4.4.6 Field evaluations of assays | 4.4.5.1 ddPCR | 59 |
| 4.4.6.1 AaCo samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.2 Roma samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.3 Jindowae samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.4 Abattoir samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.5 Serum proteomics – Abattoir and Jindowae samples 6 4.4.6.6 Comparison of qPCR with ONT methods (genomic and metagenomic analyses) 6 4.5.5 Determined whether the genital microbiome is associated with increased susceptibility to BGC 6 4.5.1 Metagenome analysis of herds NG036 and NG037 6 4.5.2 Microbiome analysis of herd NG034 7 4.5.3 Mining the microbiomes for <i>Campylobacter</i> sequences 7 4.6.1 Metagenomic biomarkers 7 4.6.2 Proteomic biomarkers 7 4.6.2 Proteomic biomarkers 8 4.7 Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be used in breeding programs to select for resistant genotypes. 8 | 4.4.5.2 Long read sequencing | 60 |
| 4.4.6.2 Roma samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.3 Jindowae samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.4 Abattoir samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.5 Serum proteomics – Abattoir and Jindowae samples 6 4.4.6.6 Comparison of qPCR with ONT methods (genomic and metagenomic analyses) 6 4.5 Determined whether the genital microbiome is associated with increased susceptibility to BGC. 6 4.5.1 Metagenome analysis of herds NG036 and NG037 6 4.5.2 Microbiome analysis of herd NG034 7 4.5.3 Mining the microbiomes for Campylobacter sequences 7 4.6 Determined whether BGC immune biomarkers (post infection or vaccination) can be identified for assay development 7 4.6.1 Metagenomic biomarkers 7 4.6.2 Proteomic biomarkers 8 4.7 Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be used in breeding programs to select for resistant genotypes. 8 | 4.4.6 Field evaluations of assays | 61 |
| 4.4.6.3 Jindowae samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.4 Abattoir samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.5 Serum proteomics – Abattoir and Jindowae samples. 6 4.4.6.6 Comparison of qPCR with ONT methods (genomic and metagenomic analyses) 6 4.5 Determined whether the genital microbiome is associated with increased susceptibility to BGC. 6 4.5.1 Metagenome analysis of herds NG036 and NG037. 6 4.5.2 Microbiome analysis of herd NG034. 7 4.5.3 Mining the microbiomes for Campylobacter sequences 7 4.6 Determined whether BGC immune biomarkers (post infection or vaccination) can be identified for assay development 7 4.6.1 Metagenomic biomarkers. 7 4.6.2 Proteomic biomarkers. 8 4.7 Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be used in breeding programs to select for resistant genotypes. 8 | 4.4.6.1 AaCo samples qPCR | 61 |
| 4.4.6.4 Abattoir samples qPCR 6 4.4.6.5 Serum proteomics – Abattoir and Jindowae samples 6 4.4.6.5 Comparison of qPCR with ONT methods (genomic and metagenomic analyses) 6 4.5 Determined whether the genital microbiome is associated with increased susceptibility to BGC 6 4.5.1 Metagenome analysis of herds NG036 and NG037 6 4.5.2 Microbiome analysis of herd NG034 7 4.5.3 Mining the microbiomes for <i>Campylobacter</i> sequences 7 4.6 Determined whether BGC immune biomarkers (post infection or vaccination) can be identified for assay development 7 4.6.1. Metagenomic biomarkers 7 4.6.2 Proteomic biomarkers 7 4.6.7 Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be used in breeding programs to select for resistant genotypes. 8 | 4.4.6.2 Roma samples qPCR | 62 |
| 4.4.6.5 Serum proteomics – Abattoir and Jindowae samples | 4.4.6.3 Jindowae samples qPCR | 62 |
| 4.4.6.6 Comparison of qPCR with ONT methods (genomic and metagenomic analyses) | 4.4.6.4 Abattoir samples qPCR | 62 |
| 4.5 Determined whether the genital microbiome is associated with increased susceptibility to BGC | 4.4.6.5 Serum proteomics – Abattoir and Jindowae samples | 62 |
| increased susceptibility to BGC | 4.4.6.6 Comparison of qPCR with ONT methods (genomic and metagenomic a | analyses) 62 |
| 4.5.1 Metagenome analysis of herds NG036 and NG037 | - | |
| 4.5.2 Microbiome analysis of herd NG034 | increased susceptibility to BGC | 65 |
| 4.5.3 Mining the microbiomes for <i>Campylobacter</i> sequences | 4.5.1 Metagenome analysis of herds NG036 and NG037 | 65 |
| 4.6 Determined whether BGC immune biomarkers (post infection or vaccination) can be identified for assay development | 4.5.2 Microbiome analysis of herd NG034 | 70 |
| vaccination) can be identified for assay development | 4.5.3 Mining the microbiomes for <i>Campylobacter</i> sequences | 75 |
| 4.6.1. Metagenomic biomarkers | 4.6 Determined whether BGC immune biomarkers (post infe | ction or |
| 4.6.2 Proteomic biomarkers | vaccination) can be identified for assay development | 77 |
| 4.7 Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be used in breeding programs to select for resistant genotypes | 4.6.1. Metagenomic biomarkers | 77 |
| breeding programs to select for resistant genotypes | 4.6.2 Proteomic biomarkers | 82 |
| Conclusion | 4.7 Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be | e used in |
| | breeding programs to select for resistant genotypes | 88 |
| 5.1 Key findings | Conclusion | 88 |
| | 5.1 Key findings | |

5.

| | 5.2 Benefits to industry89 |
|----|---|
| 6. | Future research and recommendations89 |
| 7. | References |
| 8. | Appendix97 |
| | 8.1. Appendix 8.1. Database of samples collected for 'pathobiome' analysis |
| | 8.2. Appendix 8.2. VibroVax [®] un-vaccinated herds, diagnostic samples and Campylobacter fetus venerealis qPCR data |
| | 8.3. Appendix 8.3. Ong et al 2023 Publication draft97 |
| | 8.4. Appendix 8.4. Ong et al 202197 |
| | 8.5. Appendix 8.5. Ong et al 2022a97 |
| | 8.6. Appendix 8.6. Ong et al 2022b97 |
| | 8.7. Appendix 8.7. Ong et al 2022c97 |
| | 8.8. Appendix 8.8 Supplementary files from Appendix 7 Ong et al 2022c 97 |
| | Supplementary 8.8.1. Breed makeup and <i>Bos indicus</i> content (%) of the herds Station A and Station B |
| | Supplementary 8.8.2. Thirty-seven samples collected from Station A and Station B 97 |
| | Supplementary 8.8.3. Sequence data generated for each sample using Oxford Nanopore Technologies long-read adaptive sequencing |
| | Supplementary 8.8.4. The proportions of sequence data belong to cattle host and metagenome |
| | Supplementary 8.8.5. Sequence data after quality filtering |
| | Supplementary 8.8.6. Multidimensional scaling analysis of the dissimilarity based on the leading log2 fold change of the functional annotations of the reproductive metagenomes between (A) female from Station A and Station B and between (B) male and female from Station A |
| | 8.9 Appendix 8.9. Raw qPCR data from the heifer trial97 |
| | 8.10 Appendix 8.10. Proteomics data from field evaluation for |
| | biomarker detection97 |

1. Background

Economic assessments of bovine genital campylobacteriosis (BGC, commonly called vibriosis) caused by *Campylobacter fetus* subsp. *venerealis* have been difficult to perform due to the lack of reliable diagnostic methods and the fastidious nature of the pathogen hindering its successful isolation from clinical samples. The accepted dogma is that BGC outbreaks are rare due to herd immunity, however this could also be because accurate diagnosis is currently not possible. Currently producers manage reproductive disease through culling empty cows, vaccination of bulls (and sometimes heifers) with Vibrovax[®] (Zoetis), and/or by pre-testing bulls using an IgA ELISA (Hum et al., 1991) prior to heifer mating. The IgA ELISA method does not accurately indicate current infection and is recommended for use as a herd test as false positives are known to occur in individual animals (Hum et al., 1994).

Tritrichomonas foetus and *C. fetus venerealis* are the main causes of bovine venereal diseases leading to infertility and abortion, while *C. fetus fetus* is highly related to *C. fetus venerealis* and only causes sporadic abortions. Diagnostic assays need to discriminate between these subspecies which has been problematic as currently pure cultures need to be isolated from heavily contaminated diagnostic samples. Some diagnostic markers previously developed (McMillen et al., 2006; Abril et al., 2007) were found to cross react with closely related species such as *C. hyointestinalis* (Spence et al., 2011; Sanhueza et al., 2014) and other species commonly found in the bull prepuce (Lew-Tabor et al., 2013). When the genomes of these species were sequenced, it became evident that species sharing the same niche were potentially likely to exchange mobile genetic elements containing virulence genes which are thus unreliable subspecies specific diagnostic markers (Gorkiewicz et al., 2010; van der Graaf-van Bloois et al., 2013, 2014; Lew-Tabor et al., 2013). This suggested that conserved housekeeping genes could be diagnostic assay targets however none to date have been exploited by other research groups.

Currently no predictive methods exist to determine the potential susceptibility or resistance to BGC. In industries such as dairy, research has examined the microbiome associated with mastitis, the genetics of mastitis disease resistance, and the identification of biomarkers to identify cattle at risk of developing mastitis (Cuccato et al., 2022; Rambault et al., 2023; Steinberg et al., 2022; Worku et al., 2023). Selecting for enhanced immune responsiveness to mastitis has been shown to improve responses to vaccination, increased milk production, and improved colostrum quality (Thompson-Crispi et al., 2014). Microbiome studies have also been examined in the context of host-pathogen interactions for example, comparing bovine immune cell transcriptomes in infected vs non-infected macrophages for *Mycobacterium bovis*. This led to the identification of a predictive biomarker of bovine tuberculosis vaccination efficacy in British calves (Aranday-Cortes et al., 2012; Bhuju et al., 2012). Host serum proteins such as Complement component 5 and serotransferrin have been linked to bovine tuberculosis resistant phenotypes (Mazorra-Carrillo et al., 2021). It thus is feasible to identify biomarkers for BGC resistance, immunity and or vaccination using microbiome and proteomics approaches.

For reproductive diseases and "empty cow" syndromes related to BGC and potentially other microorganisms the pathway to improved control is not clear without improved diagnosis and the confirmation of resistance and/or immunity. An effective vaccine is available for BGC/vibriosis; however, uptake is not 100% and producers usually only vaccinate bulls and not heifers. The mixture

of bacterial species found in previous studies is compounding the current recommended diagnostic methods and is also suggesting that perhaps these other pathogens are associated with reproductive disease either directly or indirectly. This has not been researched to date apart from the preliminary studies within a previous ARC Linkage project (Lew-Tabor et al., 2013). Laboratories in the northern hemisphere readily isolate *C. fetus venerealis* from clinical samples as transport to laboratories is relatively short compared to laboratory submissions from northern Australia. Combined with warm conditions, the delayed transport (48 hours +) leads to overgrowth of contaminants in transport culture media compromising the ability to isolate pure *C. fetus venerealis*.

Previous research has indicated:

• The current culture phenotyping methods for *C. fetus venerealis* are not reliable and require the isolation of a pure culture

• Using gold standard phenotyping and molecular testing, we isolated several closely related species with either *C. fetus venerealis* phenotype or molecular assay positive,

• Unlike for *T. foetus,* the direct real time PCR of preputial rinses is not indicative of the presence of *C. fetus venerealis* due to the current lack in assay specificity

• Unlike *T. foetus*, a pure colony of *C. fetus venerealis* needs to be isolated prior to molecular testing

• If a high 'cut off' (Ct <22) is used for the *C. fetus venerealis* parA (McMillen et al., 2006), real time PCR is specific for the pathogen, but at these low Ct scores sensitivity is compromised

• The cpn60 (~heat shock protein 60- a house keeping gene) gene sequence can differentiate between the *C. fetus* subspecies as well as closely related *Campylobacter* spp. (Hill et al., 2006)

• The UQ team has a collection of ~60 *Campylobacter fetus*- like cultures isolated from the bull prepuce which can be used in further assay development and evaluations

Other questions arising from our research include: do other species found in the bull prepuce cause reproductive diseases? does the microbiome favour conditions for the persistence of *C. fetus venerealis* particularly in asymptomatic bulls? Are vaccinated animals as protected from subsequent infection as previously infected animals? How do we know if an animal is immune to BGC? The ability to accurately diagnose BGC and determine the impact of the host microbiome on cattle reproduction will assist to develop better tools to manage infertility. In addition, if a genetic predisposition to reproductive diseases could be identified, this could assist to improve breeding programs for health and fertility.

This project planned to define the microbiomes of the reproductive tracts in healthy and diseased tropical cattle and investigate the host genetics associated with reproductive disease susceptibility/resistance (within the same cattle). This will lead to the development of specific diagnostic tests for BGC, determine whether the microbiome is associated with increased susceptibility to BGC. There are also no biomarkers available to determine if heifers are immune following vaccination and/or natural infection. As a result of understanding genetic variations between cattle we will also pilot the development of genetic markers that can be used in breeding programs to select for BGC resistant genotypes.

Potential Outcomes Planned included:

- Novel methods for differentiating *C. fetus* subspecies *fetus* and *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* (the latter is the cause of BGC).
- Identification of novel genital pathogens.
- Diagnostic test for distinguishing BGC-infected from vaccinated animals.
- EBV for resistance/susceptibility to genital infections.

2. Objectives (Original)

2.1 Sampled at least 1,000 cattle (heifers, cows and bulls) from healthy and diseased northern beef herds for genotyping and microbiome analysis.

One thousand and sixteen cattle were sampled for this study thus the objective was met successfully.

2.2 Defined the microbiomes of the reproductive tracts in healthy and diseased cattle.

The microbiome data from the field samples from Objective 2.1 were difficult to interpret, thus two controlled vaccine and *C. fetus venerealis* challenge studies were undertaken in heifers and bulls respectively. The microbiomes of unvaccinated cattle were successfully compared to vaccinated cattle in each trial to define the microbiomes of healthy and diseased (unvaccinated challenged) cattle.

2.3 Investigated the host genomics associated with reproductive disease susceptibility/resistance.

Despite access to nine herds which did not vaccinate with VibroVax[®], too few *C. fetus venerealis* cattle were identified using qPCR methods (Objective 2.4) to undertake host genomics associated with BGC susceptibility/resistance. Instead, we determined that the host genome potentially plays a role in influencing the reproductive microbiome associated with disease susceptibility/resistance.

2.4 Developed a validated specific molecular diagnostic test for BGC.

Following *C. fetus* genome analyses, the MraY gene TaqMan SNP Assay was validated as a specific molecular diagnostic test for BGC meeting this objective successfully. However, for low positive or ambiguous samples in qPCR, the application of Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT) sequencing on clinical samples was shown to be more reliable for the detection of *C. fetus venerealis*.

2.5 Determined whether the genital microbiome is associated with increased susceptibility to BGC

Differences in the genital microbiome from different herds is an indicator of exposure to different environments and a reflection of the gastrointestinal microbiome in those environments. Susceptibility to BGC could only be associated with the detected presence of *C. fetus* in the genital microbiome of cattle from unvaccinated herds. Herds identified with *C. fetus* demonstrated a more diverse microbiome than those without *C. fetus* successfully meeting this objective.

2.6 Determined whether BGC immune biomarkers (post infection or vaccination) can be identified for assay development

The metagenome has no clear differences between vaccinated and unvaccinated bulls, however challenge with *C. fetus venerealis* leads to an increase in *Histophilus* spp. consistently. Vitamin D binding protein (DBP), haptoglobin (HP) and serum amyloid A (SAA) were identified as biomarkers for *C. fetus venerealis* post infection (all 3 biomarkers) and BGC vaccination (DBP only) successfully.

2.7 Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be used in breeding programs to select for resistant genotypes.

Objective 2.3 demonstrated that due to a lack of qPCR positive cattle, genomics studies for BGC resistance was not possible. Preliminary data also demonstrated that the host genome potentially plays a role in influencing the reproductive microbiome associated with disease susceptibility/resistance, however we were unsuccessful in securing sufficient samples from diseased herds towards genomic marker development.

3. Methodology

3.1 Sampled at least 1,000 cattle (heifers, cows and bulls) from healthy and diseased northern beef herds for genotyping and microbiome analysis.

Samples collected from the reproductive tracts of cows and bulls from herds were previously recruited for a QAAFI research project led by Prof Ben Hayes: P.PSH.0833 "Accelerating genetic gain for productivity and profitability in Northern beef cattle with genomic technologies" with access in early 2018 to 49 properties across Queensland (see Appendix 8.1).

The health condition of the animals was assessed by experienced veterinarians prior to sample collection. The preputial samples from bulls were labelled as "Bull". Using transrectal ultrasound, the stages of pregnancy and reproductive status of the heifers and cows were determined. The female vaginal samples were categorised into "Prepubertal", "Cycling", "Pregnant" and "Weaned". "Prepubertal" were the animals which had not reached puberty during sampling; "Cycling" referred to animals which reached puberty but not pregnant during sampling; "Pregnant" were the animals which were bearing a calf during sampling, while "Weaned" were the animal which had delivered a calf during sampling. The details of each animal including gender, breed, weight, hip height, body condition score, reproductive status and Vibrovax[®] (Zoetis, Australia) vaccination history, were recorded.

The vaginal and preputial samples were collected using the Tricamper[™] (DAF Queensland, Australia) sampling tool following the manufacturer's protocol. For vaginal samples, the Tricamper[™] was inserted into the vagina with the leading edge in contact with the dorsal wall of the vagina. The Tricamper[™] was moved back and forth in the vagina to collect the swab. For preputial samples, the Tricamper[™] was inserted into the prepuce with the end adjacent to the penis. The Tricamper[™] was moved back and forth to scrape across the preputial mucosa and the surface of the penis. Upon removing the Tricamper[™] from the vagina or prepuce, the other end of the Tricamper[™] was blocked to prevent spillage. The vaginal or preputial sample was immediately preserved in a 10 ml tube preloaded with 5 mL phosphate buffered saline (PBS) by excising the head of the Tricamper[™] device. The samples were kept on ice during delivery and were processed within 6 h upon arrival to the laboratory.

A systematic review of published protocols used for bovine microbiome studies (Ong et al., 2021) led to an evaluation of several extraction and sequencing methods to determine the best protocols (Ong et al., 2022a). The methods described here are those which produced the best data. Each sample was first vortexed for 15 s and followed by an additional 15 s of vortex after the Tricamper[™] head was removed from the tube. The mucus samples were then transferred into a new sterile tube. Slow and short centrifugation (Soft-spin) was performed (1000 × g 1 min) at 4°C. Without disturbing the pellet, the supernatant was collected into a new tube for DNA extraction. DNA was extracted using two different extraction kits (Ong et al., 2022b). For the QIAGEN DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit, the sample was centrifuged (4000 x g 15 min) to obtain a cell pellet. The supernatant was discarded, and DNA was extracted from the cell pellet according to the manufacturer's instructions for Grampositive bacteria. Briefly, the pellet was treated with enzymatic lysis buffer and proteinase K digestion prior to column precipitation. Precipitated DNA was washed and eluted in 60 µl of TE buffer provided with the kit. For the QIAGEN QIAamp DNA Microbiome Kit, the sample was first centrifuged (4000 x g 15 min) to obtain the cell pellet. Briefly, the pellet was treated with lysis buffer, benzonase enzyme, bead beating and pathogen lysis buffer before column precipitation. The precipitated DNA was eluted in 60 μ l of TE buffer provided with the kit.

3.2 Defined the microbiomes of the reproductive tracts in healthy and diseased cattle

Field sampling was difficult to interpret, we thus decided to undertake two controlled vaccinechallenge trials in heifers and bulls to provide microbiome data following infection with and without prior Vibrovax[®] vaccination. Blood samples were also collected for serum biomarker research.

3.2.1 Heifer study

A small pilot trial was undertaken under UQ Animal Ethics Approval 2021/AE000056 – approved through the Production and Companion Animal (PCA) animal ethics committee at UQ. The vagina houses a complex microbiome which recent studies have indicated may be dependent on the normal oestrus cycle and hormonal profile of the animal, specifically progesterone and oestrogen levels. Identifying biomarkers in relation to disease therefore require an understanding of variation in response to oestrous cycle. It is also likely that changes in the microbiome and other biomarkers for *C. fetus venerealis* may occur in response to vaccination status. The overall objective of the trial was to identify potential biomarkers in vaginal and serum samples for infection and vaccination status for *C. fetus venerealis*.

The aim of the first part of the study was to identify the composition of microorganisms in the lower reproductive tract of normal cycling virgin heifers, and to identify changes in the microbiome over the oestrous cycle in heifers which were vaccinated against *C. fetus venerealis* (Vibrovax[®], Zoetis vaccine) compared to non-vaccinated animals. The aim of the second part of the study was to identify these changes and thereby potential biomarkers in the vaginal mucus and serum, after an experimental challenge with a pure *C. fetus venerealis* culture, in heifers which are vaccinated against *C. fetus venerealis* (Vibrovax[®], Zoetis) compared to non-vaccinated animals. Two diagrammatic plans of the trial are shown in Figure 1.

Twelve cycling Droughtmaster heifers aged approximately 18 months, selected by ultrasound scanning for presence of a *corpus luteum*, were sourced from Spyglass Beef Research Facility, Charters Towers. The heifers were trucked on 28th April 2021 to Columba Catholic College (CCC) in

Charters Towers where they were managed as a single group in a paddock of approximately one hectare and were fed with *ad libitum* Rhodes grass hay.





Figure 1. Diagrammatic representations of the *C. fetus venerealis* heifer vaccination challenge trial. A. Summary of sample collections. B. Details of treatments and sample collections for different experiments including serum proteomics, and qPCR.

In phase 1, six heifers were randomly selected and vaccinated (Vibrovax[®], Zoetis) on arrival at CCC on the 28th April 2021. All heifers were then synchronised using two prostaglandin (PGF2α) injections (Lutalyse[®]; Zoetis) on 14th and 24th May 2021. The heifers were then assessed and sampled twice weekly for four weeks (Figure 1).

In phase 2, heifers were again synchronised with a single PGF2 α injection on 28th June 2021. Two days later (30th June 2021) each heifer was inoculated intravaginally using an AI insemination catheter with a 3 ml inoculum containing freshly grown *C. fetus venerealis* 76223 (field isolate obtained from an aborted foetus). A 45 ml inoculum was prepared for the 12 heifers. Freshly grown bacterial colonies were collected with disposable cotton tips and vigorously mixed in 45 ml PBS. Subsequently, a 5 ml aliquot was transferred into fresh tube and the turbidity compared with the McFarland No. 3 standard. The bacterial suspension was diluted to match the McFarland standard corresponding to 9 x 10⁸ colony forming units (CFU)/mL. This adjusted suspension was then used for the challenge. To confirm the CFU/mL count, 10-fold dilutions of the adjusted inoculum were prepared, and 0.1 ml of each dilution, two blood agar plates were used. The plates were incubated

at 37°C for 72 h in a micro-aerophilic atmosphere. After 72 h of incubation, the viable count was determined.

Post inoculation, the heifers were assessed and sampled weekly for the following six weeks (Figure 1). Assessments included real time ovarian ultrasound scanning using a 10 MHz transducer (Honda 2100V, Honda Electronics, Toyohashi City, Japan) to monitor the stage of the oestrous cycle through recording presence and size of *corpora lutea* and large follicles. Body condition was assessed (1-5 scale) at each assessment. Heifers were weighed at the start and end of each phase. At each assessment, vaginal mucus was collected into 5 mL of chilled PBS using a Tricamper[™] as described by the manufacturer (QDAF: <u>Bovine infertility – Sample collection – Culture/PCR</u> (<u>publications.qld.gov.au</u>), which was then transported to the laboratory. Coccygeal vein blood samples were collected, allowed to clot, and then chilled overnight. Sera was collected following centrifugation and stored frozen for subsequent serum biomarker research (proteomics).

Immediately after the last assessment and sampling, all heifers were treated with an intra-uterine infusion of Metricure[®] (MSD Animal Health) and the unvaccinated heifers were vaccinated with Vibrovax[®] on the 11th August 2021. Heifers were confirmed clear of *C. fetus venerealis* by qPCR on 1st September 2021 from the samples collected on 18th August 2021, and returned to Spyglass.

3.2.2 Bull study

To date, inconclusive data was obtained from our field bull-sampling strategies thus we decided to undertake a bull Vibrovax[®] (Zoetis) vaccination and *C. fetus venerealis* challenge experiment using mature uncastrated bulls. In addition, in most cases heifers are not vaccinated (3.2.1) and primarily only bulls are vaccinated. This research was undertaken under UQ Animal Ethics 2021/AE001138 entitled 'Res-Biomarkers and the preputial microbiome for bovine genital campylobacteriosis' at QASP UQ Gatton campus. Twenty-four bulls with known backgrounds for vaccination, breed and performance were sourced from the NT Department of Industry, Tourism and Trade's experimental herd located at Douglas Daly Research Farm. However, one bull was deemed unfit for transport and thus only 23 bulls were provided. As Animal Ethics Approval required the mandatory sourcing of bulls from a single herd (to manage bull behaviour, additional study animals were not sought. The 23 bulls used in this study were a mix of Brahman and Brahman composite containing approximately 50% *Bos indicus* genetics and were 2-6 years of age.

Using commercial transport, the study bulls were relocated by road to QASP over a period of approximately one week with regular spelling. Soon after arrival and prior to the commencement of the trial, bulls were inducted using a standard prophylactic QASP protocol which included: vaccination with '7 in 1', treatment for coccidiostats (toltrazuril, Baycox®) and worms (fenbendazole, Panacur®). Faecal egg counts were undertaken through the Queensland Department of Agriculture & Fisheries/QDAF Biosecurity Sciences Laboratory. The bulls were randomised using excel [fx=RANDBETWEEN(1,6)] into groups of 4 groups of bulls with young and old bulls mixed into vaccinated and unvaccinated groups of 11 and 12, respectively. The cattle were maintained in pasture paddocks and monitored by QASP staff. Water was available ad libitum throughout the course of the trial. Table 1 summarizes the bull ages, assigned group and previous Vibrovax® vaccination status. It took some time to source a herd of bulls which met our requirements and as such eight of the bulls had Vibrovax® vaccination history as they had been previously used for mating.

| QASP | *Trial | Breed | Weight at | Year of | Date of | Vibrovax® |
|------|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|
| tag | Group | | QASP | Birth | Birth | History |
| 3766 | 2U | Brahman | 491 | 2019 | 30/10/2018 | 2021 |
| 3767 | 1U | Composite | 407 | 2020 | 18/11/2019 | Nil |
| 3768 | 1U | Brahman | 431 | 2020 | 16/11/2019 | Nil |
| 3769 | 2V | Brahman | 682 | 2016 | 1/12/2015 | 2021 |
| 3770 | 1V | Composite | 323 | 2020 | 14/12/2019 | Nil |
| 3771 | 2U | Brahman | 642 | 2017 | 6/11/2016 | 2021 |
| 3772 | 1V | Brahman | 313 | 2020 | 3/01/2020 | Nil |
| 3773 | 1U | Brahman | 366 | 2020 | 10/01/2020 | Nil |
| 3774 | 2U | Brahman | 307 | 2019 | 21/10/2018 | Nil |
| 3775 | 2V | Brahman | 508 | 2019 | 19/11/2018 | Nil |
| 3776 | 2U | Brahman | 670 | 2016 | 5/12/2015 | 2021 |
| 3777 | 1U | Composite | 407 | 2020 | 20/10/2019 | Nil |
| 3778 | 2V | Brahman | 502 | 2019 | 25/10/2018 | 2021 |
| 3779 | 2V | Brahman | 446 | 2019 | 21/10/2018 | 2021 |
| 3780 | 1U | Brahman | 427 | 2020 | 3/11/2019 | Nil |
| 3781 | 1V | Brahman | 340 | 2020 | 1/12/2019 | Nil |
| 3782 | 2V | Brahman | 498 | 2019 | 23/10/2018 | 2021 |
| 3783 | 1V | Composite | 355 | 2020 | 31/10/2019 | Nil |
| 3784 | 2U | Composite | 530 | 2019 | 20/10/2018 | Nil |
| 3785 | 1V | Composite | 384 | 2020 | 8/11/2019 | Nil |
| 3786 | 2U | Composite | 498 | 2019 | 24/10/2018 | 2021 |
| 3787 | 1V | Brahman | 363 | 2020 | 18/10/2019 | Nil |
| 3788 | 1U | Brahman | 390 | 2020 | 2/01/2020 | Nil |

 Table 1. Data for mature bulls obtained for bull Vibrovax[®] vaccination and challenge trial.

*1=young <3 years; 2=old ≥3years; U=un-vaccinated; V=vaccinated

Three weeks after their induction to QASP, the bulls were vaccinated (n=11) as described by the manufacturer for Vibrovax[®], Day 0. Samples were obtained from each bull at the time of vaccination including: two Tricamper[™] preputial mucus samples (as described by the manufacturer, QDAF: <u>Bovine infertility – Sample collection – Culture/PCR (publications.qld.gov.au)</u>) and blood samples. One Tricamper[™] sample was collected into PBS for microbiome processing and blood samples were collected into serum tubes for proteomics analysis. These samples were collected weekly until 2 weeks post-challenge, whereby samples continue to be sampled fortnightly until Day 105 (Week 15). Four weeks after the initial vaccination, the vaccinated bulls were boosted with Vibrovax[®] and three weeks later were challenged with 2.7 x 10⁹ live *C. fetus venerealis* strain 76223 in a 3 mL volume PBS prepared as described for the Heifer study above. Following the final sampling the bulls were sold.

3.2.3 Microbiome methods

After vortexing and removal of the Tricamper[™], PBS vaginal or preputial mucus samples were centrifuged at 1000 x g for 1 minute to pellet host material and the supernatant was centrifuged 4000 x g for 15 minutes to obtain the microbial pellet for extraction. The samples were then processed using the QIAamp DNA Microbiome kit as described by the manufacturer (QIAGEN) and submitted to the Australian Centre for Ecogenomics (ACE) for sequencing and analysis. The samples were processed by Australian Centre for Ecogenomics (ACE) Sequencing by amplifying the V5-V8

regions of the 16S rRNA genes using primers 803F (5'- TTAGAKACCCBNGTAGTC -3') and 1392wR (5'-ACGGGCGGTGWGTRC -3') (Engelbrektson et al., 2010) modified to contain Illumina specific adapter sequence (803F: 5'- TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGTTAGAKACCCBNGTAGTC -3' and 1392wR: 5'- GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGACGGGCGGTGWGTRC-3') in NEBNext[®] Ultra[™] II Q5[®] Mastermix (New England Biolabs). The resulting amplicons were purified using Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter) and indexed with unique 8bp barcodes using the Nextera XT 384 sample Index Kit A-D (Illumina) in standard PCR conditions with the above NEB mastermix. Indexed samples were pooled and sequenced on MiSeq Sequencing System using paired end sequencing and V3 300bp chemistry at ACE according to the manufacturer's protocol (Illumina).



ACE undertook the bioinformatics analyses following the pipeline in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Bioinformatics pipeline developed by the Australian Centre for Ecogenomics (UQ).

3.3 Investigated the host genomics associated with reproductive disease susceptibility/resistance.

3.3.1 Genomic markers. Tail hairs were collected from cattle and submitted to Neogen for genotyping using the GGP_TropBeef50kx array as part of the Northern Genomics project (P.PSH0833). A comparison of un-vaccinated herds was undertaken comparing qPCR positive herds with qPCR negative herds. Targeted herds are presented in Appendix 8.2. qPCR was undertaken using assay 1 described in 3.4.2 below. Herds NG001, NG003, NG008, NG011, NG022, NG023, NG033, NG036 were un-vaccinated but tested negative for *C. fetus venerealis*, only herd NG034 (un-vaccinated) had positive cases (Appendix 8.2). A further 67 Roma (BGC outbreak) cattle were sourced for genotyping using GGP_TropBeef50x test through Neogen.

A pipeline was developed to analyse the genotypes and *C. fetus venerealis* test outcomes, for both genomic estimated breeding value (GEBV) prediction and genome wide association studies, to identify if any individual SNP markers are involved in host resistance to *C. fetus venerealis*.

3.3.2 Genomic: metagenome markers.

To address the question of whether the host genome affects the profile of the vaginal microbiome genome, we compared host genomes derived from 709,768 SNP markers from the bovine HD chip to microbiome profiles assayed with adaptive Nanopore sequencing, see 3.5 below. For 28 animals (from herds NG036 and NG037), the genomic relationship matrix was constructed among individuals following the algorithm of Yang et al (2011). The microbiome relationship matrix was constructed for the same animals using the approach of Ross et al (2013). The correlation of off-diagonal elements of the two matrices was compared with a Mantel test, and permutation testing (shuffling matrix elements across pairs of animals) was used to derive a null distribution for significance testing.

3.4 Developed a validated specific molecular diagnostic test for BGC.

3.4.1 Genome sequencing

A publication draft is under journal submission and is added to Appendix 8.3.

In total, four *C. fetus fetus* (M20-08756/1A, M20-04752/1B, BT268/06 and BT376/03), three *C. fetus venerealis* (A8, 957 and 76223) and two *C. fetus venerealis* biovar intermedius (924 and 926) strains were used in this study (Table 2). These strains were phenotyped using standard biochemical assays and their subspecies identity was confirmed by cpn60 gene sequencing (Hill et al., 2006). The type strains for *C. fetus fetus* (ATCC 27374^T) and *C. fetus venerealis* (ATCC 19438^T), which had their complete genome published on the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database (Home - Genome - NCBI (nih.gov)), were also sequenced in this study to serve as internal controls. The bacteria were cultured on the tryptone soya agar supplemented with 5% defibrinated sheep blood (Thermo Scientific, Delaware, USA) under micro-aerophilic conditions at 37°C for 48 h. Colonies of each bacterial strains were resuspended in sterile phosphate-buffered saline to reach an optical density measured at wavelength of 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) to yield approximately 1 x 10⁹ CFU/mL. Genomic DNA extraction of the pure bacterial culture was conducted using the Genomic-tip extraction kit as described by the manufacturer (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). The quantity and quality of extracted gDNA was assessed using QubitTM 4 fluorometer (Thermo Scientific, Delaware, USA) and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (Pippin Pulse, Sage Science, Massachusetts, USA).

| Strain Accession Organism | | Organism | Country of origin | Year of isolation | Source |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Strains analy | ysed in this study | | | | |
| M20- 08756/1A | CP100646 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus | New Zealand | 1986 | Ovine (fetal stomach contents) |
| BT376/03 | CP076387 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus | United Kingdom | 2003 | Bovine |
| BT268/06 | CP076388 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus | United Kingdom | 2006 | Ovine |
| M20- 04752/1B | CP100645 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus | Australia | 2020 | Ovine (fetal liver) |
| A8 | CP075536- CP075537 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis | Australia | 2011 | Bovine |
| 957 | CP075538- CP075539 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis | Australia | 2011 | Bovine (bull prepuce) |

 Table 2. List of Campylobacter fetus strains sequenced and analysed in this study.

| Strain | Accession | Organism | Country of origin | Year of isolation | Source |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 76223 | CP075534- CP075535 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis | Australia | 2012 | Bovine (aborted foetus) |
| 924 | CP075542- CP075543 | <i>Campylobacter fetus</i> subsp. <i>venerealis</i> bv. Intermedius | Australia | 2011 | Bovine (bull prepuce) |
| 926 | CP075540- CP075541 | <i>Campylobacter fetus</i> subsp. <i>venerealis</i> bv. Intermedius | Australia | 2011 | Bovine (bull prepuce) |
| Strains seque | nced in previous studi | es | | | |
| ATCC 27374 [⊤] | GCA_900475935.1 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus | France | 1952 | Ovine (fetus) |
| 82-40 | GCA_000015085.1 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus | USA | 1982 | Human |
| 00A031 | GCA_011600945.2 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus | Canada | 2000 | Bovine (bull prepuce) |
| 02A725-35A | GCA_011600855.2 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus | Canada | 2002 | Bovine (bull prepuce) |
| 04-554 | GCA_000759485.1 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus | Argentina | 2004 | Bovine (aborted fetus) |
| 09A980 | GCA_011600995.2 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus | Canada | 2009 | Bovine (bull prepuce) |
| INIA/17144 | GCA_007723545.1 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus | Uruguay | 2017 | Ovine (placenta) |
| ATCC 19438 [†] | GCA_008271385.1 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis | United Kingdom | 1962 | Bovine (vaginal mucus) |
| 84-112 | GCA_000967135.1 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis | USA | 1984 | Bovine |
| 97-608 | GCA_000759515.1 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis | Argentina | 1987 | Bovine |
| 08A948-2A | GCA_011601005.2 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis | Canada | 2008 | Bovine (bull prepuce) |
| 08A1102- 42A | GCA_011600845.2 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis | Canada | 2008 | Bovine (bull prepuce) |
| ADRI545 | GCA_011601375.2 | <i>Campylobacter fetus</i> subsp. <i>venerealis</i> bv. Intermedius | Australia | 1984 | Bovine (reproductive tract) |
| ADRI1362 | GCA_011600955.2 | <i>Campylobacter fetus</i> subsp. <i>venerealis</i> bv. Intermedius | Argentina | 1989 | Bovine (vaginal mucus) |
| 01/165 | GCA_001686885.1 | <i>Campylobacter fetus</i> subsp. <i>venerealis</i> bv. Intermedius | Argentina | 2001 | Bovine (vaginal mucus) |
| 03-293 | GCA_000512745.2 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis bv. Intermedius | Argentina | 2003 | Bovine (fetus lung) |

The Ligation Sequencing Kit SQK-LSK-109 (Oxford Nanopore Technologies/ONT, Cambridge, UK) was used to prepare sequencing libraries from the double-stranded high molecular weight genomic DNA. The sequencing libraries were loaded onto MinION (ONT) for long-read sequencing with MinKNOW software (ONT). Approximately 1 Gbp of data were generated for each isolate and modified base-calling from raw signal data with minimum quality score filtering of 8 was performed using Guppy 5.0.7 (available to customers only at community site https://community.nanoporetech.com).

Extracted DNA was sent to the Ramaciotti Centre for Genomics (University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia) for short-read sequencing at the coverage of 4 million read pairs or 1 Gbp of data. The libraries were prepared using Nextera DNA Flex library prep kit (Illumina, California, USA) and the paired end sequencing was executed on iSeq 100 i1 sequencer with > 80% bases higher than Q30 at 2×150bp. The quality of the reads was assessed using FastQC 0.11.4 (Andrews 2010) and were trimmed with Trimmomatic 0.39.1 using the paired-end mode (Bolger et al., 2014).

Porechop 0.2.4 (Wick et al., 2017a) was utilised to first remove the adapters while NanoFilt 2.7.0 (De Coster et al., 2018) was implemented to select for reads which were greater than 8000 bp in length and greater than 10 in quality score. The quality of the Nanopore long-read sequencing data was assessed and visualised using FastQC 0.11.4 (Andrews 2010) and NanoPlot 1.3.0 (De Coster et al., 2018).

Quality long reads were assembled into contigs using Trycycler 0.5.0 (Wick et al., 2017b). The expected genome size of each bacterial strain was referred to their respective published reference genome on the NCBI database (GenBank Overview (nih.gov)). Medaka 1.4.2 and Nanopolish 0.13.2 (Loman et al., 2015) were applied to polish the complete genomes generated from Nanopore long reads, genome polishing was speed up with the help of GNU Parallel (Tange 2011). The draft assemblies were further improved by polishing with their Illumina short read data using Pilon 1.24 (Walker et al., 2014). The quality of the complete genomes was evaluated using Samtools 1.10 (Li et al., 2009) and QUAST 5.0.2 (Gurevich et al., 2013) with their respective long and short reads. The quality assessments generated for each polished genomes were visualised and validated on Artemis (Carver et al. 2011). The identities of the complete genomes were verified by BLAST (Altschul et al., 1990). The polished assemblies were submitted to NCBI and annotated with Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) (Tatusova et al., 2016).

For a more comprehensive whole-genome comparison, other complete genomes of *C. fetus fetus* (n=7) and *C. fetus venerealis* (n=9) were downloaded from NCBI Genome database (Table 2). The whole-genome average nucleotide identity (ANI) of the 25 *C. fetus* subspecies genomes was computed using FastANI 1.33 (Jain et al., 2018). The correlation of the 25 *C. fetus* subspecies complete whole genomes based on their ANI was computed in R (R Core Team 2018) using the 'corrplot' package (Wei and Simko, 2021).

Prokka 1.14.6 (Seemann 2014), a two-step wrapper which incorporated Prodigal 2.6.3 (Hyatt et al., 2010), was utilised to annotate the complete genome sequences (n=25). *Campylobacter fetus fetus* 04/554 (GCA_000759485.1) and *C. fetus venerealis* ATCC 19438^T (GCA_008271385.1) were provided as reference genomes for Prokka annotations to minimise the biases in annotation files for downstream analysis. The annotated assemblies were submitted to Roary 3.13.0 (Page et al., 2015) for pan genome calculation. The gene content and differences between the two closely related subspecies were also computed with GenAPI 1.0 (Gabrielaite and Marvig, 2020). The virulence factors known to be associated with *Campylobacter* were downloaded from the Virulence Factor Database (VFDB) (Chen et al., 2005) for building a *Campylobacter*-specific VFDB database using ABRicate 1.0.1 (Seemann 2016). The virulence factor encoding regions in each of the *C. fetus* subspecies were identified using the ABRicate 1.0.1 programme.

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified using Parsnp 1.5.6 (Treangen et al., 2014) from the core genome generated by aligning the complete genomes of the 25 *C. fetus* subspecies. The putative recombination regions with high SNPs density were detected and the SNPs in these regions were masked using Gubbins 3.0.0 (Croucher et al., 2014). A phylogenetic tree based on the recombination-filtered SNPs in the core genomes of the 25 *C. fetus* strains was generated in R (R Core Team 2018) using the 'ape' package (Paradis and Schliep, 2018). The recombination-filtered SNPs were analysed and annotated with SnpEff 4.3t (Cingolani et al., 2012) to filter out SNPs which

potentially induce synonymous amino acid changes. The amino acid change was verified by examining the translated protein sequences of the SNP-encoding coding sequences (CDS). The recombination-filtered synonymous SNPs which were different between *C. fetus fetus* and *C. fetus venerealis* and which not present in putative Genomic Islands (GIs) were labelled as "candidate SNPs". Additionally, SNPs which were different between *C. fetus venerealis* and its biovar intermedius variant were labelled as "biovar SNPs". Any CDS which encoded for candidate SNPs were functionally annotated using eggNOG-mapper 2.1.6 (Cantalapiedra et al., 2021). The annotated proteins of the SNP-encoding CDS were retrieved from Prokka 1.14.6 and the interactions between the proteins were computed using STRING v11 (Szklarczyk et al., 2019) with *C. fetus venerealis* set as the organism of interest.

Whole-genome alignment of the 25 *C. fetus* complete genomes were computed and visualised using the Blast Ring Image Generator (BRIG) 0.95 (Alikhan et al., 2011), which incorporated BLAST+ 2.10.1 (Camacho et al., 2009) for genome alignment. The putative genomic islands (GIs) were predicted using IslandViewer 4 (Bertelli et al., 2017). Additionally, the genes which were used in the published *C. fetus* subspecies identification PCR assays, including sodium/hydrogen exchanger family protein (*nahE*) (Abril et al. 2007), *ISCfe1* (Abril et al., 2007), peptide transporter carbon starvation (*cstA*) (Hum et al., 1997) and *parA* (Hum et al., 1997; McMillen et al., 2006) were downloaded from the NCBI nucleotide database. The location of putative GIs, candidate SNPs and existing PCR targets for *C. fetus* subspecies identification were identified and labelled on the alignment image generated by BRIG (Alikhan et al., 2011).

3.4.2 Assay 1. The first assay *C. fetus venerealis* reported in this research project was based on a single SNP difference between *C. fetus venerealis* and *C. fetus fetus* in the conserved heat shock protein 60 or chaperonin protein 60 (*cpn*60) gene. Custom Applied Biosystems[™] TaqMan[®] MGB (minor groove binder) probes incorporate a 5' reporter and a 3' nonfluorescent quencher (NFQ). Custom TaqMan MGB probes for SNP assays were designed using the Thermo Fisher Scientific online tool (Custom TaqMan[™] SNP Genotyping Assay) targeting the *C. fetus venerealis* and *C. fetus fetus* cpn60 genes and labelled with FAM[™] and VIC[™] reporter dyes, respectively. The two probes and the forward and reverse primers were pre-mixed by Applied Biosystems and provided as a 20x mix for use in custom assays (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Australia). The mastermix was the AgPath-ID[™] One-Step RT-PCR Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) without the Reverse Transcriptase step and was provided as a 2x concentrated buffer. The 10 µl reaction consisted of 1x buffer (5 µl), 900 nM of the forward (5' CCGTATTTCATCACAAACGCTGAA 3') and reverse (5'

CCGGAAGTAGATCTTTTAAATTTGTAATTTTCTTATCA 3') primers, 200 nM of the *C. fetus venerealis* FAM probe (6FAM-TGCAAGTCGAGTTAAGT-3MGBNFQ), 200nM of the *C. fetus fetus* VIC probe (VIC-TGCAAGTCGAATTAAGT-3MGBNFQ), 0.4 µl of the 25X RT-PCR Enzyme Mix (AmpliTaq Gold™ DNA Polymerase at 0.025 units per reaction). Assays were run in duplicate and the mastermix dispensed into tubes or plates. For clinical field samples, 4.1 µl total DNA was added to each reaction, with 1 µl for the positive controls (2 ng DNA and 3.1 µl nucleases free water) and nuclease-free water was added to the No Template Control (NTC, negative control) tubes or plates. The assays were run in the Bio-Rad CFX96 Touch™ Real-Time PCR Detection System for the two fluorophores under the following conditions: activation at 95°C 10 min, followed by 45 cycles of 95°C 15 s, 67°C 1 min; and a final extension at 67°C for 7 min.

The Bio-Rad Optical data was received after the sample RUN was opened in CFX maestro Software and done log corrections. This Bio-Rad optical file displayed data in charts and spreadsheets when opened using the CFX Maestro Software. The Quantification tab showed chart data regarding the relative fluorescence (RFU) for each well at every cycle. The spreadsheet tab showed the Cq data collected from each well after log correction. Data from a single fluorophore in a single well was represented by each trace in the graph. These numbers were used to calculate Cq values for each fluorophore in each well by the software. This was achieved by the single threshold mode, where a single threshold value was used to calculate the Cq value based on the threshold crossing point of each fluorescent trace. The threshold if fitted, subtracted the NTC and other backgrounds, giving the best fit data for each sample. The data from the spreadsheet tab was exported and tabulated in Excel. The average of the two Cq scores provided a numeral of up to 40 or negative. The cut-off for a positive sample was a Cq score of 33, with those identified at Cq 34-40 considered background due to non-specific positives. This was determined by mixing DNA from other non-specific bacterial species and bovine DNA. The non-specific bacterial species included: *Campylobacter hyointestinalis, Arcobacter cryaerophilus, Campylobacter ureolyticus* and *Campylobacter sputorum* which were isolated in a previous study screening abattoir bull penises (Lew-Tabor et al., 2013) and have been shown to cross-react in previous *C. fetus venerealis* molecular assays (McMillen et al., 2006; Spence et al., 2011).

3.4.3. Assay 2. Following preliminary genomics analyses of *C. fetus* subspecies sequences, a second assay was designed which targeted a hypothetical protein gene (RS04330) found to contain more than a single SNP to differentiate *C. fetus venerealis* and *C. fetus fetus*. Assay sequences designed using Thermo Fisher Scientific's online tool (Custom TaqMan[™] SNP Genotyping Assay) were:

Cfv RS04330 FAM Assay: Forward Primer: 5'ATAAGCGATAATCGCTACCCTTATTG; Reverse Primer: 5'CGCTCAAGAAAATGGAGGTAGATCT; MGB Probe: 5' 6FAM TTGATCTAGCATCATAGGA-3'MGBNFQ

Cff RS04330 VIC Assay: Forward Primer: 5'ATAAGCGATAATCGCTACCCTTCTTT; Reverse Primer: 5'CGCTCAAGAAAATGAAGGTAGATCC; MGB Probe: 5'VIC-TTGATCTAACATCATAGGAT-3'MGBNFQ

The assays were screened using gradient PCR to determine the optimal annealing temperature. The same concentrations for primers and probes for assay 1 above were used as recommended by the manufacturer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Australia). The concentrations for the 10 μ l reactions were the same as described for assay 1. The assays were first screened using DNA from both *C. fetus fetus* and *C. fetus venerealis* controls and both sets of primers and probes to determine if the assays could be multiplexed. As there was a cross-reaction in the *C. fetus venerealis* assay, the multiplex approach was not applied, and separate assays were used for each subspecies. The assay conditions were the same as Assay 1 except 50°C was determined to be the optimal annealing temperature. The same Assay 1 bacterial species and mixtures were used to determine the specificities of these assays.

3.4.4. Assay **3**. Once genome sequencing comparisons were completed, a SNP in the *mraY* gene was found to consistently differentiate *C. fetus venerealis* from *C. fetus fetus* at the genomic level. Custom TaqMan MGB probes for SNP assays were designed using the Thermo Fisher Scientific online tool (Custom TaqMan^M SNP Genotyping Assay) targeting the *C. fetus venerealis* and *C. fetus fetus mraY* genes and labelled with VIC^M and FAM^M reporter dyes, respectively:

mraY Forward primer: 5' AAAATGATGATGAATTGGCGCCATT 3'; *mraY* Reverse primer: 5' TGTGATGGAAACCTTATCTGTTATATTGCA 3'; Cff *mraY* Probe: 5'FAM- CGTTTTTG<mark>C</mark>GTATTTT-3'MGBNFQ; Cfv *mraY* Probe: 5'VIC- CCGTTTTTGTGTGTATTTT 3'MGBNFQ (yellow highlight is the SNP targeted which differentiates the subspecies). Assay reagents and conditions were as described for Assay 1 except that cycling conditions differed to incorporate a 69°C annealing temperature: activation at 95°C 10 min, followed by 45 cycles of 95°C 15 s, 69°C 1 min; and a final extension at 69°C for 7 min.

3.4.5. Diagnostic assay adoption by State Government Veterinary Diagnostic laboratories

Assays 1 and 2 were trialled by Biosecurity Queensland Laboratories by their diagnostic staff using RotorGeneQ (Qiagen) qPCR equipment in 2021. Since this time, relevant staff have retired and currently the Queensland laboratory are not trialling assay 3 for *C. fetus venerealis*. Assay 3 is currently under evaluation by staff at Elizabeth Macarthur Agricultural Institute from NSW Department of Primary Industries (2023) with a plan to co-publish their data with our manuscript describing this new assay.

3.4.6. Other diagnostic approaches.

3.4.6.1 Digital Droplet PCR

Assays 1 and 2 above were also tested using the fully integrated nano-plate based digital PCR system in a QIAGEN's QIAcuity instrument on trial at the University of Queensland in 2021. For the digital PCR (dPCR), the QIAcuity Probe Master mix was used was provided as a 4 x mix, thus 10 μ l was used in a 40 μ l reaction (QIAGEN). Each primer was used at 0.8 μ M concentration and each probe at 0.4 μ M concentration. The total reaction volume was 40 μ l with the addition of sterile water, and 1 μ l of template DNA per reaction. Standard PCR plates were used to set up the assays and the reaction was transferred to the nanoplate. The dPCR assays were performed on a 24 well nanoplate with 26,000 partitions in total. The nanoplate was sealed with QIAcuity Nanoplate Sealant and inserted into the QIAcuity device to run the dPCR program. The assay conditions used: PCR initial heat activation 95°C 2 mins, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C 15 s, annealing/extension at 56°C for 30 s. As this was a demonstration by QIAGEN, the company representative did the analyses and provided the results shown in this report.

The plate analysis option in the software QIAcuity Software suit shows the result in different modes. The Absolute Quantification tab option has a list tab tabulating the sample name, assay type, target, concentration of the sample as well as the number of positive and negative partitions per sample. The number of positive & negative partitions were compared and the larger the output positive partitions number, the greater the reaction's positivity (as opposed to normal Cq in normal qPCR assays described above).

3.4.5.2 Nanopore enrichment methods

We have optimised an 'adaptive sampling' technique where DNA samples (extracted from the reproductive tracts of heifers and bulls) are sequenced by enriching for *Campylobacter* genetic material in the sample. Two approaches were taken, the first simply enriched for all Campylobacter genomic material, whereas the second enriched specifically for the three *C. fetus venerealis* specific SNPs identified in this research programme cpn60 and mraY. Initial experiments were undertaken using DNA prepared from *C. fetus venerealis* negative clinical samples which were spiked with known concentrations of *C. fetus venerealis* DNA (4 ng to 40 fg in serial dilutions). Briefly, 100 ng of DNA from a preputial sample from a *C. fetus* negative sample (NG034-211) was mixed with *C. fetus fetus* (ATCC27374) or *C. fetus venerealis* (ATCC19438) DNA samples from 4 ng to 40 fg in serial dilutions. Sequencing libraries were constructed from each sample using the Nanopore Native Barcoding kit (SQK-NBD114.24) as described by the manufacturer (ONT, UK). Eight libraries were multiplexed on a single 10.4 Flow Cell and sequenced for 24 hours on the Nanopore GridION with enrichment adaptive sampling and high accuracy basecalling turned on. This process was replicated, but sequencing using depletion of the bovine genome (rather than enrichment for *Campylobacter*). This enabled the two techniques to be directly compared and provided field data to compare to the bull

trial described above. Samples were enriched for the *C. fetus* subspecies genomes or regions of interest in a .bed file with the following co-ordinates ATCC-19438-contig1:818000-819000, ATCC-19438-contig1:655000-656000, ATCC-19438-contig1:332000-333000. Once validated the technique was trialled on DNA from animals known to be *C. fetus venerealis* negative, positive, or suspected positive using the same workflow as above. To analyse the data, sequences were trimmed, and quality checked using the NanoPack package and all reads with a quality score below 10 were removed. Reads were mapped against the bovine genome using Minimap2 and all reads that failed to map (non-bovine) were extracted using Samtools. Non-bovine reads were classified using the software package Kraken2 and a custom database of all Campylobacter genomes characterised previously (Appendix 8.3).

3.4.6 Field assay evaluations

Field samples were collected using the Tricamper[™] tool in PBS and processed as described above for DNA extraction and for qPCR assays. Field samples collected from Roma Veterinarians (n=95, see Appendix 8.2), AaCo (n=639), Jandowae (n=38) and random abattoir samples (n=9). The samples collected from AaCo were DNA preparations provided by the Qld Government Biosecurity Sciences Laboratory prepared for the *Tritrichomonas foetus* qPCR. Briefly, 1 mL of the Tricamper[™] mucus samples in PBS were pelleted at 14,000 x g for 5 minutes and resuspended in 100 µL for extraction using the MagMax CORE Nucleic Acid Purification Kit (as described by the manufacturer, Thermofisher) with DNA eluted into 90 µl. Samples provided directly to our laboratory (Roma Vets, Jandowae, and abattoir samples) were processed using the DNA extraction protocol described in section 3.1 or the MagMax CORE Nucleic Acid Purification Kit according to the manufacturer (Thermofisher). Briefly the PBS vaginal or preputial mucus samples were centrifuged at 1,000 x g for 1 minute to pellet host material and the supernatant was centrifuged 4,000 x g for 15 minutes to obtain the microbial pellet for extraction. DNA was processed using either the QIAamp DNA Microbiome kit (QIAGEN) (section 3.1) or the MagMax Kit (Thermofisher) as described by the manufacturers.

For the 9 abattoir samples, blood samples were also provided for proteomics to confirm biomarkers using methods described in section 3.6.2.

Long read sequencing *C. fetus venerealis* detection was undertaken using 10 Roma samples, 4 AaCo samples and 9 abattoir samples. Sequencing was carried out using both the adaptive sampling by depletion of the bovine genome and adaptive sampling by enrichment for *Campylobacter* genomes. This enabled the two techniques to be directly compared and provided field data to compare to the metagenomics data from the bull trial described above. This dataset also allowed comparison to and validation of qPCR results.

3.5 Determined whether the genital microbiome is associated with increased susceptibility to BGC

Methods were developed using Oxford Nanopore Technologies for shotgun long read sequencing of the entire metagenome using adaptive sampling technologies to minimise bovine host sequence contamination. A preliminary study has been published (Ong et al., 2022c, Appendix 8.7) and is described here.

Long read adaptive sampling enables the removal of host material during sequencing through the recognition of bovine reads which are ejected from the pore which will preferentially process non-

bovine reads. Two herds with different reproductive rates were sequenced using this method, see Table 3.

| ClbCode | Year | Total | Pregnant | % Pregnant |
|---------|------|-------|----------|------------|
| NG036 | 2018 | 82 | 14 | 17.1 |
| NG036 | 2019 | 175 | 86 | 49.1 |
| NG036 | 2020 | 155 | 89 | 57.4 |
| NG037 | 2018 | 20 | 4 | 20.0 |
| NG037 | 2019 | 20 | 1 | 5.0 |

Table 3. Annual pregnancy rates for the 2 cohorts in herd NG036 (Composite) and NG037(Droughtmaster).

Samples were collected from two cattle properties, which were approximately 200 km apart, in Northern Queensland by an experienced veterinarian. The cattle breeds in Station A and B were Crossbred and Droughtmaster respectively and the Bos indicus content of the herds in these two stations were 49 and 54% respectively (Appendix Supplementary 8.8.1). In total, four hundred and fifty-two samples were collected from 2018 to 2021. For heifers and cows, the stages of pregnancy and reproductive status were determined using transrectal ultrasound as previously described (Corbet et al., 2016). Descriptive terms: 'prepubertal' were heifers which did not have a corpus luteum (CL); 'cycling' referred to animals that had a CL present, but no foetus or embryo was detected; 'pregnant' were the animals in which a foetus or embryo was detected; 'postpartum' were cows which were known to have delivered a calf in the past 12 months. Samples were collected into PBS using the Tricamper[™] tool as described above and transported chilled to the laboratory. DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit as described by the manufacturer (QIAGEN). The quantity of extracted DNA was measured using QubitTM 4 fluorometer (Invitrogen[™]) with the Qubit[™] dsDNA Broad Range assay kit (Invitrogen[™]). The extracted genomic DNA were examined using the Pippin Pulse (Sage Science) pulsed-field electrophoresis gel to determine the size and integrity.

Libraries for Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) adaptive sampling were prepared using the ONT SQK-LSK109 kit (ONT, Cambridge, UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions Briefly, 48 uL of the extracted DNA was added to the end-repair reaction mix. Then, adapters were ligated to the end-repaired DNA. The ligated DNA library was loaded to be sequenced on an individual MinION flowcells FLO-MIN106D (ONT, Cambridge, UK). Each time, three to five libraries were running concurrently on the ONT GridION Mk1 sequencer with software MinKNOW version 21.05.8 (ONT, Cambridge, UK). The adaptive sampling mode was applied to deplete genomes of both ARS-UCD1.2 Bos taurus genome (GCA_002263795.2) and Brahman genome (Ross et al., 2022). Each sample was sequenced for 24 h and the raw data was transferred to Linux system for base calling using Guppy version 5.0.11 (ONT, Cambridge, UK). The quality of the long reads generated using ONT were evaluated using NanoPlot 1.3.0 (De Coster et al., 2018). Adapters on the long reads were removed using Porechop 0.2.4 (Wick et al. 2017a) while NanoFilt 2.7.0 (De Coster et al., 2018) was applied to filter out reads which were less than 5 in quality score. The long reads were mapped against the ARS-UCD1.2 Bos taurus genome (GCA_002263795.2) and Brahman genome (Ross et al., 2022) using Minimap2 2.17 (r941) (Li 2018) to examine the percentage of cattle DNA in the sample sequenced with different technologies.

Read-based taxonomic classification of the reads was performed using Kraken v2.1.2 (Wood et al., 2019). To ensure a more targeted and efficient search for the metagenome samples in this study, instead of the standard database suggested by Kraken2, a customised database was constructed with the build script provided by Kraken2. The customised database used in this study was built with

the complete genomes of archaea, bacteria and fungi, which were downloaded from NCBI RefSeq (Pruitt et al., 2005) with their low complexity sequences masked. The abundances of the organisms in the metagenomic samples sequenced with different methods were estimated using the Bayesian Re-estimation of Abundance with KrakEN (Bracken) v2.6.2 (Lu et al., 2017). The downstream bioinformatic analyses and visualisation of the results were conducted on R studio (R Studio 2020) with R packages including vegan 2.5.7 (vegan 2020), phyloseq 1.34.0 (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013), DEseq2 1.30.1(Love et al., 2014), dplyr 1.0.7 (dplyr 2020) and ggplot2 3.3.5 (ggplot2 2016). The pipeline MetaErg 1.2.0 (Dong and Strous, 2019) was performed to functionally annotate the assembled contigs of each sample. Briefly, the predicted ORFs were subjected to HMMs profile similarity searches or DIAMOND (double index alignment of next-generation sequencing data) searches against several databases, including Pfam-A (Finn et al., 2016), TIGRFAM (Haft et al., 2013), FOAM (Prestat et al., 2014), metabolic-hmms (Anantharaman et al. 2016), casgenes.hmm (Burstein et al., 2017) and SwissProt (Bairoch and Apweiler, 2000). Mapping files generated from searches against SwissProt, FOAM and TIGRFAMs databases were incorporated in MinPath (Ye and Doak 2009) to infer to KEGG (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000) and MetaCyc metabolic pathways (Karp et al., 2002). Bioinformatic analyses and visualisation of the outputs were performed on R studio (RStudio 2020) with R packages including edgeR 3.32.1 (Robinson et al. 2010) and clusterProfiler 3.18.1 (Yu et al., 2012).

Ribosomal DNA amplicon sequencing. For these analyses, samples were sent to an external provider (the Australian Genome Research Facility/AGRF) who sequenced the V1-V3 variable region of the 16S ribosomal DNA using Illumina (short read) technologies. This assists to estimate the relative bacterial populations of a given sample. Herd NG034 was selected for amplicon analysis due to its bovine genital campylobacteriosis cases and 'non-Vibrovax^{®'} vaccination history.

3.6 Determined whether BGC immune biomarkers (post infection or vaccination) can be identified for assay development

3.6.1 Microbiome biomarkers.

One hundred and twenty samples from the bull trial (3.2.2) were screened using ONT adaptive metagenomics. DNA concentrations for each sample were measured using the Qubit[™] fluorometer (Invitrogen[™]) with Qubit[™] dsDNA Broad Range assay kit (Invitrogen[™]). DNA samples were pooled into groups (5 individuals/group) according to vaccination status, age (old = ≥3 years; young = <3 years) and timepoint of sample collection (Table 4. Figure 3). DNA from 5 individuals (120 ng each) was combined to achieve 600ng of DNA per group. The pooled DNA was barcoded (24 barcodes) and library preparation was carried out using the Native Barcoding Kit 24 V14 (SQK-NBD114-24) according to the manufacturers protocol.



Figure 3. The figure showing the grouping of animal for adaptive metagenome sequencing. A total number of 120 samples were sequenced from four groups (unvaccinated_old, unvaccinated_young, vaccinated_old, vaccinated_young) using 6 time points of trial. Samples from 5 animals were pooled in each group (5 animals x 4 groups x 6 timepoints=120).

| Barcodes | Status | Time points | Number of animals samples pooled |
|------------|--------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Barcode 01 | Unvaccinated old | Day 0 naive | 5 |
| Barcode 02 | Unvaccinated young | Day 0 naive | 5 |
| Barcode 03 | Vaccinated old | Day 0_naive | 5 |
| Barcode 04 | Vaccinated young | Day 0_naive | 5 |
| Barcode 05 | Unvaccinated old | Day-49_after 2 nd vaccination | 5 |
| Barcode 06 | Unvaccinated young | Day-49_after 2 nd vaccination | 5 |
| Barcode 07 | Vaccinated old | Day-49_after 2 nd vaccination | 5 |
| Barcode 08 | Vaccinated young | Day-49_after 2 nd vaccination | 5 |
| Barcode-09 | Unvaccinated old | 1 st week _after challenge | 5 |
| Barcode 10 | Unvaccinated young | 1 st week _after challenge | 5 |
| Barcode 11 | Vaccinated old | 1 st week _after challenge | 5 |
| Barcode 12 | Vaccinated young | 1 st week _after challenge | 5 |
| Barcode 13 | Unvaccinated old | 7 th week _after challenge | 5 |
| Barcode 14 | Unvaccinated young | 7 th week _after challenge | 5 |
| Barcode 15 | Vaccinated old | 7 th week _after challenge | 5 |
| Barcode 16 | Vaccinated young | 7 th week _after challenge | 5 |
| Barcode 17 | Unvaccinated old | 14 th week _after challenge | 5 |
| Barcode 18 | Unvaccinated young | 14 th week _after challenge | 5 |
| Barcode 19 | Vaccinated old | 14 th week _after challenge | 5 |
| Barcode 20 | Vaccinated young | 14 th week _after challenge | 5 |
| Barcode 21 | Unvaccinated old | 2 nd day_after re-challenge | 5 |
| Barcode 22 | Unvaccinated young | 2 nd day _after re-challenge | 5 |
| Barcode 23 | Vaccinated old | 2 nd day_after re-challenge | 5 |
| Barcode 24 | Vaccinated young | 2 nd day_after re-challenge | 5 |

Table 4. Description of the timepoints and barcodes used for bull metagenome study.

The 24 barcoded libraries were sequenced across 4 PromethION flow cells (PromethION R10.4.1) on the PromethION 24 (A100) sequencer from Oxford Nanopore Technologies. Flow cells were primed and loaded using the Native Barcoding Kit 24 V14 (SQK-NBD114-24) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Table 5 shows the amount of DNA loaded on to the flow cell for each barcoded library.

| Libraries | Concentration of | Amount of DNA | Barcodes | Concentration of |
|-----------|------------------|---------------|------------|------------------|
| | DNA | loaded (ng) | | DNA/barcodes |
| | | | Barcode-01 | 29.00 |
| | | | Barcode-03 | 34.6 |
| Library 1 | 28.00 ng/μL | 67.2 μL | Barcode-04 | 29.4 |
| | | | Barcode-05 | 23.6 |
| | | | Barcode-07 | 25.8 |
| | | | Barcode-02 | 12.5 |
| | | | Barcode-06 | 11.3 |
| Library | 15 50 pg/ul | 91.6 μL | Barcode-08 | 10.1 |
| Library 2 | 15.50 ng/μL | | Barcode-13 | 10.4 |
| | | | Barcode-16 | 11.0 |
| | | | Barcode-17 | 15.4 |
| | | 145.4 μL | Barcode-14 | 6.82 |
| | | | Barcode-18 | 4.92 |
| Library 2 | 4 49 pg/11 | | Barcode-19 | 5.66 |
| Library 3 | 4.48 ng/μL | | Barcode-20 | 4.62 |
| | | | Barcode-21 | 4.66 |
| | | | Barcode-22 | 2.58 |
| | | | Barcode-09 | 0.96 |
| | | | Barcode-10 | 0.80 |
| Library 4 | 1.47 ng/μL | 47.0 μL | Barcode-11 | 1.00 |
| | μ.Ψ. με | 47.0 με | Barcode-12 | 1.02 |
| | | | Barcode-23 | 2.38 |
| | | | Barcode-24 | 2.82 |

Table 5. Amount of DNA loaded on to a flow cell for each barcode.

The samples were sequenced using the adaptive sampling mode for 24 h with a minimum quality of 9 and a minimum length of 150 bp. Sequences were depleted against the bovine genome ARS-UCD1.2 (GCA_002263795.2) in fasta format. High accuracy basecalling was set with MinKNOW software and output files were saved as .fast5 and .fastq.

All bioinformatic analysis was carried out on the Bunya high performance computer provided by University of Queensland. Data was checked using NanoComp v.1.21.0 (De Coster & Rademakers, 2023) and reads with quality scores below 10 or lengths below 200 bp were removed using NanoFilt v2.8.0 (De Coster et al., 2018). Reads were mapped to the bovine reference genome (genome ARS-UCD1.2 – GCA 002263795.2) using minimap2 v.2.25 with alignment mode for Oxford Nanopore data (Li 2021). All reads that could not be mapped to the bovine genome were then extracted using samtools v.1.6 (Danecek et al., 2012). Species classification was performed on extracted fasta reads using Kraken2 V2.0.7 (Wood et al., 2019). A customized Kraken2 database was built containing the complete genomes of archaea, bacteria, viral, fungi and protozoa from NCBI RefSeq (Pruitt et al., 2005), with the scripts provided by Kraken2. Data was visualised using R Studio (2022.07.2) and the dplyr (Wickham et al., 2023) and ggplot2 (Wickham 2016) packages.

3.6.2 Protein biomarkers

Protein biomarkers for BGC resistance were identified from the proteomics data which were validated following analysis from the heifer and bull trials. Field samples were submitted from abattoir collections for biomarker validation.

Proteomics analysis was undertaken at UQ's School of Chemistry and Molecular Bioscience's Mass spectrometry: proteomics facility. Samples were separated using reversed-phase chromatography on a Waters M-Class UPLC system. Samples were loaded onto a Waters NanoEase HSS T3 column (100 A, 1.8 µm, 300 µm x 150 mm). Chromatography was performed at 5 µL/min and column set at 40 deg C, with LC conditions as follows: 0 - 1min = 3% B, 1- 31 min = 3-30%, 32-45 min = 30 – 97%, held at 97% for 4 min followed by equilibration for 4 min. Pump A = 0.1% FA in water, and pump B = 0.1% FA in ACN. Eluted peptides were directly analysed on a ZenoTof 7600 instrument (ABSciex) using an OptiFlow Micro/MicroCal source. Curtain gas = 35 psi, CAD gas = 7, Gas 1 = 20 psi, Gas 2 = 15 psi, source temp = 150 deg C, spray voltage = 5000 V, DP = 80, CE = 10. For data dependent acquisition analysis, MS TOF scan across 400-1500 m/z was performed for 0.2 sec followed by data dependent acquisition of up to 20 peptides with intensity greater than 150 counts, across 100-2000 m/z (0.035 sec per spectra) using dynamic collision energy. Zeno pulsing was on, with a threshold set to 100000 cps. For SWATH acquisitions, MS TOF scan across 400-1500 m/z was performed (0.1 sec). For MS2, variable windows spanning 399.5 m/z – 750.5 m/z were chosen for fragmentation (0.013 sec) with fragment data acquired across 140 - 1750 m/z with Zeno pulsing on. Dynamic collision energy was used.

The raw MS data files were converted to DIA-NN format using the DIA-NN software (Demichev et al., 2020) followed by the generation of ion library of proteins identified with DIA-NN (DIA-NN 1.8) using a representative sample from each condition and time point. The output library was reformatted using a Python script for further analysis with PeakView 2.1 (SCIEX[®]). The settings for PeakView were as follows: with settings: shared peptides = allowed; peptide confidence threshold = 99%; false discovery rate = 1%; peptides per protein = 6; transitions per peptide = 6; XIC extraction widow = 6 min; XIC width = 75 ppm. A python script (https://github.com/bschulzlab/reformatMS) was used to reformat the PeakView output, with a 1% peptide FDR cut-off to remove the ion measurements for low quality peptides from each sample, and reformatting appropriate for use with MSstats (Kerr et al., 2019). Differences in protein abundance were determined with a linear mixed model using MSstats (2.4) in R (Choi et al., 2014), with Benjamini and Hochberg corrections adjusting for multiple comparisons and a significance threshold of P < 10⁻⁵.

3.7 Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be used in breeding programs to select for resistant genotypes.

This milestone intended to expand on the metagenome:genome relationship demonstrated in Figure 10 (Section 4.3) to further test that the host genome influences the microbial genome.

Available Roma herd cohorts had tail hairs collected (See Appendix 8.2, n=26) and submitted for genotyping through Neogen using GGP_TropBeef50x test.

4. Results

4.1 Sampled at least 1,000 cattle (heifers, cows and bulls) from healthy and diseased northern beef herds for genotyping and microbiome analysis.

A total of 1012 reproductive tract samples have been collected for this research (see summary Table 6, see detailed spreadsheet, Appendix 8.1). Thirty herds have been sampled with 11 also including bull tract samples. Of the 30 herds, 11 do not routinely vaccinate with Vibrovax[®] to protect against bovine genital campylobacteriosis (BGC) and 19 herds routinely vaccinate their bulls (Table 6).

| | | | | | Reproductive Status | | | us |
|------------------|--|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------|---------------|
| Property code | Breed^ | No. bulls sampled | No. cows sampled | Vibrovax® | Pre- pub- erty | Cycl- ing | Empty | Preg- nant |
| NG001 | Brahman Cross | 10 | 20 | Nil | 5 | 4 | 2 | 9 |
| NG003 | Brahman | 0 | 20 | Nil | - | - | 10 | 10 |
| NG004 | Cross | 0 | 25 | Nil | - | - | 6 | 19 |
| NG005 | Brahman | 0 | 16 | Bulls vaccinated | - | - | 7 | 9 |
| NG006 | Brahman | 0 | 27 | Nil | - | - | 14 | 13 |
| NG007 | Brahman | 7 | 26 | Bulls vaccinated | - | - | 5 | 21 |
| NG008 | Brahman | 0 | 30 | Nil | | | 12 | 18 |
| NG011 | Brahman | 0 | 18 | Nil | - | - | 6 | 12 |
| NG021 | Brahman/ Angus/Santa Gertrudis X | 0 | 25 | Bulls vaccinated | - | 3 | 22 | - |
| | Santa Gertrudis | 10 | 0 | Vaccinated | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| | Angus | 7 | 0 | Vaccinated | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| NG022 | Brahman | 4 | 71 | Nil | 5 | 20 | 43 | 3 |
| NG023 | Shorthorn | 0 | 21 | Nil | - | 17 | 4 | - |
| NG024 | Droughtmaster | 0 | 20 | Bulls vaccinated | - | - | 1 | 19 |
| NG025 | Droughtmaster | 0 | 53 | Bulls vaccinated | 23 | 30 | - | - |
| NG027 | Cross | 0 | 20 | Bulls vaccinated | - | - | 9 | 11 |
| NG028 | Droughtmaster | 0 | 20 | Bulls | 2 | 18 | - | - |
| | Composite | | 30 | vaccinated | - | - | 1 | 29 |
| NG031 | Brahman Cross | 12 | 25 | Bulls vaccinated | 5 | 7 | - | 13 |
| NG032 | Brahman Cross | 0 | 40 | Nil | - | - | 40 | - |
| NG033 | Cross | 0 | 20 | Nil | 11 | 9 | - | - |
| NG034 | Brahman and Brahman Cross | ЗхВ ЗхХ | 20 (B) | Nil | 5 | 14 | - | 1 |
| NG036 | Brahman Cross | 46 | 60 | Bulls vaccinated | 19 | 1 | 26 | 14 |

Table 6. Summary of reproductive tract samples collected from 30 northern Australian herds.

 *^Legend: X=Cross; B=Brahman; '-' represents 'none identified'

| | | | | | Reproductive Status | | | us |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------|---------------|
| Property code | Breed^ | No. bulls sampled | No. cows sampled | Vibrovax® | Pre- pub- erty | Cycl- ing | Empty | Preg- nant |
| NG037 | Droughtmaster | 4 | 65 | Bulls vaccinated | 17 | 15 | 27 | 6 |
| NG038 | Brahman | 0 | 30 | Nil | 9 | 2 | 11 | 8 |
| NG039 | Cross and Brahman | 6 Brahman | 21X | Bulls vaccinated | 10 | 2 | - | 9 |
| NG040 | Brahman | 0 | 18 | Bulls vaccinated | 6 | 3 | - | 9 |
| NG041 | Cross | 0 | 26 | Bulls vaccinated | 12 | 10 | - | 4 |
| NG042 | Brahman | 0 | 23 | Bulls vaccinated | 15 | 6 | - | 2 |
| NG043 | Brahman | 0 | 10 | Bulls vaccinated | - | - | 10 | - |
| NG044 | Cross | 0 | 20 | Bulls vaccinated | 1 | 5 | - | 14 |
| NG048 | Cross | 20 | 20 | Bulls vaccinated | - | 15 | 5 | - |
| NG100 | 18 Droughtmaster 22 Brahman | 0 | 40 | Bulls vaccinated | - | - | 40 | - |
| TOTAL | 1012 | 132 | 880 | | 145 | 181 | 301 | 253 |

4.2 Defined the microbiomes of the reproductive tracts in healthy and diseased cattle

4.2.1 Heifer study

The trial was completed successfully with samples collected for analysis. qPCR results are presented in Figure 3 with details in Appendix 8.9. Prior to challenge, the heifers were *C. fetus venerealis* qPCR negative. Post challenge, 5 of the 6 unvaccinated heifers were positive by qPCR with animal number 578 subsequently positive a week later (See Figure 4 and Appendix 8.9). A few of the vaccinated cattle were mildly positive post challenge and a week later which is not surprising as the challenge bacteria were still present. At 2 weeks post challenge, there was a mix of negative, low positive and positives for all cattle and by 3 weeks post challenge all cattle had cleared the infection. Following treatment, cattle were confirmed qPCR negative. Figure 3 shows a summary of the qPCR results. Since both vaccinated and unvaccinated cattle were positive to the qPCR in the weeks immediately post challenge, this confirms the need for a BGC immunity assay as planned in this research program. It is also evident that within 3 weeks of challenge, or one oestrous cycle, the unvaccinated group cleared the *C. fetus venerealis* bacteria from the vagina. This would explain why in our previous field studies using qPCR (Appendix 8.2) we rarely found positive cows in unvaccinated herds where bulls presented as qPCR positive.



Figure 4. Distribution of infection status by qPCR of vaginal samples, in vaccinated and unvaccinated heifers following infusion of a field isolate of *C. fetus venerealis*. Details in Appendix 8.9.

4.2.2 Heifer microbiome analysis

The mean abundance of microbiome populations was determined from 16S rDNA analyses from the trial. Oestrous and dioestrous stages were examined to determine if there was a cycle effect on the microbiome, see Figure 5. The mean abundance of microbiome populations was determined from 16S analyses associated with vaccination status and challenge as demonstrated in Figure 5. The relative abundances of the microbiome were analysed to demonstrate the population changes during the trial.

Swartz et al (2014) reported that in dairy cows the vaginal microbial population is dominated by *Bacteroidetes*, followed by *Fusobacteria* and *Proteobacteria*. Other more recent studies in dairy heifers found that *Tenericutes*, *Firmicutes*, and *Bacteroidetes* represents more than 96% of the bacterial composition in the heifer vagina (Quereda et al., 2020). The relative abundance of *Bacteroidetes*, *Fusobacterium* and *Proteobacteria* have been shown to vary depending on the reproductive health status in dairy heifers. More work is required in beef heifers generally and specifically breeds of *Bos indicus* and tropical compost heifers. We need an understanding of how the microbiota behaves in healthy *Bos indicus* cross heifers to identify vaginal dysbiosis related to disease.

Clemmons et al (2017) reported three dominant phyla in the vaginal microbiota of Angus breed cows in Europe; *Firmicutes* (65.9%), *Bacteroidetes* (16.8%), and *Proteobacteria* (7.4%) with only 2.8% of *Tenericutes*. Vaginal microbial communities of Nellore cattle were *Firmicutes* (40–50%), *Bacteroidetes* (15–25%) and *Proteobacteria* (5–25%), while *Tenericutes* were not detected (Laguardia-Nascimento et al., 2015).

Most of the reports indicate that the bovine vaginal microbiota are dominated by similar groups of bacteria (*Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes* and *Proteobacteria*) but variations exist, and these are associated with the oestrous cycle. In previous studies it has been shown that microbial phyla such as *Firmicutes, Actinobacteria*, and *Bacteriodetes* were in high abundance during oestrous in some cattle/buffalo, with a great reduction in the *Proteobacteria*, with are in high abundances during dioestrus.

From the current study, the most abundant phyla were the *Firmicutes* particularly *Streptococcus* spp. but also Aerococcaceae, Peptostreptococcaceae families. This genus is at a much higher abundance in oestrus than in dioestrus after the challenge in both vaccinated and unvaccinated animals (Figure 5)

and this could have been influenced by the hormonal changes in the vaginal epithelium. It has been shown that the microbiome changes in the uterus as well as the vagina in response to hormonal changes (Poole et al., 2023). In addition, *Arthrobacter* sp. changed during the oestrous cycle, consistently higher abundances in oestrus compared to dioestrus, yet was also higher in abundance in one of the vaccinated heifers. *Campylobacter* reads are highlighted in Figure 5 showing higher abundance after challenge in the unvaccinated heifers and not influenced by cycle change. Alternately *Proteobacteria* seem to increase generally after challenge, particularly *Acinetobacter* (Unassigned). The level of *Proteobacteria* seems somewhat dependent on the cycle stage with higher abundances during oestrus which differs to the published literature cited above. There is also some evidence that changes in the ruminal microbiome also affects the content of the vaginal microbiome (Ong et al., 2022c, Section 4.5.1). This could explain the abundances of different phyla across different studies of the vaginal microbiome.

In terms of *Campylobacter* spp. microbiome reads, there were more present following live challenge in the unvaccinated cattle compared with vaccinated as evidenced in Figure 5B.




Figure 5A. Top 30 species from 16S microbiome analyses associated with heifer vaccination vs unvaccinated, *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* challenge, and oestrous vs diestrous cycle stage changes during the trial. Campylobacter spp. reads are highlighted in black boxes and have been represented diagrammatically in Figure 5B.



Figure 5B. Campylobacter spp. 16S reads only comparing vaccinated and unvaccinated heifers, C. fetus subsp. venerealis challenge, and oestrous vs diestrous cycle stage changes during the trial.

4.2.3 Bull study

To confirm our microbiome methods for BGC resistance/susceptibility, we decided to undertake a controlled bull vaccination challenge trial (2022) to compare with the data from the controlled heifer study (2021). The dates studied were pre-vaccination, after the first and second vaccinations, and post challenge. Within vaccinated and unvaccinated groups, young (<3 years) vs old (≥3 years) bulls were also compared. The results show that the diversity of the microbiome of unvaccinated bulls ('non-vaccinated' in Figures below) is generally higher than vaccinated. Figure 6 demonstrates the alpha diversity (Shannon index as a box and whisker plot) as a measure of the microbiome diversity in each of the groups of interest.



Figure 6. Alpha diversity (Shannon indices) of each group and timepoint of the reproductive tract microbiomes from the bull vaccine and challenge trial.

When different parameters were directly compared, younger bulls appear to have a broader range of alpha diversity measured as Shannon indices, compared with old bulls (Figure 7A). The alpha Shannon diversity of vaccinated bulls appeared to be broader than unvaccinated bulls (Figure 7B), yet pre-trial vaccination history showed similar diversity and slightly higher levels for previously vaccinated bulls (Figure 7C).





Figure 7. Shannon alpha diversity of bull microbiomes comparing A. young and old bulls, B. post Vibrovax[®] vaccination, and C. pretrial vaccination status.

The microbial profiles from the bull trial are summarised in Figure 8A. These results show the increased presence of Campylobacter species in unvaccinated bulls post challenge with C. fetus venerealis with slightly stronger presence noted in older vs younger bulls in both groups. There was a shift in prevalent species present in the bull prepuce before (high Pseudomonas content) and during vaccination to populations present post challenge in either group (vaccinated or nonvaccinated), however this may have been due to re-location of the bulls to QASP, increased stress level and change of diet. One notable species is *Histophilus* spp. which can be pathogenic. The presence of the organism H. somni in the genital tract of both male and female cattle between 6 months and 2 years of age has been associated with increased risk of abortion in the female cattle (reviewed by Parkinson 2019). Histophilus somni has been reported to cause reproductive disorders, endometritis, vulvovaginitis, cervicitis, infertility associated with embryonic death and abortion in cows, and testicular degeneration, orchitis and epididymitis in bulls (reviewed by Parkinson 2019; Headley et al., 2015). Histophilus somni is a common inhabitant of the genital tracts of healthy male and female cattle (Eaglesome and Garcia, 1992). It is not known if the increase in abundance of Histophilus spp. is associated with the shift in environment for the bulls (NT to Gatton) or if it is a host response associated with BGC vaccination and C. fetus venerealis challenge. Streptococcus spp. was not noted to be present in the top 10 species in the bulls, this species was the most highly abundant in the heifer study. In comparison to the heifer study, the diversity of phyla present was very high which is also previously highlighted in the metagenomic studies (Section 4.5.1).

Although we did not study semen samples in this study, there is published evidence that shows that differences in the bacterial microbiota of healthy bulls does occur and this might be associated with the fertility potential of the bull (Cojkic et al., 2021).

For ease of observations around Campylobacter, these were stacked separately see Figure 8B. *Campylobacter fetus* was not speciated but the presence of *C. pinnipediorum* was found to be higher in the unvaccinated bulls. However, *C. pinnediorum* is unlikely as this species is found in pinnipeds (walruses, seals) and not cattle thus *C. pinnediorum*, unassigned and uncultured Campylobacter are all collectively considered to be 'unassigned Campylobacter' using the microbiome data. This correlates with the heifer data and thus we must assume that this represents *C. fetus* in our context. Note that microbiome is only guaranteed to the genus level, species level is possible only if 6/10 Blast hits are for the same species.



Figure 8A Stacked top 10 16S microbiome populations from the bull vaccine and challenge trial. *Campylobacter* reads are shown in yellow bars.



Figure 8B. Stacked Campylobacter species. The taxonomy is assigned based on consensus among the top 10 ASVs from BLAST analysis—in the cases where there is a species defined, at least 6/10 top-matching ASVs had the same species assignment.

4.3 Investigated the host genomics associated with reproductive disease susceptibility/resistance.

Despite extensive testing, we were only able to source samples from 11 cattle from unvaccinated herds that tested positive for *C. fetus venerealis* using qPCR. Having an GEBV accuracy of 0.4 would be very useful for identifying and culling animals likely to contract *C. fetus venerealis*. Sample size calculations suggested that 3,510 samples would be required to be collected from unvaccinated herds and 1,755 animals with and without BGC (50% cases and 50% controls genotyped), see Figure 8. It is important to note that the project has faced substantial challenges in accessing bulls during the screening process. This is because our timing has coincided with the typical mating period used by managers. To overcome this obstacle and increase our access to bulls, we have tried various strategies such as advertising on the FutureBeef website and collecting samples from the abattoirs known to process bulls sourced from a large geographical region.

However, despite these efforts, we were not able to access some of the herds that had tested positive for *C. fetus venerealis* through qPCR, which has limited our sample size. The current database has an insufficient number of positive outcomes to support the development of GEBVs. Our heifer and bull studies also confirmed that *C. fetus venerealis* is cleared from reproductive tracts thus it is possible we had animals that were positive, but we missed the qPCR positivity window to identify positive cattle.



Figure 9. Number of samples to be collected and genotyped to achieve desired level of accuracy of genomic estimated breeding value for *Campylobacter fetus* subsp. *venerealis* resistance.

We examined genomic and microbiome relationship matrices to see if there were any correlation, Figure 9. This correlation was small but significant (Mantel test P<0.05), suggesting the host genome may play some role in influencing the microbial genome.





| 264 | 265 | 267 | 270 | 271 | 272 | 273 | 277 | 278 | 280 | 918 | 924 | 931 | 959 | 960 | 966 | 972 | 17003 | 17009 | 17021 | 17031 | 17033 | 17037 | 17049 | 17059 | 17065 | 17067 | 17087 |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| BX | D | D | D | BX | BX | BX | BX | D | D | D | D | D | D | D | D | D | D | D |
| preg | prep | prep | prep | Preg | Preg | Prep | Preg | Prep | Preg | Postp | Prep | Cycl | Preg | preg | prep | prep | preg | prep | cycl | prep | cycl |

Figure 10. Metagenome (A) and genomic (B) relationship matrices. Animal numbers shown on both axes showing the relationship between female cattle on properties NG036 (BX; n=17) and NG037 (D; n=11). Legend: BX= Brahman cross; D=Droughtmaster; preg=pregnant; prep=prepubertal; postp=postpartum; cycl=cycling.

4.4 Developed a validated specific molecular diagnostic test for BGC.

4.4.1. Genome sequencing, see Appendix 8.3

To accurately identify differences between the *C. fetus* subspecies for diagnostic assay development, we undertook long read sequencing to obtain single complete genomes for five *C. fetus venerealis* (A8, 924, 926, 957 and 76223) and four *C. fetus fetus* ((M20-08756/1A, M20-04752/1B, BT268/06 and BT376/03) isolates. All data has been deposited at the NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information (nih.gov)) under BioProject PRJNA675960.

The average read length, average read quality and average N50 of the nine strains sequenced with ONT were 33.52, 12.61 and 38.43 kb respectively (Table S1, Appendix 8.3). Illumina short-read sequencing yielded a total mean of 416,870 paired-end raw reads for each sample which gave a mean coverage of 64X for each bacterial isolate (Table S2, Appendix 8.3). All *C. fetus* strains were assembled into complete circular genomes. The average assembly size of *C. fetus fetus* and *C. fetus venerealis* were 1,818,690 bp and 2,136,077 bp respectively (Table 7). The QUAST quality assessment of each assembly showed that the long reads and short reads provided an average 745.73X and 64.56X respectively to the assemblies (Table S3 and S4, Appendix 8.3). The average percentage of mapped long reads and mapped short reads were 98.56% and 97.50% respectively. The complete genomes generated in this study and published genomes of *C. fetus fetus* and *C. fetus venerealis* were annotated with an average of 1,901 and 2,177 genes respectively (Table S5, Appendix 8.3).

| Sample ID | Species | Assembly size (bp) | GC content (%) | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 76223 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis | 2,105,546 | 33.47 | | |
| 924 | <i>Campylobacter fetus</i> subsp. <i>venerealis</i> bv. intermedius | 2,250,778 | 33.48 | | |
| 926 | <i>Campylobacter fetus</i> subsp. <i>venerealis</i> bv. intermedius | 2,123,600 | 33.48 | | |
| 957 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis | 2,088,026 | 33.41 | | |
| A8 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis | 2,112,436 | 33.42 | | |
| BT268/06 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus | 1,909,714 | 33.26 | | |
| BT376/03 | Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus | 1,800,589 | 33.22 | | |
| M20-08756/1A | Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus | 1,782,221 | 33.10 | | |
| M20-04752/1B | Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus | 1,782,237 | 33.10 | | |

Table 7. Details of Campylobacter fetus complete genomes assembled in this study.

Pangenome analysis revealed that there were 1,561 core genes and 1,064 accessory genes shared among the 25 *C. fetus* strains. The *C. fetus fetus* and *C. fetus venerealis* strains were separated in the hierarchical tree generated based on the presence and absence of gene orthologs (Figure 11). The pangenome analysis demonstrated gene ortholog group_129 was exclusively encoded in all the *C. fetus venerealis* genomes but not in any of the *C. fetus fetus* genomes. Gene ortholog group_129 encoded for a peptidase S24 LexA-like protein. There were three paralogs, group_17, group_650 and group_680, which also encoded for peptidase S24 LexA-like proteins and were inconsistently expressed in some of the *C. fetus fetus and C. fetus venerealis* strains (Table 8).



CFVi-GCA_011600955 (AR) CFVi-924 (AU) CFVi-GCA_011601375 (AU) CFV-957 (AU) CFVi-926 (AU) CFV-76223 (AU) CFV-A8 (AU) CFVi-GCA_001686885 (AR) CFVi-GCA_000512745 (AR) CFV-GCA 011601005 (CA) CFV-GCA_011600845 (CA) CFV-GCA_008271385 (UK) CFV-GCA_000759515 (AR) CFV-GCA_000967135 (US) CFF-M20-08756-1A (NZ) CFF-M20-04752-1B (AU) CFF-GCA_011600855 (CA) CFF-GCA_011600995 (CA) CFF-GCA 011600945 (CA) CFF-GCA_000015085 (US) CFF-GCA_900475935 (FR) CFF-GCA_007723545 (UY) CFF-GCA_000759485 (AR) CFF-BT376/03 (UK) CFF-BT268/06 (UK)

Figure 11. Expression of the pangenome, identified using Roary analysis, among the 25 *Campylobacter fetus* strains. Dark purple indicates the presence of the gene ortholog while light purple represents absence of the gene ortholog. International Organization for Standardization (ISO) country code: Canada (CA), United States (US), France (FR), Uruguay (UY), Argentina (AR), United Kingdom (UK), Australia (AU) and New Zealand (NZ).

Table 8. Presence or absence of gene families encoding for the peptidase S24 LexA-like protein among the 25 *C. fetus* strains. International Organization for Standardization (ISO) country code: Canada (CA), United States (US), France (FR), Uruguay (UY), Argentina (AR), United Kingdom (UK), Australia (AU) and New Zealand (NZ)

| Gene family | group_129 | group_17 | group_680 | group_650 |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Annotation | peptidase S24 LexA-like protein | peptidase S24 LexA-like protein | peptidase S24 LexA-like protein | peptidase S24 LexA-like protein |
| CFF-BT268/06 (UK) | No | Yes | No | No |
| CFF-BT376/03 (UK) | No | Yes | No | No |
| CFF-GCA_000015085 (US) | No | No | No | No |
| CFF-GCA_000759485 (AR) | No | Yes | No | No |
| CFF-GCA_007723545 (UY) | No | Yes | No | No |
| CFF-GCA_011600855 (CA) | No | Yes | No | No |
| CFF-GCA_011600945 (CA) | No | No | No | No |
| CFF-GCA_011600995 (CA) | No | No | No | No |
| CFF-GCA_900475935 ^T (FR) | No | No | No | No |
| CFF-M20-04752-1B (AU) | No | Yes | No | No |
| CFF-M20-08756-1A (NZ) | No | Yes | No | No |

| Gene family | group_129 | group_17 | group_680 | group_650 |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Annotation | peptidase S24 LexA-like protein | peptidase S24 LexA-like protein | peptidase S24 LexA-like protein | peptidase S24 LexA-like protein |
| CFV-76223 (AU) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| CFV-957 (AU) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| CFV-A8 (AU) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| CFV-GCA_000759515 (AR) | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| CFV-GCA_000967135 (US) | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| CFV-GCA_008271385 ^T (UK) | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| CFV-GCA_011600845 (CA) | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| CFV-GCA_011601005 (CA) | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| CFVi-924 (AU) | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| CFVi-926 (AU) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| CFVi-GCA_000512745 (AR) | Yes | No | No | No |
| CFVi-GCA_001686885 (AR) | Yes | No | No | No |
| CFVi-GCA_011600955 (AR) | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| CFVi-GCA_011601375 (AU) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

On the contrary, *C. fetus venerealis* and the bv. intermedius variant did not fall into distinct branches because none of the gene orthologs was able to differentiate *C. fetus venerealis* and *C. fetus venerealis* by. intermedius. Interestingly, the six Australian *C. fetus venerealis* strains (GCA_011601375, A8, 924, 926, 957 and 76223) formed a separated clade from the other non-Australian *C. fetus venerealis*. There were 14 gene orthologs which were identified in the Australian *C. fetus venerealis* strains but not in the non-Australian strains, of which one were present in all *C. fetus fetus* and 9 were absent in all the *C. fetus fetus* strains. On the other hand, there were 37 gene orthologs which were identified in all *C. fetus fetus* and 34 were absent in all the *C. fetus fetus* strains.

A closer look at the genomic regions encoding the *Campylobacter*-specific virulence factors demonstrated that the 25 *C. fetus* subspecies commonly expressed genomic regions encoding 88 virulence factors (Figure 12). Nine virulence factors were not expressed in some of the *C. fetus* subspecies, but not explicitly in either *C. fetus fetus* or *C. fetus venerealis*. The highest variation was observed within the S-layer proteins, which is categorised under the class of "Colonization and immune evasion" in VFDB. Nonetheless, the variations among the gene expression were not consistent within either of the subspecies or the *C. fetus venerealis* biovars.



Figure 12. Expression of *Campylobacter*-specific virulence factors among the 25 *Campylobacter fetus* strains. The colour key indicates the percentage of identity between the genomic regions identify in each isolate and the *Campylobacter*-specific virulence factors, in which yellow represents 100% identity and purple represents 0% identity. International Organization for Standardization (ISO) country code: Canada (CA), United States (US), France (FR), Uruguay (UY), Argentina (AR), United Kingdom (UK), Australia (AU) and New Zealand (NZ).

The average nucleotide identity between 25 *C. fetus* strains was more than 95%. The correlation tree based on the ANI showed that eight of the *C. fetus fetus* strains, including GCA_011600855, BT268/06, M20-04752/1B, M20-08756/1A, GCA_900475935^T, GCA_007723545, BT376/03 and GCA_00759485 formed a separate branch from the *C. fetus venerealis* strains (Figure 13). The other three *C. fetus fetus* strains (GCA_000015085, GCA_011600995, and GCA_011600945), shared the same ancestor with three *C. fetus venerealis* bv. intermedius strains (GCA_011600955, GCA_001686885 and GCA_000512745) from Argentina. The remaining *C. fetus venerealis* strains (n=11) formed a separate clade, in which the *C. fetus venerealis* including both biovars from Australia



(GCA_011601375, A8, 924, 926, 957 and 76223) clustered separately to all other *C. fetus venerealis* from around the world.

Figure 13. Correlation between the 25 *Campylobacter fetus* strains based on their average nucleotide identity. The colour key indicates the degree of correlation between the strains, in which red represents the highest correlation while the blue represents least correlation. The dendrogram demonstrates the hierarchical relationship between the 25 *Campylobacter fetus* strains based on their average nucleotide identity. International Organization for Standardization (ISO) country code: Canada (CA), United States (US), France (FR), Uruguay (UY), Argentina (AR), United Kingdom (UK), Australia (AU) and New Zealand (NZ).

Whole-genome alignment of the 25 *C. fetus* strains generated a core genome with 1,594,459 bases. The phylogenetic tree that resulted from the whole-genome alignment with recombination regions removed successfully clustered *C. fetus venerealis* into a separate branch from the *C. fetus fetus*, indicating that there were SNPs which were distinctive between *C. fetus fetus* and *C. fetus venerealis*. Nine thousand and forty-four SNPs were identified from the core genome, of which only 269 SNPs were different between all *C. fetus fetus* and all *C. fetus venerealis* strains. The SNPs which contributed to putative synonymous amino acid change, located on putative GIs and involved in recombination events were filtered out from downstream analysis. The remaining 184 SNPs were labelled as 'candidate SNPs', of which 17 were located on non-coding region and 167 were located on CDS (Table S6, Appendix 8.3). Sixteen of the CDS encoded for two candidate SNPs, one CDS encoded for three candidate SNPs and one CDS encoded for 5 candidate SNPs. In total, the 167 candidate SNPs were located on 145 CDS, of which 15 of the CDS were encoding for hypothetical proteins. The majority of the SNPs-encoding CDS were responsible for "Cellular processes and signalling" (n=45), followed by "Metabolism" (n=44) and "Information storage and processing" (n=21) (Figure 14 and Table S7, Appendix 8.3).



Figure 14. Candidate single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) categorised into Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COGs). The blue section represents coding sequences (CDS) responsible for "Cellular processes and signalling" (n=45). The orange section represents CDS responsible for "Information storage and processing" (n=21). The grey section represents CDS responsible for "Metabolism" (n=44). The yellow section represents CDS belonging to multiple groups of COGs (n=4). Light blue section represents CDS which were poorly categorised (n=21). Green section represents CDS with no match return from querying the COG database (n=10).

The potential functional association between the SNP-encoding CDS (n=41) which had more than eight degrees of association was determined. Those CDS which were annotated with KEGG pathway "Peptidoglycan biosynthesis" (Figure S1, Appendix 8.3), including *murC*, *ftsI*, *uppP* and *mraY*, and their first neighbours, were selected for further analysis (Figure 15). Amino acid changes were on *rpoC*, *cysS*, *rpoB*, *flgG*, *mfd*, *mraY* and *mutS2* were verified (Table 9).



Figure 15. STRING network of SNP-encoding CDS which had more than eight degrees of association. The thickness of the network edges indicates the confidence level of the functional interactions.

Table 9. Amino acid and DNA base changes on the candidate SNP-encoding CDS encoded on *C. fetus* subsp. *fetus* and *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis*.

| | | | Campyle fetus su | obacter bsp. fetus | Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis | |
|-------|--|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|-------------|
| Gene | Product | Туре | Amino acid | DNA base | Amino acid | DNA base |
| cysS | cysteinyl-tRNA | Missense | Cys | С | Tyr | Т |
| flgG | flagellar | Missense | Asn | A | Ser | G |
| mfd | transcription-repair | Missense | Thr | C | lle | Т |
| mraY | phospho-N- acetylmuramoyl- pentapeptidetransferase | Upstream variant | Arg | С | His | Т |
| mutS2 | Endonuclease | Missense | Ala | С | Val | Т |
| rроВ | DNA-dependent RNA polymerase | Missense | Asp | С | Asn | Т |
| rpoC | DNA-dependent RNA polymerase | Missense | Leu | G | Phe | A |

Interestingly, there were no common SNPs which could absolutely separate all the *C. fetus venerealis* and its biovar intermedius variant regardless of the country of origin. The phylogenetic tree generated from whole-genome alignment also clustered the Australian *C. fetus venerealis* in a separate branch from the *C. fetus venerealis* identified in United Kingdom, United States, Canada and Argentina (Figure 16). Both within the Australian and non-Australian *C. fetus venerealis* clades, the *C. fetus venerealis* by. intermedius were clustered separately from the normal *venerealis* variant. There were one and 7 SNPs, labelled as "biovar SNPs", which were different between *C. fetus*

venerealis and the biovar intermedius variant within the Australian and non-Australian *C. fetus* venerealis clade respectively (Table S8, Appendix 8.3).



Campylobacter fetus subsp. fetus

Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis
 Campylobacter fetus subsp. venerealis bv. intermedius

Figure 16. Phylogenetic tree based on single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) between the 25 *Campylobacter fetus* strains. Strains labelled in red are *C. fetus* subsp. *fetus*, strains labelled in blue are *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* and strains labelled in green are *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* bv. intermedius. All *C. fetus* subsp. *fetus* isolates clustered into a separate branch from all *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* and *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* bv. intermedius isolates, indicating that there were distinctive SNPs. *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* and *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* bv. intermedius isolates from Australia clustered separately from non-Australian isolates. International Organization for Standardization (ISO) country code: Canada (CA), United States (US), France (FR), Uruguay (UY), Argentina (AR), United Kingdom (UK), Australia (AU) and New Zealand (NZ).

Comparative genome alignment of the *C. fetus* strains (n=25) illustrated the high level of genome synteny between the *C. fetus* subspecies (Figure 17). Missing genomic regions were inconsistently observed among the 25 *C. fetus* strains where putative Genomic Islands (mobile elements) were predicted. Previously published PCR target genes, including *sapB2* and *parA*, were located on putative Genomic Islands.



Figure 17. Whole-genome alignment of 25 *Campylobacter fetus* subspecies. Reference: published *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* ATCC 19438^T [GCA_008271385.1]. Query were complete genome sequences of *C. fetus* subsp. *fetus* (n=11) and *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* (n=14) subspecies. Strains labelled in red are *C. fetus* subsp. *fetus*, isolated labelled in blue are *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* and strains labelled in green are *C. fetus* subsp. *venerealis* bv. intermedius. Black arcs represent the putative genomic islands. Orange arcs represent the genes which were used in PCR *C. fetus* subspecies identification. Purple lines represent candidate SNPs identified in the 25 *C. fetus* subspecies.

4.4.2 Assay 1 cpn60

Assay 1 is based on a SNP difference within the cpn60 gene of *C. fetus venerealis* and *C. fetus fetus*. As the assay has some cross-reactions with DNA from other species of bacteria present in the bull prepuce (*A. cryaerophilus, C. sputorum, C. ureolyticus, C. hyointestinalis*) the cut-off for positive Cq results was determined at Cq 34. Thus results in the Cq range 34-40 are considered 'suspect' for BGC diagnostics as this could be possible due to both low *C. fetus venerealis* concentrations and/or false positive cross-reactions and thus we list them as Negative (Table 10).

Table 10. Assay Specificity Assay 1. Results of TaqMan SNP qPCR with a mixture of *A. cryaerophilus, C. sputorum, C. ureolyticus, C. hyointestinalis* (MIX) at annealing temperature 67°C using 2 ng DNA template. FAM assay *C. fetus venerealis* (*Cfv*) probe, VIC assay *C. fetus fetus* (*Cff*) probe; NTC- No Template Control

| Samula | <i>Cfv</i> FAN | /l probe | <i>Cff</i> VIC probe | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------------------|----------|--|
| Sample | Cq Mean | Result | Cq Mean | Result | |
| Cff | 0.00 | Negative | 20.80 | Positive | |
| Cff+Bovine DNA | 0.00 | Negative | 19.66 | Positive | |
| Cfv | 19.67 | Positive | 0.00 | Negative | |
| Cfv+Bovine DNA | 19.25 | Positive | 0.00 | Negative | |
| MIX | 34.00 | Negative | 37.93 | Negative | |
| MIX+ Bovine DNA | 34.23 | Negative | 37.66 | Negative | |
| <i>Cfv+Cff</i> +MIX | 19.39 | Positive | 19.94 | Positive | |
| <i>Cfv+Cff</i> +MIX + Bovine DNA | 19.10 | Positive | 19.67 | Positive | |
| Bovine DNA | 0.00 | Negative | 0.00 | Negative | |
| NTC | 0.00 | Negative | 0.00 | Negative | |

In many TaqMan assays, Cq scores at Cq \geq 35 are often considered non-specific thus the cut-off used in our assay Cq \geq 34 is close to the normal cut-off for this type of assay. Positive Cq results were obtained up to 20 femtograms (fg) of pure DNA from both *C. fetus fetus* (ATCC19438) and *C. fetus venerealis* (ATCC27374). From sample concentrations from 2 ng to 2 pg, the Cq value was less than the considered cut-off Cq value and thus the limit of detection of this assay is 2 pg, see Figure 18.



Figure 18. Assay Sensitivity Assay 1. A. TaqMan SNP standard curve of serially diluted DNA from *Campylobacter fetus venerealis* (CFV) detected on the FAM channel. B. TaqMan SNP standard curve of serially diluted DNA from *Campylobacter fetus fetus* (CFF) detected on the VIC channel. The blue arrows show the limit of detection for both assays.

4.4.3 Assay 2 RS04330

Assay 2 was developed as an alternative to assay 1 using a more heterogeneous sequence – Hypothetical protein RS04330. The assays for each subspecies could not be multiplexed (data not shown) and thus the assays were optimised separately. The assays were performed in the presence of other bacterial DNA prepuce isolates (*A. cryaerophilus, C. sputorum, C. ureolyticus, C. hyointestinalis*) and bovine DNA contaminants (Table 9). The contaminant bacterial species were not amplified making the specificity better than Assay 1. Since there was no cross-reaction or false positives detected for Assay 2, the usual assay cut-off was set at Cq 35. Thus, any Cq results >35 were considered as negative for Assay 2, see Table 11. The Cq scores for the *C. fetus venerealis* assay was higher than for *C. fetus fetus* indicating it is a less sensitive assay. This was confirmed when using standard curves against serially diluted DNA demonstrating that 2 ng is assay limit of detection for *C. fetus venerealis* (Figure 19). The assay for *C. fetus fetus* detected 2 pg which is the same detection limit as Assay 1. This result suggests that either this gene target is not suitable for *C. fetus venerealis*

assay development or that the assay for *C. fetus veneralis* needs to be re-designed. Subsequent finalisation of genomic data (SNP analysis) indicated that the *C. fetus veneralis* SNPs which formed the basis of this assay were not specific and thus this assay was no longer applied.

Table 11. Assay Specificity Assay 2. Results of TaqMan SNP qPCR using the FAM assay for *C. fetus venerealis* and VIC assay for *C. fetus fetus* with the MIX (*A. cryaerophilus, C. sputorum, C. ureolyticus, C. hyointestinalis*) of bacteria and bovine DNA at an annealing temperature of 50°C. *C. fetus venerealis* (*Cfv*) *C. fetus fetus* (*Cff*); NTC- No Template Control

| Sample 2ng | Assay Type | Target | Cq Mean | Result |
|--|------------|--------|---------|----------|
| MIX | | | 0.00 | Negative |
| Cfv +Cff | | | 29.21 | Positive |
| MIX+ <i>Cfv</i> + <i>Cff</i> | | | 28.01 | Positive |
| Bovine DNA | | Cfv | 0.00 | Negative |
| MIX+ Bovine DNA+ <i>Cfv</i> + <i>Cff</i> | FAM Assay | | 29.84 | Positive |
| Positive Control- Cfv | | | 30.31 | Positive |
| Negative Control- Cff | | | 0.00 | Negative |
| NTC | | | 0.00 | Negative |
| MIX | | | 0.00 | Negative |
| Cfv +Cff | | | 21.46 | Positive |
| MIX+ <i>Cfv</i> + <i>Cff</i> | | | 22.49 | Positive |
| Bovine DNA | | Cff | 0.00 | Negative |
| MIX+ Bovine DNA+ <i>Cfv</i> + <i>Cff</i> | VIC Assay | Cff | 20.29 | Positive |
| Positive Control | | | 22.83 | Positive |
| Negative Control | | | 0.00 | Negative |
| NTC | | | 0.00 | Negative |



Figure 19. Assay Sensitivity Assay 2. A. TaqMan standard curve of serially diluted DNA from *Campylobacter fetus venerealis* (CFV) detected on the FAM channel. B. TaqMan standard curve of serially diluted DNA from *Campylobacter fetus fetus* (CFF) detected on the VIC channel. The blue arrows show the limit of detection for both the assays.

4.4.4 Assay 3 mraY

The mraY SNP assay has a similar sensitivity for *C. fetus venerealis* detection to the previously described cpn60 SNP assay (Figure 20). Both assays can detect *C. fetus venerealis* genetic material to 1 pg in a pure bacterial DNA sample and by testing the mraY SNP assay on mixed samples known to be positive for *C. fetus venerealis* we find that a Cq cut-off of 33 cycles for a sample to be considered positive is appropriate.



Figure 20. A standard curve for the mraY SNP assay (blue) and the CPN60 SNP assay (orange), plotting Cq value against starting quantity of CFV DNA. Data points are from 1 ng to 0.1 pg, with 1 pg indicated by an arrow. The efficiency of the qPCR is given by the R².

Where the *mra*Y assay is superior to *cpn*60 is in distinguishing between *C. fetus venerealis* and other closely related bacteria (*C. sputorum, C. ureolyticus, C. hyointestinalis and A. cryoaerophilus*). Figure 21 shows the discrimination between these samples using a dotplot based on the RFU in the VIC and FAM channel for both assays. The cpn60 assay incorrectly identified the *A. cryoaerophilus* sample and provides less 'distance' in RFU between *C. fetus fetus* and *C. fetus venerealis* control samples. Thus, the specificity of the mraY SNP assay will lead to less false positives.



Figure 21. Allelic discrimination plots for cpn60 (left) and mraY (right) Taqman SNP assays using Relative Fluorescent Units for VIC and FAM. Blue boxes – *C. fetus venerealis* positive samples, Orange circles – *C. fetus fetus* positive samples, Black diamonds – other *Campylobacter* species (*C. sputorum, C. ureolyticus* and *C. hyointestinalis*), green triangle – *A. cryoaerophilus*. The mraY PCR shows superior separation between sample groups. Arrows indicate where the cpn60 assay fails to discriminate well between *C. fetus venerealis* and closely related species. *A. cryoaerophilus* was mistakenly classified as heterozygote.

4.4.5 Other methods

4.4.5.1 ddPCR

Assay 1 was also run using Digital PCR (dPCR) at 56°C (4°C less than the recommended temperature for dPCR, 60°C) using 4 pg DNA (note limit of qPCR above is 2pg). Both the FAM and VIC probe assays tested negative for the mix of non-*C. fetus* bacterial isolates and the negative control but produced very low positives with our positive controls (data not shown). This could be due to the use of the lower than recommended annealing temperature (56°C instead of 60°C). During this demonstration, an opportunity to repeat this assay at a higher annealing temperature was not available.

The two assays within Assay 2 (*C. fetus venerealis* FAM assay and *C. fetus fetus* VIC assay) were also tested in the dPCR machine (UQ demonstration by QIAGEN in September 2021) at an annealing temperature of 50°C and 56°C (lower than the recommended temperature 60°C). For the FAM assay, 2 ng and 200 pg of *C. fetus venerealis* DNA were strongly positive and 2 ng of *C. fetus fetus* DNA produced low positive values which could have been due to the low annealing temperature (Table 12). The VIC assay for *C. fetus fetus* DNA was specific under these conditions (Table 12) but it was decided to re-run the assays using 4 pg DNA at an increased annealing temperature of 56°C.

Table 12. Assay 2 Specificity and Sensitivity using dPCR. Results of FAM *C. fetus venerealis* TaqMan dPCR using DNA titrations and the VIC *C. fetus fetus* TaqMan dPCR at 2ng DNA at an annealing temperature of 50°C. MIX (*A. cryaerophilus, C. sputorum, C. ureolyticus, C. hyointestinalis*) of bacteria, *C. fetus venerealis* (*Cfv*) *C. fetus fetus* (*Cff*); NTC- No Template Control

| Sample | Assay probe | Target | Concentration (copies/µL) | Positives | Negatives | Result |
|---------------------|----------------|--------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Cfv 2ng | | | 12106.1 | 25462 | 1 | Positive |
| <i>Cfv</i> 200pg | FAM | | 2002.7 | 20217 | 5244 | Positive |
| Cfv 20pg | | Cfv | 254.4 | 4594 | 20857 | Negative |
| Cfv 2pg | | | 22.7 | 435 | 24420 | Negative |
| <i>Cff</i> 2ng | | | 45.8 | 893 | 24463 | Negative |
| MIX 2ng | | | 3 | 57 | 24689 | Negative |
| Cfv +Cff+MIX 2ng | | | 5895.1 | 25196 | 270 | Positive |
| NTC | | | 0 | 0 | 25467 | Negative |
| Cff 2ng | | | 4715.7 | 22590 | 672 | Positive |
| MIX 2ng | | | 0 | 0 | 25468 | Negative |
| Cfv +Cff+MIX 2ng | VIC | Cff | 7881.4 | 25398 | 70 | Positive |
| Cfv 2ng | | | 0 | 0 | 25450 | Negative |
| NTC | | | 0 | 0 | 25475 | Negative |

The assays were repeated at an annealing temperature 56°C using 4pg of each DNA sample including the bacterial contaminants MIX (*A. cryaerophilus, C. sputorum, C. ureolyticus, C. hyointestinalis*), see Table 13. The annealing temperature was not increased to 60°C as our qPCR work suggested that this temperature compromised the sensitivity of the *C. fetus venerealis* assay (Table 11, Figure 19). Under these conditions, low positivity was detected for both *C. fetus* subspecies with demonstrated specificity using 4 pg DNA. Further opportunities to optimise this assay on the dPCR equipment were not available.

Table 13. Results of FAM *C. fetus venerealis* and VIC *C. fetus fetus* TaqMan dPCR Assay 2 using 4pg/ul sample at an annealing temperature of 56°C. MIX (*A. cryaerophilus, C. sputorum, C. ureolyticus, C. hyointestinalis*) of bacteria, *C. fetus venerealis* (*Cfv*) *C. fetus fetus* (*Cff*); NTC- No Template Control

| Sample 4pg | Assay Type | Target | Concentration (copies/µL) | Positives | Negatives | Result |
|------------------------------|---------------|--------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Cfv | | Cfv | 24.8 | 243 | 11829 | Negative |
| Cff | FAM Assay | | 0 | 0 | 13652 | Negative |
| Cfv +Cff | | | 16.2 | 335 | 25040 | Negative |
| MIX | | | 0.049 | 1 | 24740 | Negative |
| <i>Cfv</i> + <i>Cff</i> +MIX | | | 10.1 | 207 | 24932 | Negative |
| NTC | | | 0.049 | 1 | 24711 | Negative |
| Cfv | | | 0.096 | 2 | 25456 | Negative |
| Cff | | | 38.8 | 798 | 24671 | Negative |
| Cfv +Cff | VIC | Cff | 17.8 | 369 | 25062 | Negative |
| MIX | Assay | Cff | 0 | 0 | 25464 | Negative |
| <i>Cfv</i> + <i>Cff</i> +MIX | | | 8.9 | 185 | 25264 | Negative |
| NTC | | | 0 | 0 | 25452 | Negative |

An attempt to multiplex Assay 2 using both sets of primers and probes for *C. fetus venerealis* and *C. fetus fetus* was also unsuccessful using the dPCR technology (data not shown). The MraY assay was not tested using dPCR and it is possible it would have performed better due to its improved specificity over the earlier 2 assays.

4.4.5.2 Long read sequencing

We have confirmed that the sequencing enrichment method is successful in identifying *C. fetus venerealis* in samples down to 4 pg of DNA, which makes it comparable to qPCR in terms of sensitivity (Figure 22). Enrichment for the full Campylobacter genome rather than regions containing SNPs only was a more successful strategy. Figure 22 shows the abundance of *C. fetus venerealis* and *C. fetus fetus* genetic material in the serially diluted samples. While there is some cross identification by the Kraken2 software (*C. fetus venerealis* assigned as *C. fetus fetus* and vice versa) overall the technique successfully identified *C. fetus venerealis* DNA in mixed bovine samples with high sensitivity. In addition, our results show that enriching for specific SNPs within regions of interest during sequencing produces more *C. fetus venerealis* reads and reduces the abundance of unclassified reads (reads which are not assigned to *C. fetus venerealis* or any other bacteria species) in spiked samples compared to enrichment with whole Campylobacter genomes (data not shown).



Figure 22. Abundance of *C. fetus venerealis* in spiked samples with serial
dilutions from 4 ng to 40 fg with a
negative mixed sample (pure211).
Samples were multiplexed on a single
flow cell for sequencing and enriched for
Campylobacter genetic material.

4.4.6 Field evaluations of assays

4.4.6.1 AaCo samples qPCR.

DNA from AaCo herds were extracted by BSL staff at QDAF and then couriered to our laboratories at UQ St Lucia to undertake *C. fetus* qPCRs. AaCo samples (n=430) were initially screened using the cpn60 qPCR (assay 1) and then (n=170) using the mraY qPCR (assay 3). Using the cpn60 assay we identified approximately 5 positive (\leq 32) and 36 'suspect' positives (Cq means 33-36). When using the mraY assay none of the AaCo samples were positive.

DNA obtained from QDAF BSL had lower concentrations (mean 64.2 ng/ μ l) compared to our DNA extractions (122.6 ng/ μ l), data not shown. The DNA from BSL also had a higher content of host DNA diluting the microbial content of their extracts. We include a host cell centrifugation step to try to remove some of the non-microbial content of the sample before total DNA extraction from mucus samples. This could explain why the sensitivity of our assay was insufficient to detect *C. fetus* qPCR positive samples in many of the BSL prepared DNA extracts.

| Sample | | cpn60 | | r | nraY qPCR | |
|----------|-----------------------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| herd IDs | Pos/no. of samples | Positive | Suspect positive | Pos/no. of samples | Positive | Suspect positive |
| 03920 | 25/110 | 4 | 21 | *0/25 | 0 | 0 |
| 03782 | 5/60 | 0 | 5 | - | - | - |
| 03952 | 1/35 | 0 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 04177 | 8/39 | 0 | 8 | - | - | - |
| 04269 | 1/26 | 0 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 060722 | 1/199 | 1 | 0 | - | - | - |
| 01965 | - | - | - | 0/49 | 0 | 0 |
| 02334 | - | - | - | 0/25 | 0 | 0 |
| 02702 | - | - | - | 0/30 | 0 | 0 |
| 02826 | - | - | - | 0/26 | 0 | 0 |
| 03131 | | | | 0/40 | 0 | 0 |

| Table 14. | aPCR r | esult | summarv | of AaCo | herd | samples |
|-----------|---------|-------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| | yı ch i | Count | Summary | | , ncru | Jumpics |

Legend: Positive=Cq average ≤32; Suspect positive=Cq average 33-<36; -=not done; *the 25 cpn60 positive samples from Herd03920 were re-tested in the mraY qPCR assay

4.4.6.2 Roma samples qPCR

The Roma samples are summarised in Appendix 8.2 showing that for 95 samples screened using the cpn60 qPCR: 2 were positive, 8 were suspect and 85 were negative with 9 of these with a history of abortion losses.

4.4.6.3 Jindowae samples qPCR

Two samples from the Jindowae herd (n=38) were positive (Cq 29 and 27) in the mraY qPCR.

4.4.6.4 Abattoir samples qPCR

Nine abattoir samples were collected for qPCR and for serum proteomics to evaluate for the presence of biomarkers. One of the abattoir samples was qPCR positive in the mraY assay at Cq average of 25.62, the same sample was negative in the cpn60 qPCR.

4.4.6.5 Serum proteomics – Abattoir and Jindowae samples

Corresponding sera from one qPCR positive from the abattoir and the two qPCR positive from our heifer trial were subjected to serum proteomics. These were compared to three qPCR negative cattle as negative controls. Raw proteomics data is presented in Appendix 8.10. The results confirmed higher abundances of Vitamin D binding protein and Haptoglobin in the sera of the qPCR positive bulls. Three qPCR negative bulls did not have these proteins indicating that the correlation of these biomarkers to the presence of *C. fetus venerealis*. There are commercial ELISA kits for bovine Vitamin D binding protein (Millenium Science) and Haptoglobin detection (Abcam) which could be exploited to screen sera as a biomarker assay for BGC.

4.4.6.6 Comparison of qPCR with ONT methods (genomic and metagenomic analyses)

Metagenomics analysis enables the filtering of data to examine particular species. Figure 23 demonstrates the metagenomic detection of *C. fetus venerealis* in animal 2013# of herd NG034 which returned a 'suspect' qPCR result (Appendix 8.2). The diversity and abundance of Campylobacter species is higher in animal #2013 than in the individuals negative for *C. fetus*



Figure 23. A comparison of the number of Campylobacter reads found in four individuals from herd NG034. Animal #2013 returned a 'suspect' PCR result by PCR but confirmed positive by metagenomic sequencing. *C. fetus venerealis* reads are indicated by a red arrow.

We expanded this metagenomic analysis to examine diagnostic samples submitted within this project (summarised in Table 15). Figure 24 shows the genera present in the reproductive tracts of animals which were positive or negative for *C. fetus venerealis* using qPCR and enrichment sequencing. Interestingly, bacteria of the genus *Histophilus* are present in all *C. fetus venerealis*

positive animals (except for ROMA3) which supports the data from our study on the bull metagenome (Section 4.2.3).

Roma samples 62-70 did not contain detectable *C. fetus venerealis* through either qPCR or enrichment sequencing however the metagenome has a higher content of *Escherichia* compared to the other samples in Figure 24. Roma 62-70 cows all had history of abortion (Appendix 8.2) and although not commonly reported, *E. coli* can cause abortion in cattle (Kirkbride 1993) but is considered a 'sporadic or opportunistic' as abortive pathogen (Henker et al., 2022).



Figure 24. Metagenomics analysis of diagnostic samples described in Table 15. Legend: ‡ indicates that the sample is positive in long read enrichment sequencing and not qPCR; + indicates that the sample is positive in qPCR and enrichment sequencing; - indicates that the sample negative by qPCR and enrichment.

ONT sequencing using enrichment for the *Campylobacter* genome demonstrated a higher sensitivity when using field samples compared to qPCR (Table 15). Note that two very low positives with only one *C. fetus venerealis* read through enrichment sequencing were summarised as 'inconclusive' in Table 15 were entered as 'negative' in Figure 24. This analysis reveals that while the qPCR assays are not identifying false positives in the samples (i.e. all samples identified as *C. fetus venerealis* positive by qPCR have *C. fetus* reads in the metagenome), these assays can give false negatives. Thus, enrichment sequencing offers several advantages. First, 'suspect' samples can be classified with confidence due to sequence identity, this is of particular use where herds have reproductive issues, but the qPCR results are not definitive. Second, the portable nature of the sequencing means there is potential to undertake diagnosis yard-side in the future. The disadvantage of the method remains cost, but with new methods of multiplexing this will likely be reduced in the future.

| Sample | mraY (CFV) | CPN60 (CFV) | Sequencing result | Number of CFV reads | Total reads | Notes |
|-------------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------|---|
| ATCC- 19438 | Positive | Positive | Not run (NR) | NR | NR | C. fetus venerealis control |
| ATCC- 27374 | Negative | Negative | Not run (NR) | NR | NR | <i>C. fetus fetus</i> control |
| NG034-210 | Negative | Negative | Negative | 0 | Check | |
| NG034-211 | Negative | Negative | Negative | 0 | Check | |
| NG034-213 | Positive | Positive | Positive (low) | 2 | 1.19M | |
| NG034-214 | Negative | Negative | Negative | 0 | 0.49M | |
| 214 spiked | Positive | Positive | Positive | 9 | 0.65M | |
| KM.9 | Positive | Positive | Positive | 385 | 4.7M | |
| 3777- 06/09/22 | Not done | Negative | Inconclusive | 1 | 0.66M | Young unvaccinated challenged 30/08/22 |
| 3780- 01/09/22 | Not done | Positive | Positive | 3 | 0.21M | Young unvaccinated challenged 30/08/22 |
| 3784- 01/09/22 | Not done | Negative | Positive | 50 | 0.44M | Old unvaccinated challenged 30/08/22 |
| 3785- 01/09/22 | Not done | Negative | Negative | 0 | 0.06M | Young vaccinated challenged 30/08/22 |
| 2021_81 | Positive | Not done | Positive | 4 | 5.26M | Reproductive issues |
| 2022_263 | Negative | Not done | Positive | 9 | 0.69M | Reproductive issues |
| 2022_139 | Negative | Not done | Positive | 43 | 1.15M | Reproductive issues |
| 2022_149 | Negative | Not done | Negative | 0 | 2.44M | Reproductive issues |
| ROMA3 | Not done | Positive | Positive | 83 | 1.32M | |
| ROMA4 | Not done | Negative | Negative | 0 | 1.46M | |
| ROMA8 | Not done | Negative | Negative | 0 | 1.49M | |
| ROMA13 | Not done | Negative | Negative | 0 | 2.05M | |
| ROMA17 | Not done | Negative | Negative | 0 | 0.78M | |
| ROMA62 | Not done | Negative | Inconclusive | 1 | 1.2M | Abortion |
| ROMA64 | Not done | Negative | Negative | 0 | 0.63M | Abortion |
| ROMA65 | Not done | Negative | Negative | 0 | 2.32M | Abortion |
| ROMA69 | Not done | Negative | Negative | 0 | 5.05M | Abortion |
| ROMA70 | Not done | Negative | Negative | 0 | 0.78M | Abortion |

Table 15. Comparison of qPCR methods with long read genomic sequencing data.

4.5 Determined whether the genital microbiome is associated with increased susceptibility to BGC

4.5.1 Metagenome analysis of herds NG036 and NG037

In total, ONT adaptive sampling yielded an average of 12.67 Gb and 6,299,065 Kbp of raw data per sample. After host contamination removal and quality filtering, there was an average of 417, 843 Kbp of data, in each of the bovine metagenome samples. This data has now been published (Ong et al., 2022c, Appendix 8.7). NG036 consisted of Brahman crosses while NG037 were Droughtmaster cattle (Appendix 8.1).

While alpha diversity is a measure of microbiome diversity applicable to a single sample, beta diversity is a measure of similarity or dissimilarity of two communities. As for alpha diversity, many indices exist each reflecting different aspects of community heterogeneity. The alpha diversity, represented by Shannon index, indicated that the bovine reproductive metagenomes from different representative groups in NG036 had similar alpha diversities, regardless of gender and reproductive stages (Anova, P=0.129) (Figure 25). On the other hand, the bovine reproductive metagenomes posed significantly different (Anova, $P=2.0\times10^{-3}$) diversity in herd NG037. Particularly, the reproductive metagenome of postpartum animals had significantly lower diversity than prepubertal, cycling and pregnant animals (T-test, $P=4.43\times10^{-4}$, 1.64×10^{-3} and 1.0×10^{-2} respectively). In general, the bovine reproductive metagenomes in herd NG037 were significantly more diverse (T-test, $P=7.12\times10^{-4}$) than those in herd NG036.



Figure 25. (A) Alpha diversity and (B) beta diversity of the reproductive tract metagenomes collected from NG036 (Station A=red) and NG037 (Station B=blue). The shapes represent animals of different genders or reproductive status: circle represents male, triangle represents prepubertal animals, square represents cycling animals, cross represents pregnant animals and square cross represents postpartum animals.

The beta diversity represented by principal coordinate analysis ordination (PCoA) of the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix demonstrated that there were significant dissimilarities (PERMANOVA, $P=9\times10^{-4}$) between the bovine reproductive tract metagenomes collected from NG036 and NG037 (Figure 25). Within NG036, the dissimilarity between bovine male and female reproductive tract metagenomes was also significant (PERMANOVA, $P=2\times10^{-3}$). Nonetheless, in either of the stations, the bovine female vaginal metagenomes were not significantly different between different reproductive stages (Permutation test, P > 0.05).

Figure 26 depicts the abundant phyla, including those with more than 5% abundances in the bovine reproductive tract metagenome. *Ascomycota, Firmicutes* and *Proteobacteria* each constituted more than 20% of the bovine reproductive tract metagenome, regardless of gender or reproductive stage. *Bacteroidetes* was only abundant in the bovine vaginal metagenomes collected from NG037 while phyla *Fusobacteria* was only abundant in the postpartum bovine vaginal metagenome also collected from NG037. *Actinobacteria* and *Tenericutes* were identified in all samples, however their



abundances were not consistently higher than 5% at different stations, gender and reproductive stages.

Figure 26. Phyla with more than 10% of abundance in the bovine reproductive metagenomes collected from NG036 (Station A) and NG037 (Station B). Empty panels indicate no animal was sampled in that category and empty columns indicate phyla less than 10%.

At the species level, all the top abundant species were bacteria (Figure 27, see also Table 16). In NG036, *Clostridium botulinum* (ubiquitous in the environment) was commonly abundant regardless of gender and reproductive status. *Escherichia coli* (natural colonizer of urogenital mucosa, can cause abortion) was only commonly abundant in the female reproductive metagenomes, while *Histophilus somni* (regarded as a secondary respiratory tract pathogen but a potential cause of abortion) and *Mycoplasmopsis californica* (can cause mastitis) were the abundant species in the preputial samples only. *Staphylococcus agnetis* (can cause mastitis) was abundant in pregnant animals but not in prepubertal and postpartum animals. Similarly, *E. coli* was commonly abundant in all female reproductive metagenomes in herd NG037. Except for pregnant animals, *C. botulinum* was the common abundant species in the vagina metagenomes collected from NG037. The pregnant animals in NG037 had more than 10% of *Acinetobacter ursingii* (opportunistic pathogen) and *Microbacterium sp.* CBA3102 (fermentative species). *Bacteroides fragilis* (gastrointestinal microbiota) was the most abundant species in the postpartum vaginal metagenomes collected from NG037.



Figure 27. Species with more than 10% of abundance in the bovine reproductive metagenomes collected from NG036 (Station A) and NG037 (Station B). Empty panels indicate no animal was sampled in that category and empty columns indicate species less than 10%.

We compared and identified the species which had significantly different abundances between NG036 and NG037 (Figure 28A) and between the two genders in NG036 (Figure 28B). The species which were more significantly abundant in NG036 were *C. botulinum* (Wald test, $P=2.57\times10^{-3}$), *Pycularia pennisetigena* (Wald test, $P=2.48\times10^{-2}$) (plant fungal pathogen) and *Talaromyces rugulosus* (Wald test, $P=4.83\times10^{-2}$) (mycoparasitic fungus), while the species which was more significantly abundant in NG037 was *Microbacterium sp.* CBA3102 (Wald test, $P=8\times10^{-3}$). Within NG036, metagenome samples collected from bull prepuce had a significantly higher abundance of *Histophilus somni* (Wald test, $P=4.21\times10^{-7}$) and *Aerococcus urinaehominis* (Wald test, $P=8.36\times10^{-3}$) (novel bacterial species isolated from urine of mammals). The female vaginal metagenomes had significantly higher abundances of *Escherichia coli* (Wald test, $P=1.47\times10^{-2}$) than the bull preputial samples. Results are summarised in Table 16.



Figure 28. Microbes log₂ fold change comparing (A) Station A (NG036) against Station B (NG037) and (B) male against female in Station A (NG036).

In the multidimensional scaling (MDS) analysis, which depicted the dissimilarity based on the leading log₂ fold change of the functional annotations, it was observed that most of the bovine vaginal metagenomes collected from NG037 were clustered together. Similarly, the MDS analysis demonstrated that there were higher dissimilarities between bovine reproductive tract metagenomes collected from different genders.

Table 16 summarises the most abundant bacterial species identified in this analysis. Specific mining of the metagenomic data was undertaken to identify other species which were abundant in the rDNA amplicon study (see Section 4.5.2). Herds NG036 and NG037 had low abundance of *Ureaplasma diversum* ranging from 0.01-1.4 abundance while it was relatively more abundant in herd NG034 (Table 16). Also, comparatively, *Mycoplasma bovigenitalium* was not detected in herds NG036 or NG037, however several other Mycoplasma species were lowly abundant (<2.5) including *M. mycoides, M. hyopneumoniae, M. capricolum, M. conjunctivae, M. dispar, M. iowae, M. canadense* (high in NG034, Table 17).

The main observations indicate that the dominant female microbiome content is related to their nutritional environment and do not seem correlate with the metagenomes of the male reproductive tracts. It is known that nutrition has the highest impact on health of cows in northern Australian properties and diet or the environment seems also be reflected by their metagenome content.

| Species | Source | Significance | Reference |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|
| Escherichia coli | Female cattle NG036 & NG037 | Gut/faecal/urogenital mucosa; can cause abortion | Torres Luque et al., 2017 |
| Mycoplasma californicum | Bull prepuce, NG036 only | Mastitis | Mackie et al., 1986 |
| Histophilus somni | Bull prepuce, NG036 only | Abortion, usually BRD | O'Toole and Sondgeroth, 2015 |
| Staphylococcus agnetis | Pregnant females NG036 & NG037 | Mastitis | Taponen et al., 2012 |
| Clostridium botulinum | Non-pregnant females NG036 & NG037 | Environment/gastrointestinal; not previously identified in bovine reproductive tracts | Kruger et al., 2014 |
| Acinetobacter ursingii | Pregnant females, NG037 only | Opportunistic human pathogen, not previously identified in cattle | Chiu et al., 2015 |
| <i>Microbacterium sp.</i> CBA3102 | Pregnant females, NG037 only | Gastrointestinal/fermentative | |
| Bacteroides fragilis | Postpartum females, NG037 only | Gastrointestinal/rare cause of abortion in cattle | Kraipowich et al., 2000 |

Table 16. The most abundant bacterial species from metagenomics analyses of cattle from herdsNG036 and NG037 at a 10% abundance cut off.

4.5.2 Microbiome analysis of herd NG034

A herd with known cases of BGC was analysed through an external provider to attempt to see if this service could be used for on-going microbiome analyses. No bull samples were available from NG034 at the time of sample collection and only one female sampled was pregnant. *Campylobacter fetus venerealis* had previously been detected using qPCR from two cows in this herd. Higher alpha diversity was observed in cycling cows compared to prepubertal (Figure 29A) which was also found in herd NG036 above using metagenomics (Figure 25A). Similarly for beta diversity for NG036 and NG037 above (Figure 25B), there was no significant differences between prepubertal or cycling stages in herd NG034 (Figure 29B).





Figure 29. A) Alpha diversity and, B) Beta diversity (red=cycling, blue=pregnant, orange=prepubertal) of female reproductive tract microbiomes collected from herd NG034.

Figure 30 depicts the most abundant phyla with an abundance of *Proteobacteria* in all reproductive stages demonstrated over *Firmicutes* and *Tenericutes* which were also relatively abundant in the metagenomics analyses above. Note in the adaptive metagenomics above a cut off of 10% was used, however using amplicon microbiome analyses here the cut off is 2%.





Figure 31 demonstrates the most abundant bacterial species in herd NG034. An unknown Ochrobactrum spp. and unknown Histophilus spp. contributed to the majority of all sequenced reads in each sample. To identify higher diversity in the microbiome data as within the metagenomics data, lower abundances were explored to examine the bacterial species present, see Figure 32. Ochrobactrum and Histophilus species were the most abundant including several uncultured or unclassified species. Table 17 lists the most abundant species after removing uncultured and unclassified species. With such low profiles compared to the metagenomic analyses in 4.5.1, it is difficult to compare this data. Histophilus species could be similarly aligned with Histophilus somni (abundant in metagenomics) and possibly Escherchia coli (see Table 16 above) as 'Escherichia-Shigella' was identified below (Figure 32). Due to the differences in this type of analysis the depth is poorer. Two potential pathogens were identified which have been reported to cause granular vulvovaginitis and abortion in cattle, Mycoplasma bovigenitalium and Ureaplasma diversum (Macedo et al., 2018), Table 17. Comparatively, the metagenomic analysis of herds NG036 and NG037 demonstrated low abundance of Ureaplasma diversum and no Mycoplasma bovigenitalium however a large array of Mycoplasma spp. were also lowly abundant (not shown). The impact of the presence of potential pathogens at low to high levels in metagenomes and/or microbiome data is currently unknown. The high abundance of Ochrobactrum in NG034 (Figure 32) may be environmental as this species is commonly found in a wide variety of environments including water, soil, plants and animals (reviewed by Ryan and Pembroke, 2020). Ochrobactrum spp. are considered to be of low virulence and capable of causing infections in immunocompetent human hosts (Ryan and Pembroke, 2020) with no reports associated with bovine reproductive health reported.


Figure 31. Species with more than 2% abundance in the bovine female microbiomes from herd NG034.



Figure 32. Most abundant bacterial species showing relative frequency percentages of the vaginal microbiome from each cow (x axis) from herd NG034 including 'uncultured' and 'unclassified' species. The list of bacteria on the right from highest abundance down to lowest (top to bottom) showing all levels of phyla to species level where relevant for each species. The top 5 abundant populations have been added in the text box in larger font.

| No. | Species | Percent | Significance/Source | Reference |
|-----|---|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | | abundance | | |
| 1 | Microbacterium oxydans | 1.76% | Environmental | Kim et al., 2013 |
| 2 | Mycoplasma canadense | 0.553% | Mastitis | Tamiozzo et |
| | | | | al., 2014 |
| 3 | Corynebacterium diphtheriae | 0.240% | Mastitis and skin | |
| | | | infections | |
| 4 | Ureaplasma diversum | 0.177% | Infertility and | Macedo et al., |
| | | | abortion | 2018 |
| 5 | Mycoplasma bovigenitalium | 0.0212% | Infertility and | Macedo et al., |
| | | | abortion | 2018 |
| 6 | Fusobacterium massiliense | 0.0200% | Putative oral/gut | Mailhe et al., |
| | | | pathogen | 2016 |
| 7 | Rhodococcus sp. 2G | 0.0162% | Environmental | Zhao et al., |
| | | | | 2019 |
| 8 | Micrococcus sp. G2DM-40 | 0.0143% | Environmental | |
| 9 | Porphyromonadaceae bacterium FC4 | 0.0128% | Human urine | |
| 10 | Porphyromonas sp. canine oral taxon 361 | 0.0114% | Unknown | |

Table 17. The most abundant species and their mean abundances from microbiome analyses ofNG034 cows without including the 'uncultured' and 'unclassified' species from Figure 32.

4.5.3 Mining the microbiomes for Campylobacter sequences

The relative abundancies of Campylobacter species in the metagenomics data were specifically compared using the same groups of bulls and cows described above for NG036 and NG037 (Section 4.5.1), See Figure 33. In the bulls (NG036), the abundancies of different species inverted between 2018 and 2021 from high to low and from low to high. Notably, C. fetus abundance increased, note that the bulls from both herds had VibroVax® vaccination histories. When the female cattle are cycling they appeared to have high abundancies of many species of *Campylobacter*. However, if they were prepubertal they had only a limited number of Campylobacter species. The mature but not pregnant (Cycling) females appeared to have the greatest diversity with respect to Campylobacter for both the Droughtmaster (NG037) and Composite breed females (NG036). This could reflect variation in cycle stage but could also be influenced by other factors including potential dysbiosis in the vagina, due to disease or recent mating, affecting the Campylobacter composition. In comparison, the pregnant animals, which are hormonally more stable due to the presence of high levels of progesterone from the *corpus luteum* of pregnancy, had a different composition and less diversity. The postpartum group also showed less variation, which could be attributed to postpartum anoestrus; hence cycling and mating are not occurring. Most of the detected Campylobacter species are present in the gastrointestinal tracts of cattle. Some gastrointestinal Campylobacter spp. can cause enteritis and abortion, including C. jejuni, C. fetus fetus, C. hyointestinalis subsp hyointestinalis, and C. sputorum (abortions in sheep). Notably, C. fetus has a high presence overall in the younger Droughtmaster heifers, which could affect fertility in some herds (Table 3) and is not listed as abundant in the older female composite and Droughtmaster cows (both pregnant and postpartum). It can be suggested from this that the cycling heifers recovered from the initial vaginal infection.



0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00

Figure 33. Comparison of the relative abundances of Genus *Campylobacter* between (A) preputial samples collected from Composite breed bulls in 2018 and 2021 (NG036); (B) Vaginal samples collected from Composite breed cows (NG036) which were mature but not pregnant (Cycling), pregnant and postpartum during sampling and (C) Vaginal samples collected from Droughtmaster breed cows (NG037) which were prepubertal, mature but not pregnant (Cycling), pregnant and postpartum during sampling. *Campylobacter fetus* highlighted in rectangles.

For NG034 analysed using 16S amplicons (not deep metagenomic sequencing applied to NG036 and NG037 herds above), the most abundant *Campylobacter* species was an unclassified group (mean abundance of 0.0329%) followed by *C. corcagiensis* (0.00136%). *Campylobacter fetus* was present with a mean abundance of 0.000196% found in only 2 out of the 20 female cattle. Reads are assigned to this species, but it is among the rare species and therefore it was not listed in the barplot above (Figure 32) and would not appear in a barplot for the top 10 species with a mean abundance of at least 0.0114% (Table 17). Alternatively, Table 15 above demonstrates long read detection of *C. fetus venerealis* in one of NG034 cattle which may have been also detected if metagenomics was applied instead of the 16S microbiome here. Section 4.5.1 demonstrated a much deeper analysis of the metagenomes in regard to *Campylobacter* species within Herd NG037 showing presence of *C. fetus* specifically. Notably NG037 and NG034 may have had BGC outbreaks unlike NG036 which had good pregnancy rates. This suggests that low levels of potential pathogens in metagenomic/microbiome studies may be significant.

4.6 Determined whether BGC immune biomarkers (post infection or vaccination) can be identified for assay development

4.6.1. Metagenomic biomarkers

Metagenomic analyses of pooled samples from the bull study was undertaken to identify biomarkers associated with vaccination and infection. When investigating *Campylobacter* species fragments there is a marked rise after *C. fetus venerealis* challenge with the highest level 1 day post re-challenge (Figure 34A). The analysis at *C. fetus* species level is most informative for comparing vaccinated and unvaccinated bulls (Figure 34B). Interestingly, the vaccine does not have the expected effect, with vaccinated animals consistently having higher levels of *C. fetus* across all samples, a trend replicated in the genus level analysis. Young animals have markedly less *C. fetus* fragments than old animals, indicating faster clearing of infection. This indicates that old bulls are at risk of *C. fetus venerealis* transmission whether vaccinated or not. Note the samples used here were pools and thus it is uncertain if different groups had outliers that may be influencing these outputs. There was not enough remaining DNA from the samples to undertake average analyses from individual samples.





Figure 34. Comparison of *Campylobacter* fragments in vaccinated (blue) and unvaccinated (orange) bulls at each challenge point from the bull study, assigned to the **A**. genus (Campylobacter) level, **B**. species level (*C. fetus*). Y=young, O=old

There is no clear difference in the genera present between vaccinated and unvaccinated bulls, indicating that vaccination does not affect the microbiome (Figure 35). However, there is a shift in the genera composition following live challenge in all bulls. Of note is the reduction in *Pseudomonas* in post challenge groups and the increase in *Histophilus*. Note that samples at 14 weeks post challenge 1 and 2 days post re-challenge had higher proportions of unclassified sequences, resulting in the low totals in these groups (Figure 35).

The metagenome data was also presented as phyla (Figure 36) to compare the data to the bull microbiome data (Figure 8). *Proteobacteria* were the most abundant, followed by *Actinobacteria*, and similar levels of *Fusobacteria*, *Firmicutes* (both detected in the microbiome) and *Bacteriodetes* (not detected in the microbiome Figure 8). There was a spike of *Cyanobacteria* in sample 4 of the naïve cattle not detected in the microbiome data.



Figure 35. Genera present in each pooled sample set from the bull study with more than 5% of fragments in at least one sample. Legend: Unvaccinated and vaccinated abbreviated to 'unvac' and 'vac' respectively; 1w_post= 1 week post challenge; 7w_post= 7 weeks post challenge; 14w_post=14 weeks post challenge; 2d_rechallenge=2 days after re-challenge.



Figure 36. Phyla present in the metagenome data from the bull study to enable comparison to microbiome data from the same trial (Figure 8). Legend: U=unvaccinated; V=vaccinated; Y=young <3; O=old \geq 3

Figure 37 shows a matrix of the genera found in the bull metagenomic data using Manhattan distance showing clustering mainly at each time point. The 'naïve' group forms a distinct clade in the matrix, separate to all vaccinated and post-challenge groups. This is marked by the higher levels of *Stutzerimonas* and *Pseudomonas* in these naïve groups compared to other timepoints. Interestingly, the groups from 7 days post *C. fetus venerealis* challenge and 2 days post re-challenge cluster in two closely related clades. Again, clustering by vaccination status is not observed and live challenge influences the microbiome as described above (Figure 35). Group 09 (unvaccinated, old) and Group 14 (unvaccinated, young) are outliers to the clustering patterns observed (Figure 37).



VY UY UO VO UY VY UO VO UY VO VY UY VO UO VY UY UO UO VO VY VY UO UY

Figure 37. Matrix of genera clustered by group using Manhattan distance in bulls. Legend: U=unvaccinated, V=vaccinated, Y=young <3, O=old ≥3. Colour code: 14 weeks post challenge (17-20); 2nd day after re-challenge 21-24); 1 week after challenge (9-12); after vaccination (5-8); 7 weeks after challenge (13-16); naïve (01,02,04)

4.6.2 Proteomic biomarkers

SWATH-MS was used to measure the serum proteome in vaccinated vs un-vaccinated bulls before and after challenge with *C. fetus venerealis*. The designated time points for assessing immune biomarkers in bulls are listed in Table 18.

 Table 18. Comparative time points used to measure serum proteomes of vaccinated and unvaccinated bulls.

| Time points | Day | Vaccinated | Unvaccinated |
|------------------|---------|------------|--------------|
| Pre-vaccination | Day-0 | V0 (n=10) | NV0 (n=10) |
| Post-vaccination | Day-49 | V1 (n=10) | NV1 (n=10) |
| Post-challenge | Day-63 | V2 (n=10) | NV2 (n=10) |
| Post-recovery | Day-145 | V3 (n=10) | NV3 (n=10) |

In SWATH-MS analysis, numbers of significantly differentially abundant proteins (DAPs) (p-value <0.05; log2Fold Change >0.2) were comparatively higher in the post-vaccination (V1vsNV1 = 233) compared to pre-vaccination (V0vsNV0 = 216) and post-challenge (V2vsNV2 = 204) comparisons. The lowest number of DAPs was found at post-recovery (V3vsNV3 = 178) (Figure 38). The number of proteins with increased abundance in vaccinated bulls was greater in the post-vaccination (n=102) followed by post-challenge (n=97) and pre-vaccination (n=94) compared to post-recovery (n=69) (Figure 38).



Figure 38. Bar graph presenting the total number of significantly differentially abundant proteins (DAPs) in pre-vaccination (V0vsNV0), post-vaccination (V1vsNV1), post-challenge (V2vsNV2) and post-recovery (V3vsNV3) time points between two groups of bulls with p-value $<10^{-5}$ and log2FC > 0.2. Orange colour bars demonstrate higher abundant proteins found in vaccinated bulls at different time points.

Table 19 outlines the top five most abundant proteins in vaccinated (proteins with positive logFC value) and unvaccinated (proteins with negative logFC value) bulls at the four distinct timepoints. The abundance of **vitamin D binding protein** (DBP) was significantly higher in the vaccinated group after vaccination (log2FC = 2.27; adj.p-value = 1E⁻¹⁹), indicating high abundance. In the unvaccinated group, abundance of DBP was increased after challenge (log2FC = -2.62; adj.p-value = 1E⁻¹⁹) and after recovery (log2FC = -1.98; adj.p-value = 1E⁻¹⁹) (Table 19, Figure 39). Haptoglobin (HP) another important protein showed lower abundance in vaccinated group at post-challenge (log2FC = -0.33; *p*-value = $9.3E^{-09}$) and post-recovery (log2FC = -2.99; *p*-value = $1E^{-15}$) timepoints while it was absent in pre-vaccination and post-vaccination group (Figure 39). Vitamin D binding protein (DBP), also known as Group-specific Component (GC) protein, serves as the primary carrier for vitamin D and its metabolites (Daiger et al., 1975). Its vital role involves the activation of macrophages, contributing to immune responses, and enhancing the chemotactic functions of C5a (Speeckaert et al., 2006), which later enhances complement activation. Notably, the abundance of DBP (GC) protein was exhibited as a highly abundant protein in post-vaccination group (log2FC = 2.27). This elevation may be attributed to the influence of the vaccine antigen (Vibrovax®). Conversely, in the post-challenge and postrecovery stages, DBP's abundance also increased. In the context of human studies, DBP has emerged as a potential target for researchers due to its considerable impact on reproductive health and pregnancy outcomes, including conditions like endometriosis (Fernando et al., 2020). Cho et al (2012) identified DBP as a significant urinary biomarker for endometriosis. Beyond this, Vitamin D binding protein is associated with various human diseases, such as cancer, tuberculosis, coronary artery diseases (as reviewed by Rozmus et al., 2020), diabetes (Jorde, 2019), and kidney diseases (Denburg and Bhan, 2015).

| Pre-vacc | ination | | | | Post-vacc | ination | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Protein (VO (+)vsNVO(-) | Protein names | Gene Names | lig2FC | aljąvalie | Protein (V1 (+)vsNV1(-) | Protein names | Gene Names | log2FC | adj.pvalue |
| G3N3D3 | Chromosome 3 Clorifs homolog | C3H1ocf68 | 3.2 | 1.10E-07 | A0A173FD75 | MHC class I antigen | BoLA | 4.3 | 2 2.14E-06 |
| E1ELU4 | Caspase 8 associated protein 2 | CASP#AP2 | 2.0 | 5.80E-12 | P13753 | BOLA class I histocompatibility antigen | P13753 | 3.4 | 6 1.00E-15 |
| E1BI56 | Triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells like 1 | TREML1 | 1.8 | 1.00E-17 | A0A3Q1LRN8 | RAN binding protein 17 | RANBP17 | 2.9 | |
| ADA3Q1LFA6 | Ig-like domain-containing protein. | lg_ADA3Q1LFA6 | 1.7 | 1.0 0E -19 | A0A3Q1MS75 | Ig-like domain-containing protein | Ig_A0A3Q1MS75 | 2. | |
| P13753 | BOLA class I histocompatibility anigen | P13753 | 1.7 | 1.00E-16 | A0A0K1L4Y9 | MHC class I antigen 6*04001 | A0A0K1L4Y9_MHC | 2.4 | |
| ADA3Q1LL#7 | Ig-like domain-containing protein | Ig ADA3Q1LL87 | -2.2 | 1.00E-19 | A0A3Q1LQ02 | Vitamin D-binding protein (Gc-globulin) | GC | 2.2 | |
| ADA3Q1NCQ6 | Cyclin B3 | CCNB3 | -2.5 | 3. 85E -13 | P05786 | Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 8 (Cytokeratin-8) (CK-8) (C | | -1.5 | 0.0213 11 |
| F1MG35 | Class II major histocompatibility complex transactivator | CIITA | -2.9 | 3.06E-05 | G3N172 | -, | CCNK | -2.0 | 01000112 |
| ADA6B9SCR1 | Ig heavy chain variable region | lg ADA6B9SCR1 | -4.0 | 2.41E-13 | A0A3Q1LN98 | Cartilage acidic protein 1 | CRTAC1 | -2.0 | 5.172.07 |
| | | | | a ann 45 | M0QW09 | Cvtochrome P450 2J2 | LOC107132327 | -2.1 | 1.07E-15 |
| A5PK52 | MUC12 protein | MUC12 | -7.1 | \$.92E-15 | | | | | |
| A5PK52 | MUC12 protein | MUC12 | -7.1 | ¥.92£-15 | P62935 | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A (PPIase A) (EC 5.2 | 2 PPIA | -2.1 | 3.96E-06 |
| Post-cha | llenge | MUC12 | | 8 .92E-15 | P62935 Post-reco | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A (PPIase A) (EC 5.2 | 2 PPIA | | 3.96E-06 |
| | llenge Protein names | Gene Names | log2FC | adj.pvalue | P62935 Post-reco Protein (V3 (+)vsNV3(-) | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A (PPIase A) (EC 5.2 VETY Protein names | Gene Names | log2FC | adj.pvalue |
| Post-cha | llenge | Gene Names | | adj.pvalue | P62935 Post-reco Protein (V3 (+)vsNV3(-) | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A (PPIase A) (EC 5.2 VETY Protein names | | | 5.502-00 |
| Post-cha Protein (V2 (+)vsNV2(-) | llenge Protein names | Gene Names | log2FC | adj.pvalue 1.39E-11 | P62935 Post-reco Protein (V3 (+)vsNV3(-) E1BE11 | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A (PPIase A) (EC 5.2 VETY Protein names | Gene Names HMCN1 | log2FC | adj.pvalue |
| Post-cha Protein (V2 (+)vsNV2(-) G3X696 | Ilenge Protein names non-specific serineYhreonine protein kinase (EC 2.7.11. | Gene Names 1) SLK | log2FC 9.58 | adj.pvalue 1.39E-11 1.00E-18 | P62935 Post-reco Protein (V3 (+)vsNV3(-) E1BE11 A6QNM8 | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A (PPIase A) (EC 5.2 VCPY Protein names Hemicentin 1 Threonime–IRNA ligase 2, cytoplasmic (EC 6.1.1.3) (Thr | Gene Names HMCN1 | log2FC 4.14 | adj.pvalue 2.05E-08 |
| Post-cha Protein (V2 (+)vsNV2(-) G3X696 A0A3Q1N785 | Ilenge Protein names non-specific serine/threonine protein kinase (EC 2.7.11. Golgi associated RAB2 interactor 5A | Gene Names 1) SLK GARIN5A BoLA | log2FC 9.58 3.28 | adj.pvalue 1.39E-11 1.00E-18 1.78E-09 | P62935 Post-reco Protein (V3 (+)vsNV3(-) E1BE11 A6QNM8 | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A (PPIase A) (EC 5.2 VCPY Protein names Hemicentin 1 Threonime–IRNA ligase 2, cytoplasmic (EC 6.1.1.3) (Thr | Gene Names HMCN1 TARS3 TARSL2 A0A0K1L4Y9 | log2FC 4.14 3.63 | adj.pvalue 2.05E-08 1.34E-07 |
| Post-cha Protein (V2 (+)vsNV2(-) G3X696 A0A3Q1N785 K7QF89 | Protein names non-specific serine/thronine protein kinase (EC 2.7.11. Golgi associated RAB2 interactor 5A MIRC class I antigen | Gene Names 1) SLK GARIN5A BoLA hr TARS3 TARSL2 | log2FC 9,58 3,28 2,42 | adj.pvalue 1.39E-11 1.00E-18 1.78E-09 8.81E-06 | P62935 Post-reco Protein (V3 (+)vsNV3(-) EIBEII A6QNM8 A040K1L4Y9 F6R1G6 | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A (PPlase A) (EC 5.2 VECY) Protein names Hemicentin 1 Thronine-RRA ligase 2, cytoplasmic (EC 6.1.1.3) (Thr MHC class 1 antigen 6%4001 tRNA m(4)X modification enzyme TRM13 (EC 2.1.1.22) | Gene Names HMCN1 TARS3 TARSL2 A0A0K1L4Y9 | log2FC 4.14 3,63 2.12 | adj.pvalue 2.05E-08 1.34E-07 1.00E-19 |
| Post-cha Protein (V2 (+)vsNV2(-) G3X696 A0A3Q1N785 K7QF89 A6QNM8 | Protein names non-specific serine/threonine protein kinase (EC 2.7.11. Golgi associated RAB2 intractor 5A MHC class 1 aniligen ThreonineRNA ligase 2, cytoplasmic (EC 6.1.1.3) (T | Gene Names 1) SLK GARIN5A BoLA hr TARS3 TARSL2 | log2FC 9.58 3.28 2.42 2.38 | adj.pvalue 8 1.39E-11 8 1.00E-18 2 1.78E-09 8 8.81E-06 8 1.08E-13 | P62935 Post-reco Protein (V3 (+)vsNV3(-) EIBEII A6QNM8 A040K1L4Y9 F6R1G6 | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A (PPIase A) (EC 5.2 VECY) Protein names Hemicentin 1 Throonine-uRNA ligase 2, cytoplasmic (EC 6.1.1.3) (Thr MHC class 1 antigen 6*04001 RNAm(4)X modfication enzymer RM13 (EC 2.1.1.22) BRCA1 associated RING domain 1 | Gene Names HMCN1 TARS3 TARSL2 A0A0K1L4Y9 TRMT13 | log2FC 4.14 3.63 2.12 1.51 | adj.pvalue 2.05E-08 1.34E-07 1.00E-19 1.05E-05 |
| Protein (V2 (+)vsNV2(-) G3X696 A0A3Q1N785 KC7QF89 A6QNM8 P13753 | Protein names non-specific serine/threonine protein kinase (EC 2.7.11. Golgi associated RAB2 interactor 5A MHC class 1 anigen Thronine-RRN kigase 2, cytoplasmic (EC 6.1.1.3) (T BOLA class 1 histocompatibility antigen, alpha chain BL | Gene Names 1) SLK GARIN5A BoLA hr TARS3 TARSL2 .3-7 | log2FC 9.58 3.28 2.42 2.38 1.78 | adj.pvalue 8 1.39E-11 8 1.00E-18 2 1.78E-09 8 8.81E-06 8 1.08E-13 | P62935 Post-reco Protein (V3 (+)vsNV3(-) E1BE11 A6QNM8 A0A0K1L4Y9 F6R1G6 F1MAV4 | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A (PPIase A) (EC 5.2 VCPY) Protein names Hemicentin 1 Threonine–IRNA ligase 2, cytoplasmic (EC 6.1.1.3) (Thr MHC class I antigen 6°04001 tRNA m(4)X modification enzyme TRM13 (EC 2.1.1.22) BRCA1 associated RING domain 1 Ig heavy chain variable region | Gene Names HMCN1 TARS3 TARSL2 A0A0K1L4Y9 TRMT13 BARD1 | log2FC 4.14 3.63 2.12 1.51 1.50 | adj.pvalue 2.05E-08 1.34E-07 1.00E-19 1.05E-05 1.00E-17 |
| Protein (V2 (+)vsNV2(-) G3X696 A0A3Q1N785 K7QF89 A6QNM8 P13753 F1MEW8 | Ilenge Protein names non-specific serine/thronine protein kinase (EC 2.7.11. Gojcj associate AZB2 interactor 5A MHC class I antigen Thronine-RNA ligas 2, cytoplasmic (EC 6.1.1.3) (IT BOLA class I histocompatibility antigen, alpha chain BI Protein Wint | Gene Names 1) SLK GARIN5A BoLA htt TARS3 TARSL2 23-7 WNT10A HMCN1 | log2FC 9.58 3.28 2.42 2.38 1.78 | adj.pvalue 1.39E-11 1.00E-18 1.78E-09 8.81E-06 1.08E-13 6.79E-10 | P62935 Post-reco Protein (V3 (+)vsNV3(-) EIBEI1 A6QNM8 A0A0K114Y9 F6R106 F1MAV4 A0A6895C61 A0A6895E63 | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A (PPIase A) (EC 5.2 VCPY) Protein names Hemicentin 1 Threonine–IRNA ligase 2, cytoplasmic (EC 6.1.1.3) (Thr MHC class I antigen 6°04001 tRNA m(4)X modification enzyme TRM13 (EC 2.1.1.22) BRCA1 associated RING domain 1 Ig heavy chain variable region | Gene Names HMCN1 TARS3 TARSL2 A0A0K1L4Y9 TRMT13 BARD1 Ig_A0A689SCR1 Ig_A0A689SE63 | log2FC 4.14 3,63 2.12 1.51 1.50 -157 | adj.pvalue 2.05E-08 1.34E-07 1.00E-19 1.05E-05 1.00E-17 1.12E-08 |
| Post-cha Protein (V2 (+)vsNV2(-) G3X696 A0A3Q1N785 K7QF89 A6QNM8 P13753 F1MEW8 E1BE11 | Protein names non-specific serine/thronine protein kinase (EC 2.7.11. Golgi associated RAB2 interactor 5A MHC class I antigen ThronineBRNA ligase 2, cytoplasmic (EC 6.1.13) (TI BOLA class I histocompatibility untigen, alpha chain BI Protein Wat | Gene Names 1) SLK GARIN5A BoLA htt TARS3 TARSL2 23-7 WNT10A HMCN1 | log2FC 9.58 2.42 2.38 1.78 1.78 1.78 1.78 | adj.pvalue 1.39E-11 1.00E-18 1.78E-09 8.81E-06 1.08E-13 6.79E-10 1.00E-16 | P62935 Post-reco Protein (V3 (+)vsNV3(-) EIBEI1 A6QNM8 A0A0K1L4Y9 F6RIG6 FFIMAV4 A0A6895SCf1 A0A6895SCf3 A2VD24 | Peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase A (PPIase A) (EC 5.2 VECY) Protein names Hemicentin 1 ThreonineRNA ligase 2, cytoplasmic (EC 6.1.1.3) (Thri MHC class 1 anigen 6°04001 tRNA.m(4)X modification enzyme TRM13 (EC 2.1.1.22) BRCA1 associated RING domain 1 Ig heavy chain variable region Ig la mda chain variable region Ig la mda chain variable region | Gene Names HMCN1 TARS3 TARSL2 A0A0K IL4Y9 TRMT13 BARD1 Ig_A0A6B9SCR1 Ig_A0A6B9SE63 PLK4 SAK | log2FC 4.14 3.63 2.12 1.51 1.50 -1.57 -1.79 | adj.pvalue 2.05E-08 1.34E-07 1.00E-19 1.05E-05 1.00E-17 1.12E-08 1.00E-18 |

Table 19. 5 top differentially abundant proteins in vaccinated (green) and unvaccinated group (red).With their log2fold change and abjusted p-values.

Haptoglobin (HP) and **serum amyloid A 4 (SAA4)** are crucial acute-phase response proteins and are important indicators of reproductive health and inflammation (Bazzano et al., 2022; Sack 2018). These proteins showed higher abundance in the unvaccinated group post-challenge phase and post-recovery stage (Figure 39). Researchers have identified HP and SAA proteins as significant diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers for endometritis (Kaya et al., 2016; Pereira et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2018), as well as subclinical endometritis (Bogado Pascottini and LeBlanc, 2020) in cows. These findings highlight the importance of these proteins in understanding and diagnosing reproductive health issues in cattle. Increased levels of HP and SAA are associated with the severity of endometritis in cow (Pereira et al., 2020, Kaya et al., 2016). Menta et al (2023) found higher

concentration of HP in bovine metritis. Note that SAA2 showed a lower abundance post challenge – the functional differences between these two SAA types in bovines is currently unknown.

A. Pre-vaccination



C. Post-challenge



D. Post-recovery



Page 85 of 97

between differentially abundant significant proteins. Higher abundant proteins with positive log2FC presented in blue colour and lower abundant proteins with negative log2FC in red colour. The intensity of colour increased with increased log2FC value. A. Pre-vaccination, B. Post-vaccination, B. Post-challenge, D. Post-recovery. Vitamin D binding protein (GC), Haptoglobin (HP) and Serum Amyloid A proteins 2 and 4 (SAA2, SAA4) are highlighted by rectangle boxes.



The most significant proteins from Table 19 and Figure 39 are also presented in Figure 40.

Figure 40. The pattern of abundance for some of the important proteins in vaccinated (to the right) and unvaccinated (to the left) group pre- and post-challenge summarised from Figure 39 and Table 19.

The **enrichment analysis** (statistical test to identify significant differences in the frequency of Gene Ontology (GO) terms associated with modified proteins relative to their frequency in the genome) of significant proteins (p-value<10-5; lof2FC>0.2) involved with pre-vaccination (V0vsNV0), post-vaccination (V1vsNV1), post-challenge (V2vsNV2), and post recovery (V3vsNV3) comparisons are presented in Figure 41. To simplify the presentation of the results, we chose the top 11 pathways involved with biological functions. In the string analysis, we found HP, SAA, inter alpha-trypsin inhibitor (ITIH4) and SERPINF2 proteins were involved with acute phase responses (GO:0006953) and acute inflammatory responses (GO:0002526) and mostly present in the unvaccinated group except for SERPINF2. HP and SAA are the acute phase response proteins mainly produced in response to inflammation or tissue damage and also act as pro-inflammatory mediators. Inter Alpha-Trypsin Inhibitor Heavy Chain (ITIH4) modulates inflammatory responses by regulating protease activity during inflammation. SERINF2 is a serine protease inhibitor involved in inhibiting the activity of plasmin, which is crucial for fibrinolysis. Results suggest that these proteins were associated with the initial immune response to infection after challenge.

Proteins found in vaccinated groups were involved with the negative regulation of proteolysis (GO:0030162), endopeptidase activity (GO:0010951), protein metabolic process (GO:0051248) and

hydrolase activity (GO:0051336) (Complement 3, Serpin A3-3, Lactotransferrin, Fetuin B). Complement 3 or C3 is a component of complement system that enhances phagocytosis and is involved with the negative regulation of proteolysis and endopeptidase activity. Lactotransferrin is an iron-binding glycoprotein with various functions including antimicrobial activity. By limiting the availability of iron, lactotransferrin acts as an antimicrobial agent, hindering the ability of pathogens to thrive. Fetuin B is a glycoprotein associated with immune response modulation. While its specific role in immunity is not as well-defined as some other proteins, it could potentially regulate immune reactions by influencing various signalling pathways involved in immune cell activation and function.

Humoral immune responses (GO:0006959) involve the production of antibodies by B cells and their release into the bloodstream. This response was only present in the post-vaccination group, suggesting that it's a specific immune reaction that occurred after vaccination.



Figure 41. Enrichment analysis of gene ontology (GO) analysis. Size of the circle indicates the number of genes involved with the biological process and colour indicates the level of significance. (P=value<10⁻⁵). V=vaccinated, NV=not vaccinated, 0=naïve, 1=post vaccination, 2=post challenge, 3=14 weeks post challenge (recovery phase)

4.7 Piloted the development of genomic markers that can be used in breeding programs to select for resistant genotypes.

As described in section 4.3 to few *C. fetus venerealis* positive cattle were found to undertake genotyping towards developing GEBVs for BGC resistance in heifers. We thus investigated the relationship between metagenome and genotype which suggests that the host genome may play some role in influencing the microbial genome. This data was established using 28 cattle from two herds.

We attempted to genotype 67 cattle from the Roma herd however many of the empty cows and qPCR positive bulls had been culled and were no longer available for genotyping. Essentially not enough samples with both genomic and metagenomic data could be collated to demonstrate this potential genomic influence.

This project has had challenges in identifying adequate *C. fetus venerealis* positive cattle to enable any correlations with host genomics. We thus had far too many healthy herds (9 unvaccinated herds) and only a minority of unhealthy herds (only 2 unvaccinated herds with qPCR positives) which were insufficient to draw conclusions at the genomic marker scale.

5. Conclusion

This project was able to develop a new specific molecular diagnostic method (mraY) for BGC and was subsequently screened in controlled experimental herds as well as field samples. However, long read genomic sequencing methods through metagenomics or targeted direct sequencing were found to be much more sensitive than qPCR for *C. fetus venerealis* detection. Through this research, it was found that qPCR positivity for BGC is transient thus may yield false negatives. Genomic sequencing is comparatively expensive however, barcoding, and multiplexing methods may assist to make this a cost-effective screening method which could be accessible to the red meat industry for BGC screening. This finding has highlighted the need for subsequent research to develop methods whereby samples could be screened for BGC (*C. fetus venerealis*), trichomoniasis (*Tritrichomonas foetus*) (and potentially *Histophilus somni*) and bovine genotypes using long read sequencing tools rather than three different laboratories to achieve the same.

We also identified 2-3 proteins which could be exploited as ELISA tests (commercially available kits) as biomarkers for BGC. This is a simpler alternative to pathogen detection by genome sequencing. However, alternative rapid antibody tests could be developed as kits for yard side screening rather than submission to diagnostic laboratories.

5.1 Key findings

- The reproductive microbiome is a product of the environment and future studies to identify unhealthy microbiomes can only be undertaken at the herd level.
- Bacterial genomics guided the identification of gene (mraY) which was exploited a SNP to develop a *C. fetus venerealis* specific assay.
- The mraY qPCR assay had only transient positivity in clinical samples (controlled bull and heifer vaccination and challenge trials)
- Controlled bull and heifer VibroVax[®] vaccination and challenge trials demonstrated that *C. fetus venerealis* is still detected in vaccinated and unvaccinated animals after challenge.

- Long read sequencing methods are much more sensitive and specific in detecting *C. fetus venerealis* in clinical samples compared to qPCR when the bacterial load is low
- *Histophilus somni* bacteria co-infect with *C. fetus venerealis* as confirmed by metagenomic studies and also during *C. fetus venerealis* artificial challenge- it is not known if this pathogen is contributing to infertility
- Metagenomics is a useful tool to identify sporadic/opportunistic pathogens associated with abortion
- Vitamin D binding protein, Haptoglobin and serum amyloid A were identified as biomarkers for *C. fetus venerealis* infections (all 3 biomarkers) and BGC vaccination (DBP only).

5.2 Benefits to industry

Currently there is only a herd IgA ELISA diagnostic test for vibriosis/BGC offered by Veterinary diagnostic laboratories in Australia, which retains long term positivity following initial exposure to the disease. This test cannot be used to confirm the present of the causative pathogen *C. fetus venerealis*.

We have developed tools which can provide advice for red meat producers in terms of:

- C. fetus venerealis detection
- BGC biomarker detection

If an entity could be identified to provide genomic sequencing screening as a service, the tools developed here will ultimately enable red meat producers to manage vibriosis/BGC and other reproductive diseases.

Our research also demonstrates and confirms that to manage Vibriosis/BGC red meat producers should:

- 1. Effectively apply (annual boosting) VibroVax® in bulls and the maiden heifers
- 2. Only mate *C. fetus venerealis* negative bulls

6. Future research and recommendations

During this research program which commenced in 2018, the development of rapid antigen tests as a standard COVID19 test was established. We had not planned to develop tools like this; however, the technology is now available, and our biomarkers could be delivered as crush antibody tests for vibriosis/BGC if production of these tests is cheap to produce.

Through our thorough investigations including controlled experiments in bulls and heifers, as well as field sampling, we found that qPCR for vibriosis/BGC is far too transient and can yield false negative results. Advances in genomic sequencing are such that we could deliver a methodology which detects both BGC and trichomoniasis in mucus samples as well as cattle genotyping records if required. The detection *Histophilus somni* would also be added to this sequencing screening simultaneously. QAAFI researchers need to partner with a commercial entity who may be able to develop these technologies into a service which will benefit red meat producers in Australia.

For immediate adoption, the qPCR assay could be used however biomarker ELISA assays require further evaluations. In the long term, a service provider who can apply novel long read sequencing diagnostic data would be ideal for the industry.

Ultimately, the effective use of VibroVax[®] including annual boosters negates the need for these tests.

7. References

Abril, C., Vilei, E.M., Brodard, I., Burnens, A., Frey, J., Miserez, R. (2007). Discovery of insertion element ISCfe1: a new tool for *Campylobacter fetus* subspecies differentiation. Clinical Microbiology and Infection 13(10):993-1000.

Alikhan N-F, Petty NK, Ben Zakour NL, Beatson SA. (2011) BLAST Ring Image Generator (BRIG): simple prokaryote genome comparisons. BMC Genomics 12(1):402.

Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W., Lipman, D.J. (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. Journal of Molecular Biology 215(3):403-10.

Andrews, S. (2010) FastQC: a quality control for high throughput sequence data. <u>Babraham</u> <u>Bioinformatics - FastQC A Quality Control tool for High Throughput Sequence Data</u>

Anantharaman, K., Brown, C.T., Hug, L.A., Sharon, I., Castelle, C.J., Probst, A.J., Thomas, B.C., Singh, A., Wilkins, M.J., Karaoz, U., Brodie, E.L., Williams, K.H., Hubbard, S.S., Banfield, J.F. (2016) Thousands of microbial genomes shed light on interconnected biogeochemical processes in an aquifer system. Nature Communications 7: 13219

Aranday-Cortes, E., Hogarth, P.J., Kaveh, D.A., Whelan, A.O., Villarreal-Ramos, B., Lalvani, A., Vordermeier, H.M. (2012) Transciptional profiling of disease-induced host responses in bovine tuberculosis and the identification of potential diagnostic biomarkers. PLoS ONE 7(2): e30626.

Bairoch, A., Apweiler, R. (2000) the SWISS-PROT protein sequence database and its supplement TrEMBL in 2000. Nucleic Acids Research 28: 45-48.

Barrero, R. A., Moolhuijzen, P., Indjein, L., Venus, B., Keeble-Gagnère, G, Power, J., Bellgard, M.I., **Lew-Tabor**, A.E. (2014) Draft Genome Sequences of *Campylobacter fetus* subsp. *venerealis* bv. Venerealis Strain B6 and bv. Intermedius Strain 642-21. Genome Announcements 14 Oct 2;2(5).

Bazzano, M., Arfuso, F., Bonfili, L., Eleuteri, A.M., McLean, A., Serri, E., Spaterna, A., Laus, F. (2022) Measuring biochemical variables and serum amyloid A (SAA) in working mules in Central Italy. Animals (Basel) 12(20):2793.

Bertelli, C., Laird, M.R., Williams, K.P., Simon Fraser University Research Computing Group, Lau, B.Y., Hoad, G., Winsor, G.L, Brinkman, F.S.L. (2017) IslandViewer 4: expanded prediction of genomic islands for larger-scale datasets. Nucleic Acids Research 45(W1):W30-W5.

Bhuju, S., Aranday-Cortes, E., Villarreal-Ramos, B., Xing, Z., Singh, M., Vordermeier, H.M. (2012) global gene transcriptome analysis of vaccinated cattle revealed a dominant role of IL-22 for protection against bovine tuberculosis. PLOS Pathogens 8(12):e1003077.

Bogado Pascottini, O., Le Blanc, S.J. (2020) Metabolic markets for purulent vaginal discharge and subclinical endometritis in dairy cows. Theriogenology 155: 43-48.

Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., Usadel, B. (2014) Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30(15):2114-20.

Burstein, D., Harrington, L.B., Strutt, S., Probst, A.J., Anantharaman, K., Thomas, B.C., Doudna, J.a., Banfield, J.F. (2017) New CRISPR-Cas systems from uncultivated microbes. Nature 542: 237-241.

Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., Madden, T.L. (2009) BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10(1):421.

Cantalapiedra, C.P., Hernández-Plaza, A., Letunic, I., Bork, P., Huerta-Cepas, J. (2021) eggNOGmapper v2: functional annotation, orthology assignments, and domain prediction at the metagenomic scale. Molecular Biology and Evolution 38(12): 5825-5829.

Carver, T., Harris, S.R., Berriman, M., Parkhill, J., McQuillan, J.A. (2011) Artemis: an integrated platform for visualization and analysis of high-throughput sequence-based experimental data. Bioinformatics 28(4):464-9.

Chen, L., Yang, J., Yu, J., Yao, Z., Sun, L., Shen, Y., Ji, Q. (2005) VFDB: a reference database for bacterial virulence factors. Nucleic Acids Research 33(Database issue):D325-8.

Cho, S-H., Choi, Y.S., Yim, S.Y., Yang, H.I. Jeon, Y.E., Lee, K.E., Kim, H-Y., Seo, K.S., Lee, B.S. (2012) Urinary vitamin D-binding protein is elevated in patients with endometriosis. Human Reproduction 27 (2):515-522.

Choi, M., Chang, C. Y., Clough, T., Broudy, D., Killeen, T., MacLean, B., & Vitek, O. (2014). MSstats: An R package for statistical analysis of quantitative mass spectrometry-based proteomic experiments. Bioinformatics 30(17): 2524–2526.

Chiu, C.H., Lee, Y.T., Wang, Y.C., Yin, T., Kuo, S.C., Yang, Y.S., Chen, T.L., Lin, J.C., Wang, F.D., Fung, C.P. (2015) A retrospective study of the incidence, clinical characteristics, identification, and antimicrobial susceptibility of bacteremic isolates of *Acinetobacter ursingii*. BMC Infectious Diseases 15:400.

Cingolani, P., Platts, A., Wang, L.L., Coon, M., Nguyen, T., Wang, L., Land, S.J., Lu, X., Ruden, D.M. (2012) A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of *Drosophila melanogaster* strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. Fly (Austin) 6(2):80-92.

Clemmons, B.A., Reese, S.T., Dantas, F.G., Franco, G.A., Smith, T.P.L., Adeyosoye, O.I., Pohler, K.G., Myer, P.R. (2017) Vaginal and uterine bacterial communities in postpartum lactating cows. Frontiers in Microbiology 8:1047.

Cojkic, A., Niazi, A., Guo, Y., Hallap, T., Padrik, P., Morrell, J.M. (2021) Identification of bull semen microbiome by 16S sequencing and possible relationships with fertility. Microorganisms 9:2431.

Corbet, N.J., Allen, J.M., Laing, A.R., Fordyce, G., McGowan, M.R., Burns B.M. (2016) Using ultrasound to derive new reproductive trains in tropical beef breeds: implications for genetic evaluation. Animal Production Science 58(9): 1735-1742.

Croucher, N.J., Page, A.J., Connor, T.R., Delaney, A.J., Keane, J.A., Bentley, S.D., Parkhill, J., Harris, S.R. (2014) Rapid phylogenetic analysis of large samples of recombinant bacterial whole genome sequences using Gubbins. Nucleic Acids Research 43(3):e15.

Cuccato, M., Divari, S., Sacchi, P., Girolami, F., Cannizzo, F. T. (2022) MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry profiling of bovine skim milk for subclinical mastitis detection. Frontiers in Veterinary Science 9: 1009928.

Daiger, S. P., Schanfield, M. S., Cavalli-Sforza, L. L. (1975) Group-specific component (Gc) proteins bind vitamin D and 25-hydroxyvitamin D. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 72(6): 2076-2080.

Danecek, P., Bonfield, J. K., Liddle, J., Marshall, J., Ohan, V., Pollard, M. O., Whitwham, A., Keane, T., McCarthy, S. A., Davies, R. M., Li, H. (2021). Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. Gigascience 10(2): giab008.

De Coster, W., D'Hert, S., Schultz, D.T., Cruts, M., Van Broeckhoven, C. (2018) NanoPack: visualizing and processing long-read sequencing data. Bioinformatics 34: 2666-2669.

De Coster, W., Rademakers, R. (2023). NanoPack2: population-scale evaluation of long-read sequencing data. Bioinformatics 39(5):btad311.

Demichev, V., Messner, C.B., Vernardis, S.I., Lilley, K.S., Ralser, M. (2020) DIA-NN: neural networks and interference correction enable deep proteome coverage in high throughput. Nature Methods 17(1):41-44.

Denburg, M. R., Bhan, I. (2015) Vitamin D-binding protein in health and chronic kidney disease. Seminars in Dialysis 28(6): 636-644.

Dong, X., Strous, M. (2019) An integrated pipeline for annotation and visualization of metagenomic contigs. Frontiers in Genetics 10: 999.

dplyr: A grammar of data manipulation (2020).

Eaglesome, M.D., Garcia, M.M. (1992) Microbial agents associated with bovine genital tract infections and semen. Part 1. *Brucella abortus, Leptospira, Campylobacter fetus* and *Tritrichomonas foetus*. Veterinary Bulletin 62: 743-775.

Engelbrektson, A., Kunin, V., Wrighton, K.C., Zvenigorodsky, N., Chen, F., Ochman, H., Hugenholtz, P. (2010) Experimental factors affecting PCR-based estimates of microbial species richness and evenness. The ISME Journal 4: 642–647.

Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S., Käller, M. (2016) MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics 32(19):3047-8.

Fernando, M., Ellery, S. J., Marquina, C., Lim, S., Naderpoor, N., Mousa, A. (2020) Vitamin Dbinding protein in pregnancy and reproductive health. Nutrients, 12(5): 1489.

Finn, R. D., Coggill, P., Eberhardt, R.Y., Eddy, S.R., Mistry, J., Mitchell, A.L., Potter, S.C., Punta, M., Qureshi, M., Sangrador-Vegas, A., Salzar, G.A., Tate, J., Bateman, A. (2016) The Pfam protein families database: towards a more sustainable future. Nucleic Acids Research 44:D279-D285.

Gabrielaite, M., Marvig, R.L. (2020) GenAPI: a tool for gene absence-presence identification in fragmented bacterial genome sequences. BMC Bioinformatics 21:320.

ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis (2016), Springer, New York.

Gorkiewicz, G., Kienesberger, S., Schober, C., Scheicher, S.R., Gülly, C., Zechner, R., Zechner,

E.L. (2010) A genomic island defines subspecies-specific virulence features of the host-adapted pathogen *Campylobacter fetus* subsp. *venerealis*. Journal of Bacteriology 92(2):502-17.

Gurevich, A., Saveliev, V., Vyahhi, N., Tesler, G. (2013) QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2013;29(8):1072-5.

Haft, D. H., Selengut, J.D., Richter, R.A., Harkins, D., Basu, M.K., Beck, E. (2013) TIGRFAMS and genome properties in 2013. Nucleic Acids Research 41:D387-D395.

Headley, S.A., Voltarelli, D. Silva de Oliveira, V.H., Bronkhorst, D.E., Alieri, A.F., Filho, L.C.N., Okano, W., Alfieri, A.A. (2015) Association of *Histophilus somni* with spontaneous abortions in dairy cattle herds in Brazil. Tropical Animal Health and Production 47: 403-413.

Henker, L.C., Lorenzett, M.P., Lopes, B.C., dos Santos, I.R., Bandinelli, M.B., Bassuino, D.M., Juffo, G.D., Antoniassi, N.A.B., Pescador, C.A., Sonne, L., Driemeier, D., Pavarini, S.P. (2022) Pathological and etiological characterization of cases of bovine abortion due to sporadic bacterial and mycotic infections. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology 53: 2251–2262.

Hill, J.E., Paccagnella, A., Law, K., Melito, P.L., Woodward, D.L., Price, L., Leung, A.H., Ng, L-K, Hemmingsen, S.., Goh, S.H. (2006) Identification of *Campylobacter* spp. and discrimination from *Helicobacter* and *Arcobacter* spp. by direct sequencing of PCR-amplified *cpn60* sequences and comparison to cpnDB, a chaperonin reference sequence database. Journal of Medical Microbiology 55: 393-399.

Hum, S., Stephens, L.R., Quinn, C. (1991) Diagnosis by ELISA of bovine abortion due to *Campylobacter fetus*. Australian Veterinary Journal 68:272-275.

Hum, S., Quinn, C., Kennedy, D. (1994) Diagnosis of bovine venereal campylobacteriosis by ELISA. Australian Veterinary Journal 71: 140-143.

Hum, S., Quinn, K., Brunner, J., On, S.L. (1997) Evaluation of a PCR assay for identification and differentiation of *Campylobacter fetus* subspecies. Australian Veterinary Journal 75(11):827-31.

Hyatt, D., Chen, G-L., Locascio, P.F., Land, M.L., Larimer, F.W., Hauser, L.J. (2010) Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. BMC Bioinformatics. 11:119.

Jain, C., Rodriguez-R, L.M., Phillippy, A.M., Konstantinidis, K.T., Aluru, S. (2018) High throughput ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries. Nature Communications 9(1):5114.

Jorde, R. (2019) The role of vitamin D binding protein, total and free 25-hydroxyvitamin D in diabetes. Frontiers in Endocrinology (Lausanne) 10: 79.

Kanehisa, M., Goto, S. (2000) KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Research 28:27-30.

Karp, P.D., Riley, M., Paley, S.M., Pellegrini-Toole, A. (2002) The MetaCyc database. Nucleic Acids Research 30:59-61.

Kaya, S., Merhan, O., Kacar, C., Colak, A., Bozukluhan, K. (2016) Determination of ceruloplasmin, some other acute phase proteins, and biochemical parameters in cows with endometritis. Veterinary World 9(10): 1056-1062.

Kerr, E.D., Caboche, C.H., Schulz, B.L. (2019) Posttranslational modifications drive protein stability to control the dynamic beer brewing proteome. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 18: 1721–1731.

Kim, E.J., Fathoni, A., Jeong, G-T., Jeong, H.D., Nam, T-J., Kong, I-S., Kim, J.K. (2013) *Microbacterium oxydans*, a novel alginate- and laminarin-degrading bacterium for the reutilization of brown-seaweed waste. Journal of Environmental Management 13: 153-159.

Kirkbride, C.A. (1993) Bacterial agents detected in a 10-year study of bovine abortions and stillbirths. Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation 5:64-68.

Kraipowich, N.R., Morris, D.L., Thompson, G.L., Mason, G.L. (2000) Bovine abortions associated with *Bacteroides fragilis* fetal infection. Journal of Veterinary and Diagnostic Investigation 12(4):369-71.

Krüger, M., Shehata, AA., Grosse-Herrenthey, A., Ständer, N., Schrödl, W. (2014) Relationship between gastrointestinal dysbiosis and *Clostridium botulinum* in dairy cows. Anaerobe 27:100-5.

Laguardia-Nascimento, M., Branco, K.M., Gasparini, M.R., Giannattasio-Ferraz, S., Leite, L.R., Araujo, F.M., de Matos Salim, A.C., Nicoli, J.R., Corrêa de Oliveira, G., Barbosa-Stancioli, E.F. (2015) Vaginal microbiome characterization of Nellore cattle using metagenomic analysis. PLoS ONE 10:e0143294:

Lew-Tabor, A.E., **Boe-Hansen, G.**, McGowan, M., **Fordyce, G.**, Bellgard, M. (2013) An integrated genomics approach to improve our understanding of the biology of genital campylobacteriosis in beef cattle. Project LP0883837 ARC Linkage, 2009-2013.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., Durbin, R. 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009) The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25(16):2078-9.

Li, H. (2018) Minimap2: Pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics 34: 3094-3100.

Li, H. (2021). New strategies to improve minimap2 alignment accuracy. Bioinformatics 37(23): 4572-4574.

Loman, N.J., Quick, J., Simpson, J.T. (2015) A complete bacterial genome assembled *de novo* using only nanopore sequencing data. Nature Methods 12(8):733-5.

Love, M.I., Huber, W., Anders, S. (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biology 15: 550.

Lu, J., Breitwieser, F.P., Thielen, P., Salzberg, S.L. (2017) Bracken: estimating species abundance in metagenomics data. PeerJ Computer Science 3:e104.

McMillen, L., **Fordyce, G**., Doogan, V. J., **Lew, A.E**. (2006) Comparison of culture and a novel 5' *Taq* nuclease assay for the direct detection of *Campylobacter fetus* subspecies *venerealis* in clinical specimens from cattle. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 44(3): 938-945.

McMurdie, P.J., Holmes, S. (2013) phyloseq: An R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. PLoS ONE 8: e61217.

Macedo, A.A.M., Oliviera, J.M.B., Silva, B.P., Borges, J.M., Soares, L,B.F., Silva, G.M., Santos, B., Mota, R,A., Pinheiro-Junior, J,W. (2018) Occurrence of *Mycoplasma bovigenitalium* and *Ureaplasma diversum* in dairy cattle from to Pernambuco state, Brazil. Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinaria e Zootecnia 70(6): 1798-1806.

Mackie, D.P., Ball, H.J., Logan, E.F. (1986) *Mycoplasma californicum* mastitis in the dry dairy cow. Veterinary Record 119: 350-351.

Mailhe, M., Ricaboni, D., Vitton, V., Benezech, A., Dubourg, G., Michelle, C., Andrieu, C., Armstrong, N., Bittar, F., Fournier, P.E., Raoult, D., Million, M. (2016) Noncontiguous finished genome sequence and description of *Fusobacterium massiliense* sp. nov. isolated from human duodenum. New Microbes & New Infections 16:3-12.

Mazorro-Carrillo, J.L., Alcaraz-Lopez, O.A., Lopez-Rincon, G., Villarreal-Ramos, B., Gutierrez-Pabello, J.A., Esquivel-Solis, H. (2021) Frontiers in Veterinary Science 8:734087.

Menta, P.R., Neves, R.C., Machado, V.S. (2023) Association of time to metritis diagnosis with circulating concentration of metabolites, minerals, and haptoglobin in Jersey cows. Journal of Dairy Science 106(7):5029-5042.

Ong, C.T., Turni, C., Blackall, P.J., Boe-Hansen, G., Hayes, B.J., Tabor, A.E. (2021) Animal Microbiome 3(1): 1-12 (Appendix 8.4)

Ong, C.T., Ross, E.M., Boe-Hansen, G.B., Turni, C., Hayes, B.J. Tabor, A.E. (2022a) Technical note: overcoming host contamination in bovine vaginal metagenomic samples with nanopore adaptive sequencing. Journal of Animal Science 100 (1): January 2022, skab344 (Appendix 8.5)

Ong, C. T., Boe-Hansen, G, Ross, E.M., Blackall, P.J., Turni, C., Hayes, B.J., Tabor, A.E. (2022b) Evaluation of host depletion and extraction methods for shotgun metagenomic analysis of bovine vaginal samples. Microbiology Spectrum 10 (2), 11 April 2022. (Appendix 8.6)

Ong, C. T., **Ross, E.M.**, **Boe-Hansen, G**., **Turni, C**., **Hayes, B.J**., **Fordyce, G**., **Tabor, A.E**. (2022c) Adaptive sampling during sequencing reveals the origins of the bovine reproductive tract microbiome across reproductive stages and sexes. Scientific Reports 12:15075. (Appendix 8.7)

O'Toole, D., Sondgeroth, K.S. (2015) Histophilosis as a Natural Disease. *In*: Inzana, T. (Ed.) *Histophilus somni*. Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology, vol 396. Springer, Cham.

Page, A.J., Cummins, C.A., Hunt, M., Wong, V.K., Reuter, S., Holden, M.T., Fookes, M., Falush, D., Keane, J.A., Parkhill, J. (2015) Roary: rapid large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis. Bioinformatics 31(22):3691-3.

Paradis, E., Schliep, K. (2018) ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in R. Bioinformatics 35(3):526-8.

Parkinson, E.J. (2019) Specific infections diseases causing infertility and subfertility in cattle. *IN* Veterinary Reproduction and Obstetrics, Tenth Edition, Noakes, D.E., Parkinson, T.J., & England, G.C.W. (Eds), Elsevier Ltd., Part 4 Subfertility, Chapter 24, pp 434-466.

Pereira, G., Bexiga, R., Chagas e Silva, J., Silva, E., Ramé, C., Dupont, J., Guo, Y., Humblot, P., Lopes-da-Costa, L. (2020) Adipokines as biomarkers of postpartum subclinical endometritis in dairy cows. Reproduction (Cambridge, England) 160(3): 417-430.

Poole, R.K., Pickett, A.T., Oliviera Filho, R.V., de Melo, G.D., Palanisamy, V., Chitlapilly Dass, S., Cooke, R.F., Pohler, K.G. (2023) Shifts in the uterine bacterial communities associated with endogenour progresterone and 17*8*-estradiol concentrations in beef cattle. Domestic Animal Endocrinology 82: 106766.

Prestat, E., David, M.M., Hultman, J., Tas, N., Lamendella, R., Dvornik, J., Mackelprang, R., Myrold, D.D., Jumpponen, A., Tringe, S.G., Holman, E., Mavromatis, K., Janssen, J.K. (2014) FOAM (functional ontology assignments for metagenomes): A hidden Markov model (HMM) database with environmental focus. Nucleic Acids Research 42: e145.

Pruitt, K.D., Tatasova, T., Maglott, D.R. (2005) NCBI reference sequence (RefSeq): A curated non-redundant sequence database of genomes, transcripts and proteins. Nucleic Acids Research 33: D501-D504.

Quereda, J.J., Barba, M., Mocé María, L., Gomis, J., Jiménez-Trigos, E., García-Muñoz, Á., Gómez-Martín, Á., González-Torres, P., Carbonetto, B., García-Roselló, E. (2020) Vaginal microbiota changes during estrous cycle in dairy heifers. Frontiers in Veterinary Science 7 Article 371: 1-9.

R Core Team. (2018) R: a language and environment for satistical computing. <u>https://www.R-project.org/</u>.

R Studio: Integrated development environment for R. RStudio, PBC, Boston, MA, 2020.

Rambault, M., Gilbert, F.B., Roussel, P., Tessier, A., David, V., Germon, P., Winter, N., Remot, A. (2023) Neutrophils expressing major histocompatibility complex class II molecules circulate in blood and milk during mastitis and show high microbicidal activity. Journal of Dairy Science 106 (6): 4245-4256.

Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J., Smyth, G.K. (2010) edgeR: A Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26: 139-140.

Ross, E.M., Moate, P.J., Marett, L., Cocks, B.G., Hayes, B.J. (2013) Metagenomic predictions: from microbiome to complex health and environmental phenotypes in humans and cattle. PLoS One 8(9): e73056.

Ross, E.M., Nguyen, L.T., Lamb, H.J., Moore, S.S., Hayes, B.J. (2022) The genome of the tropically adapted Brahman cattle *Bos taurus indicus* reveals novel genome variation in production animals. bioRxiv 2022.02.09.479458

Rozmus, D., Ciesielska, A., Płomiński, J., Grzybowski, R., Fiedorowicz, E., Kordulewska, N., Savelkoul, H., Kostyra, E., Cieślińska, A. (2020) Vitamin D binding protein (VDBP) and its gene polymorphisms-The risk of malignant tumors and other diseases. International Journal of Molecular Science 21(21):7822.

Ryan, M.P., Pembroke, J.T. (2020) The genus *Ochrobactrum* as major opportunistic pathogens. Microorganisms 8(11): 1797.

Sack Jr, G.H. (2018) Serum amyloid A - a review. Molecular Medicine 24(1):46.

Sanhueza, J.M., Heuer, C., Jackson, R., Hughes, P., Anderson, P., Kelly, K., Walker, G. (2014) Pregnancy rates of beef cattle are not affected by *Campylobacter fetus* subsp. *venerealis* real-time PCR-positive breeding sires in New Zealand. New Zealand Veterinary Journal, 62:5, 237-243.

Seemann T. (2014) Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics 30(14):2068-9.

Seemann T. (2016) ABRicate: mass screening of contigs for antibiotic resistance genes. https://github.com/tseemann/abricate

Speeckaert, M., Huang, G., Delanghe, J. R., Taes, Y. E. (2006). Biological and clinical aspects of the vitamin D binding protein (Gc-globulin) and its polymorphism. Clinica Chimica Acta 372(1-2): 33-42.

Spence, R.P., Bruce, I.R., McFadden, A.M.J., Hill, F.I., Tisdall, D., Humphrey, S., van de Graaf, L., van Bergen, M.A.P., Wagenaar, J.A. (2011) Cross-reaction of a *Campylobacter fetus* subspecies *venerealis* real-time PCR. Veterinary Record 168, 131-2.

Steinberg, R.S., Silva e Silva, L., de Souza, M., Reis, R.B., da Silva, P.C.L., Lacorte, G.A., Nicoli, J.R., Neumann, E., Nunes, A.C. (2022) Changes in bovine milk bacterial microbiome from healthy and subclinical mastitis affected animals of the Girolando, Gyr, Guzera, and Holstein breeds. International Microbiology 25:803-815.

Swartz, J.D., Lachman, M., Westveer, K., O'Neill, T., Geary, T., Kott, R.W., Berardinelli, J.G., Hatfield, P.G., Thomson, J.M., Roberts, A., Yeoman, C.J. (2014) Characterization of the vaginal microbiota of ewes and cows reveals a unique microbiota with low levels of Lactobacilli and near-neutral pH. Frontiers in Veterinary Research 1: 1-19.

Szklarczyk, D., Gable, A.L., Lyon, D., Junge, A., Wyder, S., Huerta-Cepas, J., Simonovic, M., Doncheva, N.T., Morris, J.H., Bork, P., Jensen, L.J., von Mering, C. (2019) STRING v11: protein-protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. Nucleic Acids Research 47(D1):D607-D13.

Tamiozzo, P.J., Estanguet, A.A., Maito, J., Tirante, L., Pol, M., Giraudo, J.A. (2014) Detection of *Mycoplasma canadense* and *Mycoplasma californicum* in dairy cattle from Argentina. Revista Argentina de Microbiología 46, 119-121.

Tange O. (2011) GNU parallel - The command-line power tool. The USENIX Magazine 36(1):42-7.

Taponen, S., Supré, K., Piessens, V., Van Coillie, E., De Vliegher, S., Koort, J.M.K. (2012) *Staphylococcus agnetis* sp. nov., a coagulase-variable species from bovine subclinical and mild clinical mastitis. International Journal of Systematics and Evolutionary Microbiology 62(1):61-65.

Tatusova, T., DiCuccio, M., Badretdin, A., Chetvernin, V., Nawrocki, E.P., Zaslavsky, L., Lomsadze, A., Pruitt, K.D., Borodovsky, M., Ostell, J. (2016) NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline. Nucleic Acids Research 44(14):6614-24. Thompson-Crispi, K., Atalla, H., Miglior, F., Mallard, B.A. (2014) Bovine mastitis: frontiers in immunogenetics. Frontiers in Immunology 5: 493.

Torres Luque, A., Gonzalez Moreno, C., Pasteris, S.E., Orden, J.A., de la Fuente, R., Otero, M.C. (2017) Antimicrobial resistant *Escherichia coli* in the reproductive tract microbiota of cows and sows. Comparative Immunology, Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 55: 13-19.

Treangen, T.J., Ondov, B.D., Koren, S., Phillippy, A.M. (2014) The Harvest suite for rapid coregenome alignment and visualization of thousands of intraspecific microbial genomes. Genome Biology 15(11):524.

van der Graaf-van Bloois, L., van Bergen, M.A., van der Wal, F.J., de Boer, A.G., Duim, B., Schmidt, T., Wagenaar, J.A. (2013) Evaluation of molecular assays for identification *Campylobacter fetus* species and subspecies and development of a *C. fetus* specific real-time PCR assay. Journal of Microbiological Methods 95(1), 93-7.

van der Graaf-van Bloois, L., Miller, W.G., Yee, E., Rijnsburger, M., Wagenaar, J.A., Duim, B. (2014) Inconsistency of phenotypic and genomic characteristics of *Campylobacter fetus* subspecies requires reevaluation of current diagnostics. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 52(12): 4183-8.

vegan: Community Ecology Package. (2020) R package version 2.5-7.

Walker, B.J., Abeel, T., Shea, T., Priest, M., Abouelliel, A., Sakthikumar, S., Cuomo, C.A., Zeng, Q., Wortman, J., Young, S.K., Earl, A.M. (2014) Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. PLoS One 9(11):e112963.

Wei T., Simko, V. (2021) R package 'corrplot': visualization of a correlation matrix. 0.91. 2021. https://github.com/taiyun/corrplot.

Wick, R.R., Judd, L.M., Gorrie, C.L., Holt, K.E. (2017a) Completing bacterial genome assemblies with multiplex MinION sequencing. Microbial Genomics 3(10):e000132.

Wick, R.R., Judd, L.M., Gorrie, C.L., Holt, K.E. (2017b) Unicycler: resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. PLoS Computational Biology 13(6): e1005595.

Wickham, H. (2016) ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. In. Springer-Verlag New York. https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org.

Wickham, H., Francois, R., Henry, L., Müller, K., Vaughan, D. (2023). dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation. https://dplyr.tidyverse.org, https://github.com/tidyverse/dplyr.

Wood, D.E., Lu, J., Langmead, B. (2019) Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2. Genome Biology 20(1): 257.

Worku, D., Gowane, G., Verma, A. (2023) Genetic variation in promoter region of the bovine LAP3 gene associated with estimated breeding values of milk production traits and clinical mastitis in dairy cattle. PLoS ONE 18(5): e0277156.

Yang, J., Lee, S.H., Goddard, M.E., Visscher, P.M. (2011) GCTA: a tool for genome-wide complex trait analysis. American Journal of Human Genetics 88(1):76-82.

Ye, Y., Doak, T.G., (2009) A parsimony approach to biological pathway

reconstruction/inference for genomes and metagenomes. PLoS Computational Biology 5: e1000465. Yu, G., Wang, L.G., Han. Y., He, Q.Y. (2012) clusterProfiler: An R package for comparing

biological themes among gene clusters. OMICS 16: 284-287.

Zhang, S., Yang, F., Oguejiofor, C. F., Wang, D., Dong, S., Yan, Z. (2018). Endometrial expression of the acute phase molecule SAA is more significant than HP in reflecting the severity of endometritis. Research in Veterinary Science 121: 130-133.

Zhao, H.M., Du, H., Huang, C.Q., Li, S., Zeng, X.H., Huang, X.J., Xiang, L., Li, H., Li, Y.W., Cai, Q.Y., Mo, C.H., He, Z. (2019) Bioaugmentation of exogenous strain Rhodococcus sp. 2G can efficiently mitigate Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate contamination to vegetable cultivation. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 67(25):6940-6949.

8. Appendix

- 8.1. Appendix 8.1. Database of samples collected for 'pathobiome' analysis
- 8.2. Appendix 8.2. VibroVax[®] un-vaccinated herds, diagnostic samples and Campylobacter fetus venerealis qPCR data
- 8.3. Appendix 8.3. Ong et al 2023 Publication draft
- 8.4. Appendix 8.4. Ong et al 2021
- 8.5. Appendix 8.5. Ong et al 2022a
- 8.6. Appendix 8.6. Ong et al 2022b
- 8.7. Appendix 8.7. Ong et al 2022c
- 8.8. Appendix 8.8 Supplementary files from Appendix 7 Ong et al 2022c
- Supplementary 8.8.1. Breed makeup and *Bos indicus* content (%) of the herds Station A and Station B
- Supplementary 8.8.2. Thirty-seven samples collected from Station A and Station B
- Supplementary 8.8.3. Sequence data generated for each sample using Oxford Nanopore Technologies long-read adaptive sequencing
- Supplementary 8.8.4. The proportions of sequence data belong to cattle host and metagenome
- Supplementary 8.8.5. Sequence data after quality filtering
- Supplementary 8.8.6. Multidimensional scaling analysis of the dissimilarity based on the leading log2 fold change of the functional annotations of the reproductive metagenomes between (A) female from Station A and Station B and between (B) male and female from Station A.
- 8.9 Appendix 8.9. Raw qPCR data from the heifer trial
- 8.10 Appendix 8.10. Proteomics data from field evaluation for biomarker detection