

final report

Project code:

Prepared by:

B.FDP.0066

Mel Rae and Catriona Nicholls

Macquarie Franklin and Hot Tin Roof Communications

Date published:

12 September 2017

PUBLISHED BY Meat and Livestock Australia Limited Locked Bag 1961 NORTH SYDNEY NSW 2059

Sustainable Feedbase Communication Coordinator

Meat & Livestock Australia acknowledges the matching funds provided by the Australian Government to support the research and development detailed in this publication.

This publication is published by Meat & Livestock Australia Limited ABN 39 081 678 364 (MLA). Care is taken to ensure the accuracy of the information contained in this publication. However MLA cannot accept responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the information or opinions contained in the publication. You should make your own enquiries before making decisions concerning your interests. Reproduction in whole or in part of this publication is prohibited without prior written consent of MLA.

Executive summary

The purpose of the Sustainable Feedbase Resources Communication Coordinator role was to work with Meat and Livestock Australia's (MLA) Sustainable Feedbase Resources (SFR) team to develop and implement internal communications strategies for research, development and adoption (RD&A) activities within the team's portfolio.

For this role, a project team, comprised of Macquarie Franklin and Hot Tin Roof Communications consultants, was selected through a competitive tender process. This role commenced in February 2016 and encompassed a range of tasks relating to the role objectives:

- improve internal communications within and between the SFR portfolio programs and projects;
- support MLA to showcase the opportunities created by SFR RD&A to improve the productivity and sustainable natural resource management of the feedbase resource; and
- through assisting MLA to enhance awareness of SFR RD&A outcomes and tools, encourage cattle and sheep producers in Australia to improve their productivity through implementation of improved practices.

The results from implementing the SFR Communications Coordinator have enabled progress towards the objectives of the role.

To improve internal communications within and between the SFR portfolio programs and projects, a feedbase RD&A database and newsletter (*Feedbase Focus*) were developed and four newsletters were circulated, with positive results. In addition, two national activities were run during 2016 (PRS producer workshop in Melbourne (June 2016) FIP and the symposium in Adelaide (November 2016)) and focus groups (or round table discussions) for PRS/FIP projects were run for each state in 2017.

In order to support MLA to showcase the opportunities created by SFR RD&A, to improve the productivity and sustainable natural resource management of the feedbase resource, 29 feedbase story briefs were supplied to the MLA Communications Team between February 2016 and October 2017, with 70% published to date. Some stories written specifically for *Feedbase Focus* were also distributed through *Friday Feedback*.

The SFR Communications Coordinator has also provided technical input to the development of a number of extension product and resource packages for red meat producers, advisors and researchers.

Many other tasks have been completed and assisted in raising the profile of the SFR portfolio and the outputs from research investments.

Table of contents

| 1 | Bac | kground | 4 |
|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | Proj | ject objectives | 5 |
| 3 | Met | thodology | 5 |
| | 3.1 | Project establishment meeting | .5 |
| | 3.2 | Implementation strategy | .5 |
| | 3.3 | Workplan | .5 |
| | 3.4 | Monitoring and evaluation plan | .6 |
| | 3.5 | Monthly project team meetings | .6 |
| | 3.6 | Annual review | .6 |
| 4 | Res | ults | 7 |
| | 4.1 | February 2016 – October 2016 | .7 |
| | 4.2 | October 2016 – February 2017 | .7 |
| | 4.3 | February 2017 – October 2017 | .8 |
| | | | |
| 5 | Disc | cussion | 9 |
| 5 | Disc 5.1 | Key performance indicators | |
| 5 | | Key performance indicators | .9 |
| 5 | 5.1 | Key performance indicators 1 Project tracking to budget | .9 .9 |
| 5 | 5.1 5.1. | Key performance indicators 1 Project tracking to budget 2 Quarterly e-newsletter | .9 .9 .9 |
| 5 | 5.1 5.1. 5.1. | Key performance indicators 1 Project tracking to budget 2 Quarterly e-newsletter 3 Strategy for the development of tools and resource packages | .9 .9 .9 |
| 5 | 5.1 5.1. 5.1. 5.1. | Key performance indicators 1 Project tracking to budget 2 Quarterly e-newsletter 3 Strategy for the development of tools and resource packages 4 Seasonal calendar | .9 .9 .0 |
| 5 | 5.1 5.1. 5.1. 5.1. 5.1. 5.1. | Key performance indicators 1 Project tracking to budget 2 Quarterly e-newsletter 3 Strategy for the development of tools and resource packages 4 Seasonal calendar | .9 .9 .0 .0 |
| | 5.1 5.1. 5.1. 5.1. 5.1. 5.1. 5.1 . | Key performance indicators1Project tracking to budget2Quarterly e-newsletter3Strategy for the development of tools and resource packages4Seasonal calendar5Story briefs provided to the MLA Communications Team | .9 .9 .0 .1 .1 |
| 6 | 5.1 5.1. 5.1. 5.1. 5.1. 5.1. 5.1 . | Key performance indicators 1 Project tracking to budget 2 Quarterly e-newsletter 3 Strategy for the development of tools and resource packages 4 Seasonal calendar 5 Story briefs provided to the MLA Communications Team 1 Iterations | .9 .9 .0 .1 .1 .1 |
| 6 | 5.1 5.1. 5.1. 5.1. 5.1. Con App | Key performance indicators 1 Project tracking to budget 2 Quarterly e-newsletter 3 Strategy for the development of tools and resource packages 4 Seasonal calendar 5 Story briefs provided to the MLA Communications Team 1 Image: Commendations 1 Image: Commendations 1 Image: Commendations | .9 .9 .0 .0 .1 .1 .2 |
| 6 | 5.1 5.1. 5.1. 5.1. 5.1. 5.1. Con App 7.1 | Key performance indicators 1 Project tracking to budget 2 Quarterly e-newsletter 3 Strategy for the development of tools and resource packages 4 Seasonal calendar 5 Story briefs provided to the MLA Communications Team 1 Inclusions/recommendations 1 Appendix 1: Implementation strategy | .9 .9 .0 .0 .1 .1 .1 .2 .2 .2 |

1 Background

The purpose of the Sustainable Feedbase Communication Coordinator role was to work with Meat and Livestock Australia's (MLA) Sustainable Feedbase Resources (SFR) team to develop and implement internal communications strategies for research, development and adoption (RD&A) activities within the team's portfolio (Error! Reference source not found.).

The Sustainable Feedbase Resource area comprised research, development and adoption (RD&A) programs related to the feedbase (pasture breeding, agronomy, grazing systems), natural resource management (soil, water, nutrients, biodiversity) and climate change (greenhouse gas mitigation and adaptation to climate variability).



Sustainable Feedbase Resource Portfolio

Figure 1 Schematic of the MLA sustainable feedbase resource portfolio (February 2016)

In 2015, MLA recognised considerable potential to optimise the outcomes from MLA's investment into this portfolio through strategic, coordinated and effective communications. Through a competitive tender process, a project team comprised of Macquarie Franklin and Hot Tin Roof Communications consultants was selected to provide technical communication support to MLA's Sustainable Feedbase Resources team. This role commenced in February 2016 and was called the SFR Communications Coordinator.

The key assignment of this role was to develop and implement a communication strategy to bring together the portfolio, to improve and increase communication outputs, outcomes and activities in relation to the sustainable feedbase resources area. It also included provision of support, as required, to other emerging feedbase communication tasks.

2 Project objectives

The objectives of the SFR Communications Coordinator role were to:

- improve internal communications within and between the SFR portfolio programs and projects;
- support MLA to showcase the opportunities created by SFR RD&A to improve the productivity and sustainable natural resource management of the feedbase resource; and
- through assisting MLA to enhance awareness of SFR RD&A outcomes and tools, encourage cattle and sheep producers in Australia to improve their productivity through implementation of improved practices.

3 Methodology

3.1 Project establishment meeting

A project establishment meeting was held in Sydney in February 2016. This meeting included the MLA project manager, key MLA SFR portfolio staff, the MLA Corporate Publications and Communications Manager and the contracted consultants (Macquarie Franklin and Hot Tin Roof Communications). The purpose of the meeting was to transfer relevant knowledge to the consultants, refine the scope of the project and determine the next steps.

3.2 Implementation strategy

At the commencement of the project, in collaboration with the MLA project manager, an implementation strategy (Appendix 1) was developed to provide an overarching plan to guide the implementation of the SFR Communications Coordinator role.

The implementation strategy identified:

- the scope of the role and objectives of the SFR Communications Coordinator;
- the stakeholders involved in and/or who have an interest in SFR portfolio activities;
- the purpose of communications with SFR stakeholders; and
- the broad tactics to be used to achieve the overarching communications objectives of the role.

Details of specific tactics, including how and when they would be used, were incorporated into the Communications Implementation Plan (workplan).

3.3 Workplan

A shared workplan was developed in Smartsheet. All project team members had real time access to the workplan. Every six months the workplan was reviewed in detail and adjusted to reflect the emerging tasks.

3.4 Monitoring and evaluation plan

A monitoring, evaluation and reporting (MER) plan for the SFR Communications Coordinator was developed (Appendix 2) to enable achievements resulting from the role to be recognised and attributed, enabling MLA to understand the cost-benefit of the role and to provide the information required to make a decision at the designated 'stop-go' point at the end of this contract, on the future of the role.

The MER plan also enabled adaptive management to be incorporated into project delivery by identifying and resolving any issues that may have impacted the ability of the project to meet its objectives, as the project progressed.

Underpinning the project objectives, the following key performance indicators (KPIs) were developed to clearly measure the project progress:

- 1. Project delivery tracking to workplan and budget.
- 2. A quarterly e-newsletter produced and distributed to the internal project stakeholders, with an initial 50% opening rate by respondents, which increases by 5% per annum over the life of the project.
- 3. A strategy for the development of internal stakeholder tools and resource packages to be developed ("I want to package" development strategy).
- 4. A seasonal calendar developed for the MLA Communications team, which summarises the key pasture management activities (relevant to MLA membership) by month for different regions across Australia, to enable MLA external communications to be targeted and timely. Calendar to be developed by September 2016 and reviewed annually.
- 5. A minimum of 16 story briefs provided to the MLA Communications Team each year for use in Friday feedback and Feedback Magazine (based on assuming one per Feedback Magazine, one per month for Friday Feedback), with 75% published.

3.5 Monthly project team meetings

Project team meetings were held monthly to ensure strong communication between project team members and to progress actions identified throughout the implementation phase of the project. These meetings included the MLA project manager, MLA Corporate Publications and Communications Manager, Macquarie Franklin and Hot Tin roof Communications consultants.

3.6 Annual review

An annual review was conducted in February 2017 in Sydney, where work for the previous year was reviewed and a workplan for 2017 finalised. This also involved reviewing and updating the implementation strategy and MER plan.

4 Results

4.1 February 2016 – October 2016

Progress during this period involved establishment and implementation activities, with outputs including:

- Sustainable Feedbase Communications Coordinator Implementation Strategy developed to guide the implementation of the role;
- Monitoring and evaluation plan developed to enable the impact of the Communications Coordinator role to be assessed and provide a mechanism for striving for continuous improvement;
- A risk assessment plan developed to enable project risks to be identified and mitigated;
- Connections and story briefs developed from attending the Producer Research Site Workshop in Melbourne in June 2016;
- Survey of Producer Research Site stakeholders delivered to identify their communication requirements and refine the plan for implementing effective internal communications;
- Monitoring and evaluation plan under development for MLA's broader Sustainable Feedbase Portfolio;
- 23 stories and/or story briefs submitted to the MLA communications team on R&D projects arising from MLA's Sustainable Feedbase Portfolio for inclusion in MLA's external communications activities (Appendix 1);
- Seasonal story calendar under development to assist with timing of release of feedbase related stories through MLA's external communication channels;
- Monitoring and evaluation plan template developed for the Pasture Updates program;
- Pasture Updates M&E plans for all States (Tas, Vic, NSW, SA & WA) reviewed;
- Framework developed for internal, quarterly newsletter for MLA's sustainable feedbase stakeholders "Feedbase Focus *Connecting MLA's sustainable feedbase R&D community*"; and
- Content for edition one of the internal newsletter in under development.

4.2 October 2016 – February 2017

By October 2016, the SFR Communications Coordinator was fully functional and implementing the workplan. Tasks completed during this period included:

- Undertake training in use of Traction e-newsletter software in Sydney;
- Develop content, template and branding for first edition of Feedbase Focus;
- Release of the first edition of *Feedbase Focus* (Spring 2016) to 121 recipients, achieving an opening rate of 67% and click through rate of 29%;
- Editing of Producer Research Site summary booklet for distribution to FIP Symposium participants;
- Attend FIP Symposium in Adelaide;
- Development of story briefs from attending the FIP Symposium in Adelaide, November 2016;
- Develop and implement survey of FIP symposium participants to collect stakeholder feedback on the FIP symposium and the FIP program;

- Three stories and/or story briefs submitted to the MLA communications team on R&D projects arising from MLA's Sustainable Feedbase Portfolio for inclusion in MLA's external communications activities (Appendix 2);
- Commence development of content for second edition of Feedbase Focus (Summer 2017), released 10 March 2017;
- Complete seasonal story calendar;
- Workplan for 2017 developed;
- Progress plan (status report) developed (Appendix 1); and
- Preparation for face to face meeting in Sydney on 21 February 2017.

4.3 February 2017 – October 2017

The annual review meeting was held in Sydney in February 2017, which enabled planning for 2017 project work to be finalised. Tasks completed between February and October 2017 included:

- Face-to-face meeting in Sydney with MLA on 21 February 2017;
- Workplan for 2017 approved;
- Annual update of the implementation strategy to guide implementation of the role;
- Annual update of the monitoring and evaluation plan developed to enable the impact of the Communications Coordinator role to be assessed and provide a mechanism for striving for continuous improvement;
- Regular updates and review of the risk assessment to enable project risks to be identified and mitigated;
- Development of content and release of *Feedbase Focus* edition 2 (March 2017);
- Development of content and release of *Feedbase Focus* edition 3 (May 2017);
- Development of content and release of *Feedbase Focus* edition 4 (September 2017);
- Completion of seasonal story calendar for assist MLA communications team;
- Delivery of story ideas and stories suitable for delivery through to *Feedback Magazine* and *Friday Feedback*
- Working with FIP / PRS program stakeholders to consolidate key messages from research, as well as discussing ideas about how to maximise the value of research outputs to industry and continue to improve future pasture research programs;
- Scoping of extension packages to support outputs from FIP / PRS projects;
- Scoping of novel soil health e-learning module to extend outputs form FIP / PRS projects and link to existing relevant extension products
- Development of communications plan for PVTN tool launch;
- Technical review of stories relating to PVTN tool launch;
- Strategic input and scoping to design soil health e-learning module;
- Coordinate PRS snapshots being completed;
- Attended PRS/FIP focus group meeting held in Sydney and Perth;
- Write up of focus group meeting outputs and report;
- Attend Red Meat Updates (Tasmania) and provision of story briefs to MLA communications team to progress for *Feedback Magazine* and *Friday Feedback*; and
- Support planning national workshop for the development of the feedbase RD&A portfolio extension brief.

5 Discussion

5.1 Key performance indicators

Underpinning the project objectives, the following key performance indicators (KPIs) were developed to clearly measure the project progress:

- 1. Project delivery tracking to workplan and budget.
- 2. A quarterly e-newsletter produced and distributed to the internal project stakeholders, with an initial 50% opening rate by respondents, which increases by 5% per annum over the life of the project.
- 3. A strategy for the development of internal stakeholder tools and resource packages to be developed.
- 4. A seasonal calendar developed for the MLA Communications team, which summarises the key pasture management activities (relevant to MLA membership) by month for different regions across Australia, to enable MLA external communications to be targeted and timely.
- 5. A minimum of 16 story briefs provided to the MLA Communications Team each year for use in Friday feedback and Feedback Magazine (based on assuming one per Feedback Magazine, one per month for Friday Feedback), with 75% published.

The following outlines progress made against the project KPIs.

5.1.1 **Project tracking to budget**

The workload of the SFR Communications Coordinator increased quickly after the establishment phase was complete. This resulted in many tasks being completed, as detailed in the workplan, as well as many other tasks that arose during the life of the project. The ability of the role to be flexible and address tasks as arose provided critical support to the MLA project manager and resulted in considerable outputs being delivered.

The project team engaged closely with the MLA project manager and provided regular updates on workplan and budget tracking throughout the project. Due to the timing and extent of tasks that emerged during the project, the budget allocated to the role was exhausted in October 2017.

A number of tasks that would benefit from specialist technical communication and extension skills will continue to arise in the coming months, as the outputs from the FIP are finalised and extended to the MLA membership.

5.1.2 Quarterly e-newsletter

Four *Feedbase Focus* newsletters were released between October 2016 and October 2017.

The impact of the newsletter has been monitored using newsletter analytics to enable continuous improvement and engagement with the target audience. Metrics have included newsletter opening rates, click rates, unique page views and average time spent on page. There has been considerable variation in "success" on a story-by-story basis; with stories directly about pasture research rating highest, and less interest in other topics or where the focus is on extension.

The newsletter database is top heavy with pasture researchers, which may explain the higher opening rates of pasture-related articles. However, the aim of the newsletter was to improve communication across the SFR portfolio and between the stakeholders delivering RD&E in this space. Understanding the composition of the target audience and the "hooks" to get them interested in a broader range of stories has been important.

The newsletter is currently delivered to 452 recipients. Average open rates for the newsletter are sitting around 60% and the click rate since the first edition has risen steadily from 30.5% to 33.3%. While average time spent on each page varies according to topic and word length, articles of particular interest are engaging readers for up to five minutes. Newsletter analytics are provided in Appendix 3.

An effort has been made to keep articles to an upper limit of around 700 words and each edition of the newsletter has been developed according to feedback gained from the previous edition, while maintaining the overall objective of the approach to build a stronger network of communication among the feedbase research community.

5.1.3 Strategy for the development of tools and resource packages

The SFR Communications Coordinator was involved in the development and running of two focus groups held in June 2017 in Sydney and Perth, where groups of stakeholders from PRS/FIP projects came together to capture the project outputs and plan for the next step in extending the outputs to red meat producers. During 2017, each State has harvested information about the learnings and extension needs arising from the FIP/PRS program.

Technical advice has been provided by the SFR Communications Coordinator to a number of extension resources being developed, include an e-learning platform for soil productivity resources, input to project fact sheets and review of the PVTN website.

A national workshop is under development for November 2017. This next step is to review the information collected and develop a national plan (extension brief) for how we best respond to the needs and ideas for extending outputs from the FIP program to producers and advisers.

The extension brief will identify the next steps: product development requirements, packaging of resources and how these will integrate into MLA's extension and adoption programs.

There will be a role for the SFR Communications Coordinator (or equivalent) in developing and coordinating the feedbase extension brief.

5.1.4 Seasonal calendar

A seasonal calendar to guide the release of communications to MLA members was developed and provided to the MLA Communications Team in early 2017. This included separate calendars for the southern and northern feedbase systems.

5.1.5 Story briefs provided to the MLA Communications Team

During the tenure of the SFR Communications Coordinator, the profile of the SFR portfolio has been advanced, through regular stories being identified and run in MLA's communication channels with producers.

While the role of the Communication Coordinator has not been to conduct external communications, it has had a key role in ensuring outputs and interesting stories from the SFR portfolio have been identified for communicating to MLA's membership via the MLA communications team or extension products.

A total of 29 stories and story briefs arising from SFR portfolio activities have been developed and provided to the MLA communications team (Appendix 4). Many of these have been published, or are scheduled for inclusion, in *Friday Feedback* and *Feedback Magazine*. To date the success rate for stories provides is around 70%, however a number of briefs have only recently been provided and are likely to be published in in *Friday Feedback* and *Feedback Magazine* during the coming months.

Three stories developed specifically for *Feedbase Focus* have also been disseminated through Friday Feedback and in each case have received more than 300 page views.

6 Conclusions/recommendations

The purpose of the Sustainable Feedbase Communication Coordinator role has been to work with Meat and Livestock Australia's (MLA) Sustainable Feedbase Resources (SFR) team to develop and implement internal communications strategies for research, development and adoption (RD&A) activities within the team's portfolio.

The results from implementing the SFR Communications Coordinator have enabled significant progress towards the objectives of the role, however there is continued opportunity to build on this and optimise the outcomes to industry.

With the Feedbase Investment Plan portfolio wrapping up, there will be a need for the SFR Communications Coordinator (or equivalent) to drive the development and coordinate the implementation of the feedbase extension brief over the next 18 months.

There are also new feedbase projects coming online and there is a need to continue the communications within this RD&A community. Feedbase Focus is now an established communication channel, specifically for this very targeted audience, which have very different information needs to MLA's producer oriented communication channels.

Feedbase throughout the project, form producers and researchers, is that there is mutual appreciation and value in MLA facilitating national events for networking and information sharing, relevant to the feedbase RD&A portfolio. There is opportunity to run a national event in 2018 to showcase outputs from the extension brief's implementation, find out about new feedbase projects, network to enable future collaborations between stakeholders and directly connect producers and researchers who have the same goal – a more profitable feedbase.

7 Appendix

7.1 Appendix 1: Implementation strategy



Meat & Livestock Australia

SFR Communications Coordinator Implementation Strategy

May 2016



Hot Tin oof communications

Document status: Final

| Date | lssue number | Purpose of revision | Reviewed by | Authorised by |
|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------|
| 27/2/2016 | DRAFT v1 | Develop draft plan | CN, MR, LS | MR |
| 16/5/16 | DRAFT v2 | Revise draft plan | CN, MR, LS | MR |
| 11/7/2016 | Final | Finalise draft | CN, MR | MR |
| | | | | |

.)



i

Contents

| Bac | kgro | ound1 |
|-----|--|---|
| 1.1 | Sco | pe for the MLA Sustainable Feedbase Resources Communications Coordinator role1 |
| 1.2 | Rol | e objectives1 |
| Imp | olem | entation Strategy2 |
| 2.1 | Imp | plementation Strategy Purpose2 |
| 2.2 | Imp | plementation Strategy Objectives2 |
| 2.3 | Imp | plementation Strategy Tools and Tactics2 |
| 2.3 | 3.1 | Internal Stakeholder Tools2 |
| 2.3 | 3.2 | External Stakeholder Tools4 |
| Pro | ject | stakeholders (target audiences)5 |
| 3.1 | Sta | keholder groups5 |
| 3.2 | Cor | nmunications objectives and tactics7 |
| 3.3 | Cor | nmunications Coordinator implementation11 |
| 3.3 | 3.1 | Role identity11 |
| 3.3 | 3.2 | Implementation11 |
| Арр | bend | lices |
| Ap | pen | dix 1: Sustainable Feedbase Resources portfolio schematic12 |
| | | dix 2: Sustainable Feedbase Resources portfolio program overview and Communications nator involvement |
| | | dix 3: Stakeholder contact details |
| | 1.1 1.2 1mp 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.3 2.3 2.3 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.3 3.3 4pp App Co | 1.1 Scalar 12 Rol Implem Imp 2.1 Imp 2.2 Imp 2.3 Imp 2.3 Imp 2.3 Imp 2.3 Imp 2.3 Imp 3.1 Stalar 3.2 Corr 3.3 Corr 3.3.2 Append Appen Coordi |

Table index

| Table 3.1 Key stakeholder groups targeted by the SFR Communications coordinator Implementation | |
|--|---|
| Strategy and their requirements5 | , |
| Table 3.2 Objectives of communications required for different stakeholder groups | ' |

.)



ii

1 Background

1.1 Scope for the MLA Sustainable Feedbase Resources Communications Coordinator role

The purpose of this role is to work with Meat and Livestock Australia's (MLA) Sustainable Feedbase Resources (SFR) team to develop and implement internal communications strategies for research, development and adoption (RD&A) activities within the team's portfolio (see Appendices 1 and 2).

1.2 Role objectives

The objectives of the SFR Communications Coordinator role are to:

- improve internal communications within and between the SFR portfolio programs and projects;
- support MLA to showcase the opportunities created by SFR RD&A to improve the productivity and sustainable natural resource management of the feedbase resource; and
- through assisting MLA to enhance awareness of SFR RD&A outcomes and tools, encourage cattle and sheep producers in Australia to improve their productivity through implementation of improved practices.



2 Implementation Strategy

2.1 Implementation Strategy Purpose

The purpose of this Implementation Strategy is to provide an overarching plan to guide the implementation of the SFR Communications Coordinator role.

The Implementation Strategy identifies:

- 1. the scope of the role and objectives of the SFR Communications Coordinator
- 2. the stakeholders involved in and/or who have an interest in SFR portfolio activities
- 3. the purpose of communications with SFR stakeholders and
- 4. the broad tactics to be used to achieve the overarching communications objectives of the role.

Details of specific tactics, including how and when they will be used, will be incorporated into the Communications Implementation Plan (workplan).

2.2 Implementation Strategy Objectives

The objectives of this Implementation Strategy are to:

- support the effective implementation of the SFR Communications Coordinator role; and
- manage risks where identified.

The Implementation Strategy identifies the purpose for communication with the following stakeholder groups across the MLA SFR portfolio:

- Internal stakeholders (direct communication):
 - MLA management
 - o internal MLA SFR portfolio managers
 - o MLA communications team
 - SFR portfolio project partner communications teams
 - o SFR portfolio project team leaders and researchers
 - External stakeholders (communication support role)
 - red meat producers
 - industry influencers (e.g. external researchers, extension service providers, advisors, agronomists, state departments, retailers, resellers and seed and fertiliser companies).

2.3 Implementation Strategy Tools and Tactics

The tools that will be used to achieve the objectives of this Implementation Strategy are referred to in Table 3.2 and can be separated into 'internal stakeholder tools' and 'external stakeholder tools'.

2.3.1 Internal Stakeholder Tools

Phone calls, emails and face-to-face meetings: these standard communication tools will be used to conduct regular formal project team meetings, provide information regarding project progress and





to manage any issues as they arise. Project team members will also communicate informally by phone, email and face-to-face as required to ensure the smooth implementation of project tasks.

The SFR Communications Coordinator will also carry out regular content planning meetings with the MLA communications team, SFR portfolio project partner communications teams and SFR portfolio project team leaders and researchers by phone, email and, when the opportunity arises, face to face. The purpose of these meetings will be to identify potential content for MLA Communications channels such as, *Feedback Magazine*, Friday Feedback, MLA social media channels, Pasture Updates and Red Meat Updates.

Milestone reports: formal milestone reports will be used, as required by the contract, to deliver formal progress reports on the project and performance against agreed objectives.

Dropbox: a 'Dropbox' folder will be used to file all project documentation and artwork associated with the project and will be accessible by all project team members.

Smartsheet: an online 'Smartsheet' workplan will be used to drive the implementation of the Implementation Strategy and will be accessible by all project team members. The project smartsheet will outline tasks, timeframes and responsibilities for individual team members throughout the life of the project and will be updated as required.

Monitoring and evaluation: A monitoring, evaluation and reporting plan (MER) plan for the project will be developed. Implementation tasks will be incorporated in the Smartsheet workplan, to ensure seamless integration of M&E activities with other project activities.

Seasonal SFR calendar: a seasonally-relevant calendar of common on-farm feedbase-related activities and challenges will be developed to help the project team work with the MLA communications team to identify timely development and release of SFR stories.

MLA pasture symposium: The national pasture symposium will provide an opportunity and forum, in the initial project stages, to introduce the SFR Communications Coordinator role and objectives and to gather feedback from the SFR portfolio project team leaders and researchers on the proposed communications approaches outlined in Table 3.2. The Symposium also will provide a key forum for the SFR Communications Coordinator team to source potential story ideas and better understand the SFR projects portfolio.

Email updates: a purpose-built email update template will provide a forum through which the SFR Communications Coordinator team can provide regular updates to the broad base of internal SFR stakeholders about current activities, achievements, team member profiles, tools and resources across the SFR portfolio. The updates will provide a forum for communication between research teams about challenges or successes they are having with their projects or allow them to showcase ideas and innovations that could be of benefit to other project teams. Each item included in the update will be limited to an introductory paragraph, which may contain a single image if relevant. The full story will then be housed on the SFR portfolio online communications hub. This approach





will ensure readers can quickly identify stories of interest, without having to trawl through long emails.

The email update will be developed using an online platform (Traction) that will facilitate immediate M&E for the project team to identify what information is of particular interest to internal stakeholders. This kind of feedback effectively enables a two-way 'conversation' and will allow the SFR Communications Coordinator to maintain the relevance and interest of this communication tool to meet SFR internal stakeholder needs.

2.3.2 External Stakeholder Tools

MLA external communications channels: The SFR Communications Coordinator will work with the MLA communications team, SFR portfolio project partner communications teams and SFR portfolio project team leaders and researchers to identify relevant content from the SFR portfolio projects for delivery through: *Feedback Magazine*, Friday Feedback, MLA social media channels, MLA Pasture Updates and Red Meat Updates.

The role of the SFR Communications Coordinator will be identify projects that have relevant information to communicate and prepare a story brief (key points, contact details for writers to follow up and indication of appropriate release timing to target audience). The briefs will be supplied to the MLA Communications Team to follow up and develop content for external communications.

Relevant industry communications channels: The SFR Communications Coordinator will work with SFR portfolio project team leaders and researchers, and industry networks to identify relevant content for a range of industry communications channels, such as regional and national Grasslands Society newsletters.



MACQUARIE FRANKLIN

3 Project stakeholders (target audiences)

3.1 Stakeholder groups

Table 3.1 outlines the key stakeholder groups covered by this Strategy and their respective communication responsibilities in relation to SFR Communications Coordinator role.

Table 3.1 Key stakeholder groups targeted by the SFR Communications coordinator Implementation Strategy and their requirements

| Stakeholder group | Organisations | Key personnel | Communication responsibilities | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Project team | | | | | | | |
| Project management | Macquarie Franklin MLA | Mel Rae (MF) Irene Sobotta (MLA) | Manage work plan implementation Project progress against objectives | | | | |
| Project team | Macquarie Franklin MLA | Mel Rae (MF) Leanne Sherriff (MF) Cat Nicholls (HTR) Irene Sobotta (MLA) Cameron Allan (MLA) Tom Davison (MLA) | Work plan development Project progress against objectives Input into development of Implementation strategy and Implementation plan | | | | |
| Internal stakeholder | Internal stakeholders | | | | | | |
| MLA management | MLA | MLA Board/CEO | Awareness of SFR Portfolio progress and achievements | | | | |
| MLA communications | MLA | Sharon McGovern, Communications Manager (MLA) | Regular content from SFR portfolio programs for delivery through key MLA external communications channels (e.g. Feedback magazine, Friday Feedback, and social media) | | | | |
| SFR portfolio project partner communications | IA CRC | lan McDonald Communications Manager (IA CRC) | Provide conduit between IA and MLA Communications team | | | | |
| teams | MCV: EConnect | Jenni Metcalf | Provide conduit between MCV and MLA Communications team | | | | |
| SFR portfolio project team leaders and researchers | eaders and contacts see Appe contact list) | | Provide project snapshots and progress reports Encourage communication and collaboration between project | | | | |

Χ.





| Stakeholder group | Organisations | Key personnel | Communication responsibilities |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|
| | | | teams |
| External stakeholder | 'S | | |
| Red meat producers | Sheep and cattle Australia | producers across | Increase awareness of SFR projects, research progress, outcomes and available management tools |
| Key influencers | Extension service providers, but could also include agents, advisors, agronomists, state departments, retailers, resellers and seed and fertiliser companies | | Increase awareness of SFR projects, research progress, outcomes and available management tools |
| Researchers | investments acros | volved in research ss the Sustainable ce portfolio but have an | Increase awareness of SFR projects, research progress and outcomes |



.)

3.2 Communications objectives and tactics

The purpose of communications within and between different MLA SFR stakeholder groups and projects vary (see Appendix 2). It is important to identify and consider the needs of these different stakeholder groups and projects when planning the most effective communication tactics to use and how to achieve the required objectives.

Table 3.2 Objectives of communications required for different stakeholder groups

| Stakeholder group | What do they want to know | What do we want them to do | Key tactics and tools |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|
| Project manage | ment stakeholders | | |
| Project management | Work plan has been developed and agreed to, key tasks are prioritised Progress against agreed work plan to ensure efficient and effective delivery of role outputs Risks are identified, communicated and managed. | With input from the project team, set realistic and achievable role objectives Deliver timely feedback and support to the role as required | Phone meetings (fortnightly) Email /phone review and input (a required) Milestone reporting (quarterly) Face-to-face meetings (at key decision points, as per work plan) Shared Dropbox with project resources and outputs Shared Smartsheet for project planning and tracking progress MER reporting |
| Project team | Role alignment and linkages with range of MLA SFR portfolio / strategies Connections and networks with other relevant stakeholders Updates on and outcomes from SFR portfolio programs / projects are being communicated to | Engage key project team members for input and expertise Deliver timely feedback and support to the role as required | Regular phone meetings Email/phone review and input (as required) Face-to-face meetings (at key decision points as per work plan) Shared Dropbox with project resources and outputs Shared Smartsheet for project |

MLA Sustainable Feedbase Resources Communications Coordinator Communications Strategy

| Stakeholder group | What do they want to know | What do we want them to do | Key tactics and tools |
|---|--|---|--|
| | internal and external stakeholders | | planning and tracking progressMER reporting |
| Internal stakehole | lers | | |
| MLA management | Progress and achievements of RD&A covered under the SFR portfolio | Be aware of and understand the impact and contribution of the SFR portfolio towards MLA's organisational goals. | Profile of SFR RD&A progress and achievements in key internal and external communications channels Delivery of M&E at key project stages |
| MLA communications | Key messages arising from SFR portfolio projects Seasonally-relevant SFR topics for MLA communications Relevant timing to release SFR stories Key contacts and focus for story content MLA tools and resources relevant to the story topic/content | Deliver key SFR messages in a timely manner through Feedback magazine Friday Feedback MLA Social media channels MLA Events (e.g. Pasture Updates) Provide resources for story development and delivery | Develop seasonal SFR calendar across key production regions Participation in content planning sessions Regular phone hook-ups with MLA Communications Manager (monthly Inclusion in internal communications network |
| SFR portfolio project partner communications teams | Opportunities to extend key project messages through MLA communication delivery channels in a timely manner | Provide key project messages through MLA communication channels in a timely manner Provide key contacts and focus for story content | Establish relationships with SFR portfolio project partner communications teams Regular phone hook-ups to discuss potential stories |
| SFR portfolio project team leaders and researchers | Brief overview of current SFR portfolio projects Snapshots and key responsibilities of SFR portfolio project teams | Share knowledge and experience between SFR portfolio projects Understand how individual | Build an interactive network of SFR portfolio project teams through: Email update Annual FIP symposium |



| Stakeholder group | What do they want to know | What do we want them to do | Key tactics and tools |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|
| 0 | Progress and key outcomes from SFR portfolio projects | projects fit within the larger SFR portfolio | Regular interaction with key project leaders and personnel |
| External stakeho | lders | | |
| Red meat producers | Where MLA levies are being invested in feedbase-related RD&A Progress being made with RD&A What information and tools are available to help them increase profitability or sustainable feedbase resource management How other producers are boosting productivity or better managing natural resources using the information and tools developed through MLA RD&A | Be aware of MLA-funded RD&A and how it might apply to their farming system Be aware of key research outputs, information and tools that can be used to improve productivity or management of natural resources Employ the outcomes and tools from MLA-funded RD&A under the SFR Portfolio | Deliver key SFR portfolio RD&A progress and outcomes to MLA communications team for dissemination through MLA externa communications channels Work with MLA communications team and SFR Portfolio program leaders to plan timing / key messages of external communications (relating to SFR portfolio) to achieve maximum impact |
| Key influencers | What progress is being made with RD&A What information and tools are available to help red meat producers increase profitability or better manage natural resources How to use information and tools to support producers | Be aware of MLA-funded RD&A and how it might apply to regionally-relevant farming systems Be aware of key research outputs, information and tools that producers and advisors can use to improve productivity or natural resource management Use and/or recommend to producers that they use key research outputs, information | Deliver key SFR portfolio RD&A progress and outcomes to MLA communications team for dissemination through MLA externa communications channels Work with MLA communications team and SFR Portfolio program leaders to plan timing / key messages of external communications (relating to SFR portfolio) to achieve maximum impact |

MLA Sustainable Feedbase Resources Communications Coordinator Communications Strategy

| Stakeholder group | What do they want to know | What do we want them to do | Key tactics and tools |
|----------------------|---|--|---|
| | | and tools to improve their productivity and sustainability. | |
| Other researchers | What progress is being made with RD&A under the SFR portfolio What research is being carried out that has relevance for their own research What outcomes are being achieved that may have relevance for their own research. | Liaise with SFR portfolio project team leaders and researchers to identify opportunities and synergies across the SFR area | Build an interactive network of SFR portfolio project teams through: Email update FIP symposium (potentially) |

3.3 Communications Coordinator implementation

3.3.1 Role identity

A consistent identity is required for all communications activities delivered through the SFR Communications Coordinator role, particularly where engaging with stakeholder groups outside of the project team.

All communications delivered through this role will require a consistent look and feel, for example email updates, email signatures, templates for collecting project profiles and story content.

3.3.2 Implementation

Given the breadth of projects that fall within the SFR portfolio and the differing approaches required for internal and external communications, refer to the Implementation Plan (workplan) for further details on delivery of the Implementation Strategy.



4 Appendices

Appendix 1: Sustainable Feedbase Resources portfolio schematic

Sustainable Feedbase Resource Portfolio



12

MLA Sustainable Feedbase Resources Communications Coordinator Communications Strategy

Appendix 2: Sustainable Feedbase Resources portfolio program overview and Communications Coordinator involvement

| SFR portfolio area: Natural Resource Management | Project | Lead Project organisation partners | 1000 | Communications lead | Phase of project • Early project • Mid project | SFR Communications Coordinator role | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|---------------------|----------|
| | | | | | Mature project Completed | Internal (within pillar/ program area) | Across portfolio | External |
| Weeds | Silverleaf nightshade | | | | | | | |
| | B.WEE.0141 Silverleaf nightshade — improving the BMP | MLA | Landcare, local government | SFR coordinator | | × | ~ | ~~ |
| | Biocontrol | | | | | | | |
| | WBC.0001-9 Weed Biocontrol project (a Rural R&D for Profit project) | MLA | Multiple agencies | SFR coordinator | | ~ | ~ | ~~ |
| | NBP.0622 Rubber bush management | | | | • | Not a foo | us for the SFR r | ole |
| | NBP.0638 Prickly acacia biocontrol | | | | • | | | ~ |
| | NBP.0656 Parkinsonia bioherbicide | | | | • (awaiting final report) | | | |
| | WEE.0134 Breed and release of Parkinsonia agents across Qld, NT and WA | | | | | | | v |
| | WEE.0146 Low / non chemical approaches weed control | | MLA GRDC | SFR coordinator | • | | | ~ |
| | WEE.0147 Host specificity testing bellyache bush agents | | | | | | | ~ |
| Feral animals | Dogs/rabbits/pigs | IA CRC | IA CRC | SFR coordinator | | x | x | ~ |
| Soil and water | ERM.0105 Wambiana project | MLA | QDAFF | SFR coordinator | | ~ | ~ | ~~ |
| | ERM.0213 Dung beetle importation | | | | • | | | |

mla

| | project | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---|---|
| | ERM.0214 Dung beetle field rearing and establishment | | SFR coordinator | • (awaiting final report) | | 4 |
| Fire | ERM.0094 Rangelands investment consultation, planning — and RD&E plan | | | • | | |
| | NBP.0755 Fire x grazing RD&E investment plan | | | | | |
| Biodiversity | B.AHE.0303 Wallaby project | | SFR coordinator | | - | |
| | B.ERM.0088 Biodiversity at Wambiana | | | • | | |
| Environmental stewardship | Under development | | MLA comms | • | | |
| Across portfolio | ERM.0098 NRM Spatial Hub | CRC Spatial Information | | | | |

mla

SFR Portfolio area: limate change Project

Lead Project Communications Phase of project SFR Communications Coordinator role organisation partners lead Early project Internal Across External • Mid project (within pillar/

MLA Sustainable Feedbase Resources Communications Coordinator

| | | | _ | | Completed | program area, | | |
|---------------------|---|---------------|-------------------|----------|-----------|---------------|----|-----|
| Mitigation | National Livestock Methane Program (NLMP) | MLA | Multiple agencies | MLA | • | x | x | ~ |
| | Reducing Emissions from Livestock Research Program (RELRP) | | | | • | x | x | × |
| | Climate Clever Beef | | | - | • | | | |
| Adaptation | Northern adaptation project | MLA | | MLA | | | | |
| | SLA2030 Southern Livestock Adaptation project | MLA | | Maybe | | | | |
| | Managing Climate Variability (MCV) | Multiple RDCs | Multiple RDCs | EConnect | | x | 44 | × . |
| Life cycle analysis | Beef and Lamb to the USA | | | MLA | • | | | |
| | Northern Beef | | | MLA | • | | | |
| | Grass fed | | | MLA | • | | | |
| | 30 year trend study | | | MLA | | | | |



14

Communications Strategy

| SFR Portfolio area: Pasture and feedbase | Project | Lead organisation | Project partners | Communications lead | Phase of project Early project | SFR Communications Coordinator role | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--|--|---------------------|----------|
| | | | | | Mid project Mature project Completed | Internal (within pillar/ program area) | Across portfolio | External |
| Pasture breeding and evaluat | | | | | | | | |
| Pre-breeding | PBE.0037 (legumes) | MLA | DAFWA/UWA | SFR Coordinator | • | - | 1 | 1 |
| | PBE.0038 (phalaris) | | | • | | | | |
| Variety trials | Pasture Variety Trial Network (PVTN) | MLA | 5 | SFR Coordinator | | 1 | ~ | 11 |
| | P.PSH.0687 Pasture Trial Network | MLA | Seed companies | SFR Coordinator | | × | ~ | ~~ |
| Mixed sward evaluation | Pasture establishment and persistence | | | | | | | |
| Novel species | PBE.0027 Tedera | | SeedNet | MLA?? | • | ~ | ~ | × |
| Productive sustainable pastur | res (FIP pillar two) | | | | 115 3 | 1908 | 784 | |
| Optimising phosphorus supply to pastures | PUE.0102 013 104 105 Nutrient use efficiency | | | SFR coordinator | Only 0104 is current | | | |
| | Phosphorus-efficient legume pasture systems | | | SFR coordinator | - | | | |
| | An assessment and benchmarking of phosphorus | | | | - | | | |
| Agronomy packages and companion species | PSP.0001 Tropical pastures in NSW and WA | | | SFR coordinator | • | × | ~ | ~ |
| | Biserulla etc, estab, management and utilisation | | | SFR coordinator | | | | |
| Optimising nitrogen supply | B.PSP.0013 Pasture legumes in mixed farming zones of WA and NSW | MLA/AW/Murdoch Uni | | SFR coordinator | • | ~~ | ~~ | ~~ |
| Establishment costs | Reducing the cost of pasture establishment | | | SFR coordinator | | | | |
| Healthy soils (see NRM area of SFR portfolio) | | | | | | | | |
| Pasture health | | | | | | | | |
| Pasture health review | | | 2 | | | | | |
| Managing soil-borne disease in sub-clover pastures | PSP.0005 Root disease in legumes | | UWA | SFR coordinator | • | 11 | ~~ | 11 |

MLA Sustainable Feedbase Resources Communications Coordinator Communications Strategy

| Grazing management and p | roduction systems (FIP pillar three) | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|-----|---------------|-----------------------------------|---|----|----|----|
| Precision technology | Potential for information technologies to improve decision making for the southern livestock industries Precision Ag fertiliser project | | | | | | | |
| 2 | GSM.0010 Biomass estimation | MLA | | SFR coordinator | • | 11 | ~~ | 44 |
| Livestock system design | EverGraze | MLA | Multiple RDCs | SFR coordinator to some extent | • | | | ~~ |
| Integrating feed sources | Enrich | MLA | Multiple RDCs | | • | | | ~ |
| Dual purpose crops | GSM.0008 Grazing crops NSW and Vic | | | SFR coordinator | • | Ý | ~ | ~~ |

mla

7.2 Appendix 2: MER plan



MONITORING, EVALUATION AND REPORTING PLAN

Sustainable Feedbase Resources Portfolio – Communications Coordinator Role

March 2017





Consultants for business, agriculture and environment

Macquarie Franklin Administration Office 112 Wright Street | East Devonport | Tasmania | 7310 Phone: 03 6427 5300 | Fax: 03 6427 0876 | Email: info@macfrank.com.au Web: www.macquariefranklin.com.au

Report author:

Leanne Sherriff, Mel Rae and Cat Nichols

Document status:

Final v1

| Date | Status /Issue number | Reviewed by | Authorised by | Transmission method |
|-----------|----------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| 4/8/16 | V1.1 | M Rae, C Nichols | L Sherriff | email |
| 9/8/16 | V1.2 | M Rae, C Nichols | L Sherriff | email |
| 26/8/16 | V1.3 | I Sobotta | M Rae | email |
| 29/8/2016 | V1.4 | Project team | M Rae | email |
| 22/9/2016 | Final v1 | I Sobotta | M Rae | email |
| 17/3/2017 | Final v2 | M Rae | M Rae | email |

This report has been prepared in accordance with the scope of services described in the contract or agreement between Macquarie Franklin and the Client. Any findings, conclusions or recommendations only apply to the aforementioned circumstances and no greater reliance should be assumed or drawn by the Client. Furthermore, the report has been prepared solely for use by the Client and Macquarie Franklin accepts no responsibility for its use by other parties.



i

Contents

| 1 | Intro | pduction | L |
|----|----------|--|---|
| 2 | Back | ground1 | L |
| | 2.1 | Scope for the MLA Sustainable Feedbase Resources Communications Coordinator role | L |
| | 2.2 | Role objectives | L |
| 3 | Mor | itoring, evaluation and reporting plan | 2 |
| | 3.1 | Purpose | 2 |
| | 3.2 | Key Performance Indicators | 2 |
| | 3.3 | Stakeholders | 3 |
| 4 | Mor | itoring and evaluation plan | 3 |
| Ta | able in | dex | |
| Та | ible 1 C | bjectives of communications required for different stakeholder groups | 3 |
| | | FR Communications Coordinator MER Plan | |



ii

1 Introduction

Effective monitoring, evaluation and reporting is critical for projects to stay on track, keep stakeholders informed about project progress against objectives, measure project impact and ultimately ensure the success of the project.

Evaluation enables the success of a project in meeting its objectives to be assessed, and to identify and reflect upon lessons learnt. The evaluation process identifies *what* data to capture, *how* to best capture this data and what the *analysis* of the captured data will tell us about the project. A monitoring and evaluation plan can also identify mechanisms that enable this information to be acted upon and used to improve the delivery outcomes of the project.

Monitoring involves setting targets and milestones throughout the project to measure progress and achievement, and to assess if the inputs (activities) are producing the planned outputs (outcomes). This will help ensure all required actions are proceeding as planned and budgeted, and they are achieving the desired project objectives.

This document presents the monitoring, evaluation and reporting (MER) plan for the role of Communications Coordinator for the Sustainable Feedbase Resources portfolio within MLA. It is directly linked with the *MLA Sustainable Feedbase Resources Communications Coordinator Implementation Strategy V2 (May 2016)*.

2 Background

2.1 Scope for the MLA Sustainable Feedbase Resources Communications Coordinator role

The purpose of this role is to work with Meat and Livestock Australia's (MLA) Sustainable Feedbase Resources (SFR) team to develop and implement internal communications strategies for research, development and adoption (RD&A) activities within the team's portfolio. Refer to the *MLA Sustainable Feedbase Resources Communications Coordinator Implementation Strategy V2 (May 2016)* for more information.

2.2 Role objectives

The objectives of the SFR Communications Coordinator role are to:

- improve internal communications within and between the SFR portfolio programs and projects;
- support MLA to showcase the opportunities created by SFR RD&A to improve the productivity and sustainable natural resource management of the feedbase resource; and
- through assisting MLA to enhance awareness of SFR RD&A outcomes and tools, encourage cattle and sheep producers in Australia to improve their productivity through implementation of improved practices.



3 Monitoring, evaluation and reporting plan

3.1 Purpose

The purpose of the SFR Communications Coordinator MER Plan is to enable achievements resulting from the role to be recognised and attributed, enabling MLA to understand the cost-benefit of the role and to provide the information required to make a decision at the designated "stop-go" point in February 2018, on the future of the role. This MER plan will also enable adaptive management to be incorporated into project delivery by identifying and resolving any issues that may be impacting the ability of the project to meet its objectives, as the project progresses.

3.2 Key Performance Indicators

Underpinning the project objectives, the following key performance indicators (KPIs) have been developed to clearly measure the project progress:

- 1. Project delivery tracking to workplan and budget.
- A quarterly e-newsletter produced and distributed to the internal project stakeholders, with an initial 50% opening rate by respondents, which increases by 5% per annum over the life of the project.
- 3. A strategy for the development of internal stakeholder tools and resource packages to be developed ("I want to package" development strategy).
- 4. A seasonal calendar developed for the MLA Communications team, which summarises the key pasture management activities (relevant to MLA membership) by month for different regions across Australia, to enable MLA external communications to be targeted and timely. Calendar to be developed by September 2016 and reviewed annually.
- A minimum of 16 story briefs provided to the MLA Communications Team each year for use in Friday feedback and Feedback Magazine (based on assuming one per Feedback Magazine, one per month for Friday Feedback), with 75% published.

* KPI 3 relates directly to KPIs 4.2.1.1 and 4.2.2.1 listed in the MLA Strategic Plan (the tasks relevant to the Communications Coordinator MER Plan are underlined):

4.2.1.1 Pasture productivity: northern Australia

- a. Pasture options developed and delivered that increase pasture productivity and persistence b. Guidelines packaged to increase utilisation of northern pastures
- 4.2.2.1 Pasture productivity: southern Australia

Pasture management options developed and delivered that increase pasture productivity and persistence

- a. Cost of production reduced by <u>developing and delivering quidelines</u> for increasing efficiency of input resources
 - b. Guidelines packaged to increase utilisation of southern pastures





3.3 Stakeholders

There are a number of stakeholders with significant roles in this project who will expect the project to deliver on its objectives.

Table 1 Objectives of communications required for different stakeholder groups

| Stakeholder group | What do they want to know | What do we want them to do | Key tactics and tools |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|
| Project manage | ment stakeholders | | |
| Project management | Work plan has been developed and agreed to, key tasks are prioritised Progress against agreed work plan to ensure efficient and effective delivery of role outputs Risks are identified, communicated and managed. | With input from the project team, set realistic and achievable role objectives Deliver timely feedback and support to the role as required | Phone meetings (fortnightly) Email /phone review and input (a: required) Milestone reporting (quarterly) Face-to-face meetings (at key decision points, as per work plan) Shared Dropbox with project resources and outputs Shared Smartsheet for project planning and tracking progress MER evaluation and reporting, and adoption of continuous improvement |
| Project team | Role alignment and linkages with range of MLA SFR portfolio / strategies Connections and networks with other relevant stakeholders Updates on and outcomes from SFR portfolio programs / projects | Engage key project team members for input and expertise Deliver timely feedback and support to the role as required Provide evaluation data, as outlined in MER plan | Regular phone meetings Email/phone review and input (as required) Face-to-face meetings (at key decision points as per work plan) Shared Dropbox with project resources and outputs |

FRANKLIN

3

SFR Communications Coordinator MER Plan

| Stakeholder group | What do they want to know | What do we want them to do | Key tactics and tools |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| | are being communicated to internal and external stakeholders | | Shared Smartsheet for project planning and tracking progress Collation of MER data MER evaluation and reporting, and adoption of continuous improvement |
| Internal stakehold | ders | | |
| MLA management | Progress and achievements of RD&A covered under the SFR portfolio | Be aware of and understand the impact and contribution of the SFR portfolio towards MLA's organisational goals. | Profile of SFR RD&A progress and achievements in key internal and external communications channels Review of MER updates as part of milestone reports |
| MLA communications | Key messages arising from SFR portfolio projects Seasonally-relevant SFR topics for MLA communications Relevant timing to release SFR stories Key contacts and focus for story content MLA tools and resources relevant to the story topic/content | Deliver key SFR messages in a timely manner through Feedback magazine Friday Feedback MLA Social media channels MLA Events (e.g. Pasture Updates) Provide resources for story development and delivery Provide evaluation analytics to the project team as required | Develop seasonal SFR calendar across key production regions Regular updates from MLA communication team on content planning session outcomes. Regular phone hook-ups with MLA Communications Manager (monthly) Inclusion in internal communications network Collation of MER data from website analytics |

| Stakeholder group | What do they want to know | What do we want them to do | Key tactics and tools |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | | MER evaluation and reporting, and adoption of continuous improvement |
| SFR portfolio project team leaders and researchers | Brief overview of current SFR portfolio projects Snapshots and key responsibilities of SFR portfolio project teams Progress and key outcomes from SFR portfolio projects | Share knowledge and experience between SFR portfolio projects Understand how individual projects fit within the larger SFR portfolio Contribute to identifying key messages and outcomes from their work that can be communicated to other internal stakeholders or through MLA's external communications with members. Engage with M&E activities where required | Build an interactive network of SFR portfolio project teams through: Email update SFR portfolio online communications hub Annual FIP symposium Regular interaction with key project leaders and personnel Easy to use feedback sheets or surveys for them to complete |
| PRS producers | Activities and learnings from other PRS sites | Share their knowledge and experience with other SFR projects Share their trial experiences with other producers not directly engaged in the trial | Newsletter Networking events (e.g. PRS workshop, pasture symposium etc.) |
| External stakeho | Iders | | |
| Red meat producers | Where MLA levies are being invested in feedbase-related RD&A | Be aware of MLA-funded RD&A and how it might apply to their farming system | Deliver key SFR portfolio RD&A progress and outcomes to MLA communications team for |

5

SFR Communications Coordinator MER Plan

| Stakeholder group | What do they want to know | What do we want them to do | Key tactics and tools |
|--|---|--|--|
| | Progress being made with RD&A What information and tools are available to help producers increase profitability or sustainable feedbase resource management How other producers are boosting productivity or better managing natural resources using the information and tools developed through MLA RD&A | Be aware of key research outputs, information and tools that can be used to improve productivity or management of natural resources Employ the outcomes and tools from MLA-funded RD&A under the SFR Portfolio | dissemination through MLA external communications channels (Friday Feedback, Feedback Magazine, MLA website, MLA social media channels) • Work with MLA communications team and SFR Portfolio program leaders to plan timing / key messages of external communications (relating to SFR portfolio) to achieve maximum impact • MLA Communications team to provide MER data from Traction and website analytics |
| Key influencers & Researchers outside of SFR portfolio projects | Key influencers What progress is being made with RD&A What information and tools are available to help red meat producers increase profitability or better manage natural resources How to use information and tools to support producers Researchers | Be aware of MLA-funded RD&A and how it might apply to regionally-relevant farming systems Be aware of key research outputs, information and tools that producers and advisors can use to improve productivity or natural resource management Use and/or recommend to producers that they use key research outputs, information and tools to improve their productivity and sustainability. | Deliver key SFR portfolio RD&A progress and outcomes to MLA communications team for dissemination through MLA external communications channels (Friday Feedback, Feedback Magazine, MLA website, MLA social media channels) Work with MLA communications team and SFR Portfolio program leaders to plan timing / key messages of external communications (relating to SFR |

| Stakeholder group | What do they want to know | What do we want them to do | Key tactics and tools |
|----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|
| | What research is being carried out that has relevance for their own research What outcomes are being achieved that may have relevance for their own research. | | portfolio) to achieve maximum impact. • Pasture Symposium |


SFR Communications Coordinator MER Plan

4 Monitoring and evaluation plan

The MER plan for the SFR Communications Coordinator is presented in Table 2. It indicates the specific monitoring questions that will be used to answer key evaluation questions, the relevant indicators based on the project workplan, sources or methods used to obtain the data, and the responsibilities, timelines, budget and reporting requirements for monitoring and evaluation activities.



8

| | Ν | Aonitoring | | | E | valuation & Reportin | g |
|---|---|--|----------------|---|------------------------------------|---|--|
| КРІ | Indicator | Data source / method | Responsibility | Time- frame | Who will be involved? | Reporting (What & to who) | Timeframe |
| Project delivery tracking to greed workplan | SFR Communications Coordinator role defined and implementation strategy developed | MLA project manager approves Reviewed every six months | MR / IS | June 2016, then 6 monthly (aligning with milestone reporting) | Project team (MLA, MF, HTRC) | Report against in six monthly milestone reports | Six monthly |
| | Workplan agreed on and shared by project team | MLA project manager approves workplan Link to workplan shared with all project team members | IS MR | October 2016 Ongoing | Project team (MLA, MF, HTRC) | Report against workplan development progress at monthly team meetings | Monthly |
| | Workplan is reviewed regularly (monthly) | Track progress against tasks Workplan adjusted as required | IS/MR | Monthly | Project team (MLA, MF, HTRC) | Report against workplan delivery at project team meetings | Monthly |
| | Project risk assessment completed | MLA project manager approves risk assessment | IS | September 2016 | Project team (MLA, MF, HTRC) | Report against workplan delivery at project team meetings | Quarterly, around newsletter time |
| Project delivery tracking to greed budget | Actual budget aligns with budget available | Monthly budget update report from MF | MR | Monthly | Project team (MLA, MF, HTRC) | Report against workplan delivery at project team meetings (quarterly) | Monthly |
| quarterly e-newsletter roduced and distributed to he internal project takeholders, with an initial | 4 x e-newsletters produced per annum | First newsletter released October 2016 E-newsletters on file (online hub) | CN, MR | Quarterly | Project team (MLA, MF, HTRC) | Report against workplan delivery at project team meetings (quarterly) | Quarterly |

SFR Communications Coordinator MER Plan

| | | Monitoring | | | E | Evaluation & Reporting | | |
|--|--|---|-------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| KPI | Indicator | Data source / method | Responsibility | Time- frame | Who will be involved? | Reporting (What & to who) | Timefram | |
| 50% opening rate by respondents, which increases by 5% per annum over the life of the project | | | | | | and in six monthly progress reports to SFR Communications Coordinator contract manager | Milestone reports: 15 Feb 2017 15 August 2017 31 Jan 2018 | |
| | E-newsletters are high quality and contain relevant information | Analytics obtained following release of every e-newsletter Stakeholder feedback (e.g. interview, short survey of targeted stakeholders) at 6 & 12 months, if required | CN CN | quarterly March 2017, October 2017 | Project team (MLA, MF, HTRC) | To project team & include summary in MLA progress reports | 15 August 2017 31 Jan 2018 | |
| | E-newsletter recipients are engaged | Analytics obtained following release of every e-newsletter Register of contacts from recipients via e-newsletter Register of requests to follow up story ideas | CN CN CN | Quarterly ongoing ongoing | Project team (MLA, MF, HTRC) | To project team & include summary in MLA progress reports | 15 Feb 2017 15 Aug 201 31 Jan 2018 | |
| Strategy / plan for development of relevant internal stakeholder tools and resource packages (I want to packages). | Strategy and plan developed | Plan delivered to MLA Tools/resource packages promoted through e- newsletter Where appropriate, tools/resource packages available on MLA website (and other comms | CN CN CN/SM | April 2017 Quarterly As required | Project team (MLA, MF, HTRC) | To project team & include summary in MLA progress reports | 15 Feb 201 15 Aug 201 31 Jan 2018 | |

10

SFR Communications Coordinator MER Plan

SFR Communications Coordinator MER Plan

| Monitoring | | | | | Evaluation & Reporting | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| KPI | Indicator | Data source / method | Responsibility | Time- frame | Who will be involved? | Reporting (What & to who) | Timeframe |
| | Packages are valued and utilised by internal project | channels) for access by external stakeholders • Online access analytics available quarterly | CN | Quarterly | Project team (MLA, MF, HTRC) | To project team & include summary in MLA progress reports | 15 August 2017 31 Jan 2018 |
| | stakeholders Packages are valued and utilised by external project | Number of tools/resource packages made available on MLA website | CN | 6 monthly | Project team (MLA, MF, HTRC) | To project team & include summary in MLA progress reports | Annually 15 February 2017 |
| | stakeholders | Package uploads from MLA website reviewed quarterly Number of tools/resources packages featured at MLA- funded Pasture Updates events | Kellie P / SM IS | Quarterly Annually | | | 31 Jan 2018 |
| A seasonal calendar developed for the MLA Communications team, which summarises the key farming activities by month | Seasonal calendar produced | Seasonal calendar approved by MLA Communications team | CN/MR | October 2016 | Project team (MLA, MF, HTRC) & MLA Comms Team | To project team & include summary in MLA progress reports | 15 February 2017 |
| for different regions across Australia, to enable MLA external communications to be targeted and timely. Calendar to be developed by September 2016 and reviewed annually. | Seasonal calendar being used by MLA Communications team | Verbal feedback regarding seasonal calendar provided to project team from MLA Communications team | SM/KP | 12 months after finalising | Project team (MLA, MF, HTRC) & MLA Comms Team | To project team & include summary in MLA progress reports | 15 Aug 2017 |
| Story briefs provided to the MLA Communications Team for use in each <i>Feedback</i> magazine and <i>Friday Feedback</i> , with 75% published | 16 story briefs per year | Number of story briefs submitted to MLA Communications team | CN (in collaboration with KP) | From June 2016 onwards, Monthly | CN, MLA comms team | Include update in milestone report | Six monthly, 15 Feb 2017 15 Aug 2017 31 Jan 2018 |

MACQUARIE FRANKLIN

11

SFR Communications Coordinator MER Plan

| Monitoring | | | | Evaluation & Reporting | | g | |
|------------|---|--|----------------|---|-----------------------|---|-----------|
| КРІ | Indicator | Data source / method | Responsibility | Time- frame | Who will be involved? | Reporting (What & to who) | Timeframe |
| | | Number of story briefs used in Friday Feedback and Feedback Magazine | | | | | |
| | SFR story briefs are relevant and of interest to external stakeholders | Analytics from Friday Feedback where SFR story briefs are featured | SM | Monthly update at project team meeting | MLA comms team | Project team to gather feedback from MLA analytics as required | Monthly |

7.3 Appendix3: Newsletter analytics

| Edition one | Open rate | Click rate | | | | |
|--|------------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| | 67.7 | 30.5 | | | | |
| Story | Unique pageviews | Average time on page | Word length | Story type | Comments | Time taken to read article online |
| Sub-clover genome offers rapid gains | 42 | 1:46 | 1609 | Research update | No links to external content | |
| Phalaris transcriptome yields bright future | 37 | 2:48 | 868 | Research update | No links to external content | |
| Tips & tools for feedbase events | 33 | 1:01 | 1421 | Tips and tools | No links to external content | |
| Pasture Updates — taking the next step | 20 | 0:31 | 294 | Tips and tools | No links to external content | |
| Red Meat Updates 2016 | 15 | 3:28 | 652 | Photos and events | One link to external content | |
| Participatory research yields results | 12 | 9 | | Report download | Direct download of PRS report | |
| Refreshed EverGraze tools support better decision-making | 3 | | | Product update | Link through to EverGraze website | |
| | | | | | | |
| Producers embrace training opportunities | 325 | 3:00 | 1437 | Case study | Also delivered through Friday Feedback | |
| Edition two | Open rate | Click rate | | | | |
| | 46.9 | 31.2 | | | | |
| Story | Unique pageviews | Average time on page | Word length | Story type | Comments | Time taken to read article online |
| Getting producers excited about research | 38 | | | Research update | Few links to external content | |
| Tedera takes one step closer | 37 | | | Research update | Two links to external content | 2mins (by external contact) |
| FIP symposium | 36 | 3:54 | | Photos and presentations | Many links to external content | |
| Communicating research | 15 | | | Tips and tools | Many links to external content | |
| Conservation co-exists with profit | 13 | | | Research update | Few links to external content | |
| | 139 | | | | | |
| Alison Napier case study | | 365 | 1371 shares or | n facebook | | |
| Edition three | Open rate | Click rate | | | | |
| | . 57.10% | 33.30% | | | | |
| Story | | Average time on page | | Story type | Comments | Time taken to read article online |
| #P4Pastures | 32 | | | New project | | |
| Dual-purpose crops | 23 | | | Research update | Also delivered through Friday Feedback | |
| Profitable grazing | 21 | | | New project | | |
| Feedbase investment plan wrap-up | 19 | | | Research update | | |
| Collaborative research | 19 | | | Opinion piece | | |
| Biocontrol project exceeds expectations | 8 | | | Research update | | |
| Climatedogs | 8 | | | Project approach | | |
| Improving productivity in northern pastures | 6 | | | Journal article | | |
| Impact of climate change on mixed farming systems | 4 | Not an MLA link | | Journal article | | |
| Rabbit control | 3 | 0:52 | | Research update | | |
| | 143 | | | | | |
| Friday feedback | | | | | | |
| Dual-purpose crops | 401 | 3:35 | | | | |

7.4 Appendix 4: Summary of story briefs supplied to MLA Communications Team

MLA SFR stories and progress to 31 July 2017

Total stories published in *Feedbase Focus* (three editions): 21

Total stories published in Friday Feedback (includes story ideas supplied and stories written by Feedbase Focus team):3

Total stories published in Feedback Magazine (includes story ideas supplied and stories written by Feedbase Focus team): 11

| Story brief | Contact | Angle | Progress | End use |
|---------------------|---------------------|--|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Weed biocontrol | Various | Eight short snapshot on current MLA | Summary story | Feedback Magazine Aug/Sept |
| Snapshots x eight | | WBC projects. | developed by Kylie | 2016 |
| | | | Nicholls | |
| | | | June 2016 | |
| Legume nodulation | Belinda Hackney, | A national nodulation survey of sub- | Story brief sent to SM | Feedback Magazine Feb/Mar |
| survey | NSW LSS | clover pastures across NSW and WA | 20 July 2016 | 2017 |
| | | has revealed that more than 90% of | | |
| | | pastures surveyed had inadequate | | |
| | | nodulation, even though effective | | |
| | | rhizobia species were present in | | |
| | | many cases. | | |
| Fast track rhizobia | Sofie De Meyer, | The rapid throughput rhizobia | Story brief sent to SM | Feedback Magazine Nov/Dec |
| identification | Murdoch University | identification technique (MALDI-tof) | 20 July 2016 | 20162017 |
| | | is now commercially available in | | |
| | | Australia.11 | | |
| PRS workshop: | Belinda Hackney, | Hardseeded legumes offer increased | Story brief sent to KP | |
| project update | NSW LSS | production and fill seasonal feed gap | 16/7/16 | |
| Red Meat Updates: | Alison Napier (beef | GH Napier and son beef operation | Story brief sent to SM | Feedback Magazine Nov/Dec |
| case study | producer Tasmania) | business strategy focus | 2 August 2016 | 2016 |

| Story brief | Contact | Angle | Progress | End use |
|----------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Red Meat Updates presentation | Shane Thomson, Holbrook (veterinarian) | Maximising herd fertility | Story brief sent to SM 2 August 2016 | Friday Feedback |
| Red Meat Updates presentation | Richard Culvenor, CSIRO | Improved phalaris cultivars | Story brief sent to SM 2 August 2016 | |
| Red Meat Updates presentation | Mark Trotter, UNE | Real Time Biomass Estimation | Story brief sent to SM 2 August 2016 | |
| Red Meat Updates presentation | Basil Doonan, Macquarie Franklin | Focus of the top 20 beef producers | Story brief sent to SM 2 August 2016 | |
| Red Meat Updates case study | Jock Hughes, sheep producer Tasmania | Upskilling pasture management (Pasture Principles) | Story brief sent to SM 2 August 2016 | Feedback Magazine May/June 2017 Friday Feedback 19 May 2017 |
| Red Meat Updates summary article | Macquarie Franklin | Overview of Red Meat Updates | Story brief sent to KP 8 August 2016 | <i>Feedback Magazine</i> Aug/Sep 2016 |
| PRS workshop: project update | Paul Sanford, DAFWA | Incorporating legumes into kikuyu can boost winter feed production | Story brief sent to KP 16/9/16 | |
| PRS workshop: project update | Richard Simpson, CSIRO | Phosphorus efficient legumes | Story brief sent to KP 16/9/16 | |
| PRS workshop: project update | Kevin Smith | Victorian producers measuring phalaris persistence | Story brief sent to KP 16/9/16 | Feedback Magazine May/June 2017 |
| PRS workshop: project update | Suzanne Boschma, NSW DPI | Subtropical pastures | Story brief sent to KP 16/9/16 | Feedback Magazine Feb/Mar 2017 |
| PRS workshop: project update | Martin Barbetti, UWA | Subclover root disease | Story brief sent to KP 20/9/16 | Feedback Magazine Feb/Mar 2017 |
| Vicky Geddes case study | Annabelle Beale, Fairfax Media | Originally run in Fairfax newspapers | Sent article to Elke Hocking, GSSA | GSSA December newsletter |

| Feedbase Focus (Editio | on One) | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Sub-clover genome | Phil Nichols (DAFWA) | Genome sequence of sub-clover and impact for producers | | <i>Feedbase Focus</i> (edition One) <i>Feedback Magazine</i> Aug/Sept 2016 |
| Phalaris transcriptome | Kevin Smith | | | Feedbase Focus (Edition One) |
| Pasture updates | Update | Overview of the program | | Feedbase Focus (Edition One) |
| Red Meat Update case study | Mel Rae | Case study of successful event management | | Feedbase Focus (Edition One) |
| Tips and Tools for events | Mel Rae | As per title | | Feedbase Focus (Edition One) |
| PRS update | Various | Update for FIP symposium Nov 2017 | | <i>Feedbase Focus</i> (Edition One) FIP Symposium background reading |
| <i>EverGraze</i> website | Geoff Saul | Upgrade of EverGraze website and tools | Finished and sent to Kellie (FF) and Elke Hocking (GSSA) 22/9/16 | <i>Feedbase Focus</i> (Edition One) <i>Friday Feedback</i> GSSA newsletter |
| EverGraze case study | Andrew and Jill Stewart, sheep producers Victoria | Case study focused on LTEM, WFGS and Lambs Alive training impacts on farm | Friday Feedback 11/11/16 | Feedbase Focus (Edition One) Friday Feedback |

| Feedbase Focus (Editio | n Two) | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--|---|--|
| Tedera | Dean Revell | Update on recent seed increase and path to commercialization | Waiting for feedback from Cameron and Irene | Feedbase Focus (Edition Two) MLA Feedback Magazine May/June 2017 |
| From wow to how | Belinda Hackney | Successful approach to extension | Waiting for feedback from Belinda | <i>Feedbase Focus</i> (Edition Two) |
| Conservation coexists with profit | Lin Schwarzkopf | Wambiana biodiversity | | <i>Feedbase Focus</i> (Edition Two) |
| Communicating research | Cat Nicholls | Tips for effective communication | | Feedbase Focus (Edition Two) |
| FIP symposium | Various | FIP symposium wrap-up | | Feedbase Focus (Edition Two) |

| Feedbase Focus (Edition | on Three) | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| Collaborative research | Cameron Allan | As title suggests | <i>Feedbase Focus</i> (Edition Three) |
| Rabbit biocontrol | Tarnya Cox | Community involvement in project delivery | <i>Feedbase Focus</i> (Edition Three) |
| Parkinsonia biocontrol | Raghu Sathyamurthy | Community involvement in project delivery | <i>Feedbase Focus</i> (Edition Three) |
| Climate dogs | Graeme | | <i>Feedbase Focus</i> (Edition Three) |
| Dual-purpose crops | Andrew Moore and Shawn McGrawth | | <i>Feedbase Focus</i> (Edition Three) <i>Friday Feedback</i> <i>GSSA</i> newsletter |
| FIP wrap-up | Irene Sobotta | As title suggests | <i>Feedbase Focus</i> (Edition Three) |
| #P4Pastures project | Richard Simpson | Phosphorus efficient pastures project | <i>Feedbase Focus</i> (Edition Three) |
| Profitable Grazing Systems webinar | | | <i>Feedbase Focus</i> (Edition Three) |

| MLA Feedback Magazine (August September 2017) | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Article title | Торіс | FIP link | Researcher Producer | | | | |
| Finding a winter feed | Using legumes to boost winter production in kikuyu pastures | Sub-tropical pastures | Paul Sanford | | | | |
| boost | | (WA) | | | | | |
| Serradella success | Producer case study highlighting the use of serradella to boost | Sub-tropical pastures | Alan and Bec Hoggart, | | | | |
| | winter production of kikuyu pastures | (WA) | Condingup, WA | | | | |
| Seeking real biomass | Producer insights into tnee biomass project | Biomass estimation | Karl Andersson Brad | | | | |
| data | | | Wooldrideg Will Green | | | | |
| | | | Martin Oppneheimer | | | | |

| Story briefs supplied to M | ILA comms (Red Meat Updates — July 2017) | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---------|
| Contact | Topic focus | Progress | End use |
| Ellie Wheeler, Landfall | Family beef operation that extends along the value chain from paddock to plate (retail butchery) Business insights and multi-generational management | Sent to MLA comms 2 August 2017 | ТВА |
| Basil Doonan, Macquarie Franklin | Management triumphs over technology every time Best practice management is more important than adopting new | Sent to MLA comms 2 August 2017 | ТВА |
| | technology with sub-standard management | , (aguet 101) | |
| Charles Downie, Bothwell | Data management drives decision making across variable land classes | Sent to MLA comms 2 August 2017 | ТВА |
| Chris McQueen, Flinders Island | Simple yet effective changes can boost productivity and profitability Measure and monitor to manage | Sent to MLA comms 2 August 2017 | ТВА |
| Tom Bull, LambPro | Ewe hogget joining: Maximum of 20% of total flock joined Manage weight, days of age and growth path Manage two-year-old recovery | Sent to MLA comms 2 August 2017 | ТВА |
| James Hills, TIA | Irrigation scheduling for optimal pasture production | Sent to MLA comms 2 August 2017 | ТВА |