





Grain on Grass

Producer case study: C McGlashan

Property owner: C McGlashan Property name: Cheriton Location: Bugaldie NSW Annual rainfall: 650mm Property Size: 2,000ha Enterprise: Beef production for supermarket trade and cereal production Pasture type: Lucerne, digit and clovers Soil type: Sandy loam clay with some basalt

The Warrumbungle Mixed Farm Producer Group (WMFPG) was developed to increase the knowledge and skills of the producers involved through joint learning and knowledge sharing.

Members of the WMFPG are a group of mixed farmers grazing dual-purpose and winter crops.

There was an opportunity for these producers to utilise feeding equipment and on-farm grain stores to increase weight gain of stock grazing forage/dual-purpose crops and turn stock off more quickly, increasing carrying capacity and, therefore, profitability.

The Grain on Grass MLA Producer Demonstration Site (PDS) project was developed to demonstrate the use of supplementing grain while grazing to:

- 1. Increase liveweight gain per head per day by 20%.
- 2. Improve carrying capacity through expedited stock turn-off by 3.5%.
- 3. Showcase the utility of grain supplementation during forage crop grazing in preserving carcass quality and meeting market specifications while reducing grazing days by 13%.
- 4. Raise awareness among core producers and 25% of observers regarding the importance of feed tests in assessing feed quality.
- 5. Increase awareness among core producers about utilising carcase feedback to ensure compliance with target market specifications.
- 6. Enhance the skills of 75% of core producers in formulating supplementary feeding rations through the utilisation of feed tests.

Background

The McGlashan family grow cereal crops and produce Angus and Angus-cross steers for the domestic supermarket trade on their property near Bugaldie NSW.

Their steers are finished on lucerne, digit and clover-based pastures to a target weight of approximately 520kg.

Grain on Grass demonstration at Cheriton

The Grain on Grass demonstration at the McGlashans' 'Cheriton' station looked at supplementing Angus and Angus-cross steers grazing on lucerne pasture over winter (Figure 1).

The demonstration commenced on 17 July 2023 and concluded after approximately 60 days. The date the final weights were recorded on varied depending on when steers reached their target weight to suit the McGlashans' enterprise.

During the demonstration period, the control group received no supplement, while the treatment group were fed 8kg/hd/day of total mixed ration (wheat: 85%, hay: 10%, pellet: 5%) in a self-feeder.

The cattle were split across two lucerne paddocks, with 93 head in the control group and 59 head in the treatment group.

The control group had an average start weight of 281kg, while the treatment group had an average start weight of 454kg.

Due to the operational constraints of the demonstration site, the differences in starting weights of the control and treatment groups were unavoidable.

The McGlashans finish their heavier steers on a grain assisted ration, while the lighter steers are pasture fed until they reach approximately 420kg.

A feed test of the lucerne pasture was done on 17 July 2023, showing a metabolisable energy (ME) content of 7.8MJ/kg Dry Matter (DM) and Crude Protein (CP) of 22.1% **(Table 1)**.

The CP content was well above the weight gain requirements for the weaner stock.

However, the ME was below the requirement for weight gain.

This site utilised Optiweigh units in both groups for the collection of liveweights during the demonstration (Figure 2).

This meant they were able to get regular liveweights without having the additional time and labour component of mustering animals into the yards for weighing.

Figure 1: Angus and Angus cross steers in the treatment group on Lucerne crop



Figure 2: Optiweigh unit in paddock



Results

Increase average daily gain by 20%

The final weights for the demonstration were recorded in October 2023.

The treatment group had an average daily gain (ADG) of 1.6kg/day and the control group had an ADG of 1.0kg/day **(Table 2)**.

This was a 48% increase in ADG over the 60-day period.

However, the large difference in starting weights makes it difficult to compare weight gain on a statistical basis.

Factors to consider when using different starting weights would be the greater expected compensatory gain of lighter animals compared to heavier animals.

Improve carrying capacity and reduce grazing days

The increase in weight gain within this demonstration would allow for supplemented animals to meet market specifications by day 32 (approx.) of the current business plan of a 90-day finishing period – an average of 58 days faster than animals receiving no supplement.

Table 1: Feed test results for Lucerne

Dry & Grind inc Dry Matter & Moisture			1
	LOR	UNITS	Lucerne
Dry Matter (DM)	0.5	%	31.6
Moisture	0	%	68.4
Standard Forage Package - NIR			1
	LOR	UNITS	Lucerne
Neutral Detergent Fibre (NDF) - NIR	10	%	52
Acid Detergent Fibre (ADF) - NIR	4	%	34
Crude Protein (CP) - NIR	2	%	22.1
Inorganic Ash - NIR	3	%	10
Organic Matter (OM) - NIR		%	90
Dry Matter Digestibility (DMD) - NIR	39	%	58
DOMD - NIR	38	%	53
Calculation of Metabolisable Energy (ME) - NIR	4.3	MJ/kg DM	7.8
Water Soluble Carbohydrates (WSC) - NIR	4	%	<4.0
AFIA Hay and Silage Grade			NO GRADE

Table 2: Average daily gain and \$ gain per head per day

	Total ADG (kg)	\$/kg	\$ Gain/hd/day	\$ Gained through supplementary feeding
Control group	1.05	\$3.00	\$3.15	
Treatment Group	1.56	\$3.00	\$4.67	\$1.52

Table 3: Treatment group costs of grain and labour

Cost-benefit analysis

Results for the cost-benefit analysis are shown in the tables below.

The treatment group was recorded to have an estimated expense of \$3.27/hd/day in labour and grain costs **(Table 3)**.

This amounted to a loss per head of -\$1.75/day.

When looking at the duration of the demonstration period, a significant loss of -\$88.14 was incurred for the grainfed animals, making it hard to justify the cost of grain feeding in this instance.

Treatment group					
Total cost of ration	\$9 <i>,</i> 558				
Total cost of labour	\$90				
Cost ration + labour	\$9,648				
Cost ration + labour (per tonne)	\$405				
Cost ration + labour (per kg)	\$0.41				
Ration consumed per day (kgs)	472				
Ration consumed per head (kgs)	8				
Cost/hd/day	\$3.27				
Cost/hd/demo Period	\$163.53				

Evaluation

The results from this demonstration site showed that while it was possible to achieve a 48% increase in liveweight by supplementing with grain, it wasn't a true comparison as the start weights of each group were so different.

Animals selected for the treatment group were based on a liveweight above 380kg to be in line with the producer's commercial operation of finishing cattle to a target weight of 520kg for the domestic supermarket trade.

The high cost of the ration at \$405/t or \$0.45/kg meant that supplementing was not economically viable in this case.

The results from this demonstration site indicated that the timing of the demonstration was unsuitable and may have shown better results if conducted later in the season when pasture quality had decreased.

The cost benefit analysis showed that supplementing 8kg of grain/head would equate to a loss of -\$1.75/head amounting to a large loss of -\$88.14/head over the demonstration period (Table 4).

Table 4: Cost benefit per head per day and for the duration of the demonstration period

Cost benefit/day/head		Cost benefit/demo period/head			
Cost/hd/day (\$)	\$ Return/hd/day	Cost benefit (\$)	Cost/hd/demo period (\$)	\$ Return/hd	Cost benefit (\$)
3.27	1.52	-1.75	163.53	75.38	-88.14

Additionally, the law of diminishing returns may have been a factor, and animals could have been supplemented with less grain and still produced a similar result.

The amount of grain supplemented also presented a logistical complication as feeding smaller amounts in the selffeeders resulted in them blocking up. In conclusion, the value of supplementing with grain is dependent on the seasonal conditions, as well as being hugely dependent on grain prices.

It may be of most value to grain feed when grain prices are low or in situations where lower quality grain stores can be utilised.

In this case, the cost of grain was too high to provide an economic return from supplementation.

For further information:

Claudia Hinrichsen, Local Land Services T 02 6841 6500 M 0484 073 592 E claudia.hinrichsen@lls.nsw.gov.au

Any recommendations, suggestions or opinions contained in this publication do not necessarily represent the policy or views of Meat & Livestock Australia (MLA). No person should act on the basis of the contents of this publication without first obtaining specific, independent professional advice. MLA takes no responsibility, in any way whatsoever, to any person in respect to the document, including any errors or omissions therein, arising through negligence or otherwise however caused. © Meat & Livestock Australia 2024. ABN 39 081 678 364 This work is copyright. Apart from any use permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, all rights are expressly reserved. Requests for further authorisation should be directed to the Corporate Communications Manager, PO Box 1961, North Sydney, NSW 2059 or info@mla.com.au. 4