

# Triplet best practice guide

---

## Merino



## Contact

### **Melanie Smith**

MLA Program Manager – Sheep and Goat Productivity  
msmith@mla.com.au

### **Meat & Livestock Australia**

PO Box 1961  
North Sydney NSW 2059  
P: 02 9463 9333  
E: info@mla.com.au

© September 2024 Meat & Livestock Australia Limited ABN 39 081 678 364. All rights are expressly reserved. Requests for further authorisation should be directed to info@mla.com.au.

Care is taken to ensure the accuracy and currency of this publication. However, Meat & Livestock Australia (MLA) and its group members and [insert third party e.g. Australian Wool Innovation (AWI)] do not guarantee accuracy or currency. This publication is intended to provide general information only. It is not intended to be comprehensive. Any forwardlooking statements made within this publication are not guarantees of future performance or results. You should make your own enquiries before making decisions concerning your interests. MLA, its group members and [insert third party e.g. AWI] accept no liability for any losses or damages incurred if you use or rely on this publication.

# Section 1. Background

The number of lambs weaned per ewe joined in Australia has increased by 15% over the last 20 years. Better nutritional management and genetic selection of ewes for higher fecundity has led to a higher reproductive rate (foetuses scanned per 100 ewes), which has in turn increased the proportion of triplet-bearing ewes (see Table 1 in Section 2).

Mortality of triplet-bearing ewes and their lambs can be high, with producers in Australia reporting mortality averaging 5.3% and 5.8% for ewes of Merino and non-Merino breed, respectively, and mortality averaging 45% and 40% for their lambs. Scanning rates and lamb mortality can be underestimated if triplets are present in the flock but are not identified.

Accurately identifying triplet-bearing ewes and optimising their management has potential to improve productivity, profitability, and welfare outcomes, which are outlined in this best practice guide (BPG).

There are seven sections to the Triplet BPG, including:

- Section 1. Background
- Section 2. Your triplet dams and their lambs – how many you have and how many survive
- Section 3. Your triplet opportunity – the value of triplet dams and their lambs
- Section 4. The identification of triplets – what's happening, when, why and how to address
- Section 5. Triplet ewe loss – what's happening, when, why and how to mitigate the risks
- Section 6. Triplet lamb loss – what's happening, when, why and how to mitigate the risks
- Section 7. Summary of BPG actions and next steps.

Sections 2 and 3 are for self-discovery of the significance of the opportunity to improve management of triplet-bearing ewes on your farm, while Sections 4–6 follow the flow chart outlined below.

Aspect	Section of the BPG affecting triplet dams and their lambs		
What	Section 4. Identifying triplets	Section 5. Triplet ewe loss	Section 6. Triplet lamb loss
When	Day 75–100 of pregnancy	Late-pregnancy	Point of lambing
Why	As scanning rates increase so is the proportion of triplets	Pregnancy toxemia	Low birthweight and Mismothering
How	Pregnancy scanning to identify triplets	Ewe CS management, grain supplementation	Ewe CS management optimum lambing mob size

## Section 2. Your triplet dams and their lambs

	Guide/example	My flock data (fill in below)	Reference value
Number of adult ewes joined	E.g. 2,000 ewes		A
My typical adult scanning rate (Foetuses/ewes joined)* 100	Not counting triplets (ignore if already scan for triplets)  With triplets <b>if not known look up Table 1 – red column</b>		
Average proportion of triplets at my typical scanning rate	If known – enter average proportion of triplets that you scan (% of triplets ÷ 100) OR <b>If not known look up triplet proportion in Table 1 (figures in green column)</b>		B
My estimated number of triplet-bearing ewes	= A x B		C
Estimated usual mortality rate (proportion) for my triplet-bearing ewes	If known – enter the usual mortality rate (proportion) of my triplet-bearing ewes OR <b>If not known – look up mortality rate (proportion) in Table 2 (green column)</b>		D
My estimated number of triplet ewes that die	= C x D		E
My estimated number of triplet lambs born	= C x 3		F
Estimated usual survival rate (proportion) for my triplet-born lambs	Enter the usual survival rate of my triplet-born lambs (if lambed separate) OR <b>If not known – look up mortality rate (proportion) in Table 3 (green column)</b>		G
My estimated number of triplet lambs that survive	= F x G		H
My estimated number of triplet lambs that die	= F - H		I

**Table 1. Average proportion of triplet-bearing ewes at various scanning rates**

Multiple scanning rate (not counting triplets)	True scanning rate (counting triplets)	Percentage of triplets (%)	Proportion of triplets
120%	122.8%	2.8	0.028
130%	133.7%	3.7	0.037
140%	144.9%	4.9	0.049
150%	156.6%	6.6	0.066
160%	169.2%	9.2	0.092
170%	183.1%	13.1	0.131
175%	191.0%	16.0	0.160
180%	200.1%	20.1	0.201
185%	211.0%	26.0	0.260
190%	225.6%	35.6	0.356

**Table 2. Ewe mortality during late-pregnancy and lambing, as a percentage and proportion, for twin and triplet Merino ewes**  
(Use your mortality rate of twin bearing ewes to estimate your triplet ewe mortality rate.)

	Merino twins		Merino triplets	
	%	Proportion	%	Proportion
Top 20%	2.2	0.022	3.8	0.038
Top 30%	2.4	0.024	6.0	0.060
Top 40%	2.5	0.025	6.7	0.067
Ave 50%	2.6	0.026	8.3	0.083
Lower 40%	2.8	0.028	9.8	0.098
Lower 30%	3.8	0.038	10.7	0.107
Lower 20%	5.6	0.056	15	0.150

**Table 3. Lamb survival, as a percentage and proportion, for twin and triplet Merino lambs**  
(Use your usual survival rate of twin born lambs to estimate your triplet lamb survival rate)

	Twin		Triplet	
	%	Proportion	%	Proportion
Top 10%	86	0.86	64	0.64
Top 20%	82	0.82	58	0.58
Top 30%	81	0.81	57	0.57
Top 40%	80	0.80	53	0.53
Ave 50%	70	0.70	50	0.50
Lower 60%	69	0.69	47	0.47
Lower 70%	63	0.63	44	0.44
Lower 80%	60	0.60	40	0.40
Lower 90%	57	0.57	33	0.33



## Section 3. Your triplet opportunity (\$)

For this section you will need to use Reference Values C, E, F and I from Section 2.

	Guide/example	My flock data (fill in below)	Reference value
<b>My estimated number of triplet-bearing ewes</b>	Refer to Part 1 for value C		C
<b>My estimated number of triplet ewes that die</b>	Refer to Part 1 for value E		E
<b>Estimated value of my triplet-bearing ewes (\$/ewe)</b>	<b>Use Table 4 as a guide</b>		J
<b>Total estimated value of my triplet-bearing ewes</b>	= C x J		K
<b>Total estimated value of my dead triplet-bearing ewes</b>	= E x J		L
<b>What do you believe are main causes of triplet ewe death?</b>			
<b>What have you tried to reduce triplet ewe mortality rates?</b>			
<b>My estimated number of triplet-born lambs</b>	Refer to Part 1 for value F		F
<b>My estimated number of triplet-born lambs that die</b>	Refer to Part 1 for value I		I
<b>Estimated value of my triplet-born lambs (net \$/lamb)</b>	<b>Use Table 5 as a guide</b>		M
<b>Total estimated value of my triplet-born lambs</b>	= F x M		N
<b>Total estimated value of my dead triplet-born lambs</b>	= I x M		O
<b>What do you believe are main causes of triplet lamb loss?</b>			
<b>What have you tried to improve triplet lamb survival rates?</b>			

Now use the triplet best practice guide to find ways to improve survival of your triplet ewes and lambs.

**Table 4. The value of a triplet bearing ewes in different mating systems at varying meat prices (\$/hd\*)**

Meat price (\$/kg for lamb)	Merino-Merino	Merino-Terminal
\$4	110	155
\$5	130	195
\$6	150	230
\$7	170	270
\$8	190	305
\$9	210	345
\$10	230	385
\$11	250	420

\* The impact on farm profit if an extra triplet-bearing ewe and her lambs die at birth

**Table 5. Value of an extra lamb weaned in different mating systems for a range of meat prices. Results are an average over two regions (SW Victoria and Great Southern of WA) and two times of lambing (Autumn & Spring) (\$/hd\*\* net of feeding and husbandry costs)**

Meat price (\$/kg for lamb)	Merino-Merino	Merino-Terminal
\$4	32	48
\$5	38	60
\$6	44	72
\$7	49	85
\$8	56	96
\$9	62	109
\$10	69	120
\$11	77	132

\*\*The impact on farm profit if an extra triplet born lamb survives to weaning

## Section 4. The identification of triplets – what’s happening, when, why and how to address

### What’s happening on Australian sheep farms with triplets?

Lamb marking rates in Australia have increased by more than 15% over the last 20 years. As a result the proportion of triplet-bearing ewes in the national flock has increased.

A survey of Australian producers that pregnancy scan for triplets found that, on average, 6.6% of non-Merino ewes and 2.9% of Merino ewes in the flock were scanned as triplets. However, the proportion of ewes scanned as triplets was about the same when non-Merino and Merino flocks achieved the same scanning rate.

Mortality of triplet-bearing ewes and their lambs can be high, with producers in Australia reporting mortality averaging 6.4% for triplet-bearing ewes regardless of maternal or Merino breed. These producers reported survival of triplet-born lambs of 52.9% for Merinos which was significantly lower than that for maternals at 60.1%.

Scanning rates and lamb mortality can be underestimated if triplets are present in the flock but are not identified. This is a significant challenge given that only about 25% of the national ewe flock is scanned for twins and less than 5% are scanned for triplets. Accurately identifying triplet-bearing ewes and optimising their management has potential to improve productivity, profitability and welfare outcomes. This section of the BPG outlines when, why, how and the economic basis to scanning for Merino triplets.

### When

Triplet-bearing ewes should be identified at pregnancy scanning. The optimum time to pregnancy scan ewes for triplets is 80–90 days from the start of joining. The earliest and optimum time that ewes can be pregnancy scanned is shown in Table 6. Ewes cannot be accurately scanned before 40 days from the end of joining or beyond 100 days from the start of joining.

**Table 6. Earliest and optimum time that ewes can be pregnancy scanned for triplets for a 35-day or 42-day joining.**

Length of joining	Earliest	Optimum
35 days/5 weeks	40 days after the rams have been removed	45 days after the rams have been removed
42 days/6 weeks		45 days after the rams have been removed

Tips for preparing for pregnancy scanning include:

- joining for no more than six weeks
- withholding feed and water the night before scanning
- ensuring adequate staff are available to keep ewes up to the scanner
- good yard set-up with secure, separate pens for each pregnancy status (dry, single, twin, triplet)
- avoid having wet or daggy ewes
- book your scanner early.

### Why some producers should be scanning for triplets

Currently in Australia around 25% of producers’ pregnancy scan ewes for either pregnant or empty, and 25% scan for multiples (empty, singles and multiples), less than 5% scan for triplets (empty, singles, twins and triplets). The balance (around 45%) do not scan at all. Therefore, most producers do not have an accurate picture of the true scanning percentage of their flocks. As the scanning percentage determined from scanning multiples increases, the expected proportion of triplets in the flock increases and the gap between the ‘multiples-only’ scanning percentage and the true scanning percentage increases (Table 7).

The true scanning percentage can then be used to estimate the proportion of triplet-bearing ewes in the flock. Table 7 below shows the average percentage of triplet-bearing ewes at various scanning rates.

**Table 7. Average percentage of triplet-bearing ewes at various scanning rates**

Multiple scanning rate (not counting triplets)	True scanning rate (counting triplets)	Percentage of triplets (%)
120%	122.8%	2.8
130%	133.7%	3.7
140%	144.9%	4.9
150%	156.6%	6.6
160%	169.2%	9.2
170%	183.1%	13.1
175%	191.0%	16.0
180%	200.1%	20.1
185%	211.0%	26.0
190%	225.6%	35.6



The rule of thumb to do a conversion is to add 2% for every 10% that the 'multiples-only' scanning percentage is above 100%. For example, if the 'multiples-only' scanning percentage is 160% then the true scanning percentage is 172% ( $160 + 6 \times 2$ ). This rule of thumb only works up to a multiple scanning percentage of 175%. Beyond that the rule of thumb is under-estimating the true reproductive rate.

**True scanning % = Multiples only % + 2 \* (multiples only % - 100) / 10**

### How triplet-bearing ewes should be identified at scanning and differentially managed

The national triplet research project has determined that the survival of triplet-bearing ewes and/or their lambs can be improved by managing the condition score between pregnancy scanning and lambing, and lambing triplet-bearing ewes in smaller mobs. Each of which are covered in the next sections of the BPG.

Scanning and identifying Merino triplet-bearing ewes, costing an extra \$0.40/ewe scanned, is justified from the benefits of better nutritional management of the triplet bearing ewes and differential paddock allocation at lambing to reduce mob size if the proportion of triplet-bearing ewes is 5% or greater. This occurs at true scanning rate of 145% or 140% if scanning for multiples only (Table 7 – green section).

### Economics

A big driver of the profitability of scanning for litter size is the number of triplet-bearing ewes identified from which to recoup the cost of scanning. The increase in the cost associated with paying the contractor and the cost of staff to muster and yard the ewes was assumed to be \$0.40/hd. The ultimate cost per triplet-bearing ewe identified is determined by the proportion of triplets in the flock (Table 8).

**Table 8. The cost/triplet ewe identified at varying triplet % assuming \$0.40/hd to scan for triplets.**

Triplet percentage	Cost/triplet ewe identified
5%	\$8
10%	\$4
20%	\$2

Profitability can be increased if Merino flocks are scanned for litter size and the information is utilised to optimise the management of triplet-bearing ewes, however it is dependent on the level of reproductive rate. At standard reproduction rates of 123%, which includes 3% triplets, differential management of Merino flocks did not increase profit (it is profitable at 145% scanning, with 5% triplet ewes). Whereas for Merino flocks achieving higher reproductive rates such as 170%, with 10% triplet-bearing ewes, there is an increase in profit of \$0.80 per ewe scanned or \$8/triplet-bearing ewe identified (Table 9).

**Table 9. Potential increase in profit from scanning for litter size and applying optimum management for Merino flocks with 10% triplet-bearing ewes (scanning 170%)**

	Merino
Proportion of triplets	10%
\$/ewe	+0.80
\$/triplet ewe	+8.00

For a given reproductive rate (or proportion of triplets), there is more value in scanning the autumn-lambing flocks than the spring-lambing flocks. This is driven partly by the slightly higher value of the autumn-born lambs and partly by the value of reallocating the feed in the post-scanning period.

Increasing meat price increases the value of scanning for litter size. It increases overall profit and increases the value of an extra ewe or lamb surviving; however, higher meat prices also increase the opportunity cost of the feed. Specifically, a \$1/kg increase raises the value of a Merino ewe by \$20 per ewe and the value of a Merino lamb by \$6.50 per lamb. Differentially managing the triplet-bearing ewes and their progeny is done at the expense of single or twin-bearing ewes, or by reducing total stock numbers, both of which have a higher cost when meat price increases.

The value of scanning for litter size is a trade-off between the cost of scanning and the benefits achieved from better mob size at lambing and better allocation of feed to ewes with different litter size. On average, 70% of the benefit of identifying the Merino triplet-bearing ewes is from differentially allocating to lambing paddocks, with the remainder of the benefit from differential nutrition.

In summary, if there are 10% triplet ewes in the flock (170% scanning) then the net value of scanning for litter size is \$0.80/ewe scanned. This is an increment on top of the value of scanning for multiples of \$5.75/ewe (Young and Brien 2023) and increases the total value of scanning to \$6.55/ewe scanned.

### What are the best-practice recommendations?

- Scanning for triplets and managing them separately from pregnancy scanning onwards can increase the survival of triplet-bearing Merino ewes and lambs.
- The improvements in survival of triplet Merino-bearing ewes and lambs are achieved through both better nutritional management and better paddock allocation for lambing.
- The profitability of scanning Merino ewe flocks for triplets is dependent on the reproductive rate of the flock, in particular the proportion of triplets, and the meat price.
- Scanning and identifying triplet-bearing Merino ewes, costing an extra \$0.40/ewe scanned, is justified if the proportion of triplet-bearing ewes is 5% or greater.
- At reproduction rates of 170%, which includes 10% triplets, differential management of Merino ewe flocks increased profit by \$0.80 per ewe scanned or \$8/triplet-bearing ewe identified.
- There are social license, animal welfare and potential market access benefits from improving management of triplet-bearing Merino ewes and these benefits have not been included in the values outlined.

## Section 5. Triplet ewe loss – what’s happening, when, why and how to mitigate the risks

### What’s happening on Australian sheep farms with triplet ewe loss?

Producers in Australia who have been identifying and managing triplet-bearing ewes separately have reported the average mortality of triplet-bearing ewes to be 6.4%, regardless of ewe breed. By contrast the average mortality of twin-bearing ewes was almost half that of triplets at 3.3% and the mortality of single bearing ewes was much lower again at 1.6% (see Table 10).

This level of triplet ewe loss limits the productivity of this cohort of ewes and in turn overall flock performance. It also represents an animal welfare challenge that needs to be addressed, especially given an increasing number of triplets are being conceived as reproductive rates (foetuses per 100 ewes) on Australian farms are rising. There were no differences in the average mortality of single, twin or triplet-bearing ewes between ewe breeds (Table 10).

**Table 10. Mortality of single, twin or triplet bearing ewes on Australian farms**

Ewe mortality (%)	Average	10 <sup>th</sup> percentile	90 <sup>th</sup> percentile
Single	1.6%	0.5%	3.0%
Twin	3.3%	1.2%	5.0%
<b>Triplet</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>14.5%</b>


### When is the majority of triplet ewe loss happening on Australian farms?

The considerable nutritional demand of triplet-bearing ewes in late-pregnancy and lactation is often not matched by increases in feed intake, especially under extensive grazing conditions, and is a likely contributor to mortality of triplet-bearing ewes and their lambs. Ewe nutrition during late-pregnancy and resultant condition score at lambing is known to be a determinant of ewe and lamb survival in both Merino and maternal breeds, particularly in twin-bearing ewes as discovered by the Lifetime Wool and Lifetime Maternals Projects. The national triplet research project has determined the impact of late-pregnancy nutrition and condition score at lambing on the survival of triplet-bearing Merino ewes and their lambs.


Most of the triplet ewe loss occurs in late-pregnancy and during lambing, and loss rates are greater:

- when CS at lambing is lower – for Merinos 1 CS lower at lambing increased ewe mortality by 3.5%, and there is no evidence that fatter triplet ewes die at a greater rate (Figure 2)
- when Merino ewes are of older age (4 years and older) – Merino triplet ewe mortality is increasingly greater than twins (Figure 3).

**Figure 2. Impact of condition score at lambing on mortality of triplet-bearing Merino ewes between pregnancy scanning and lamb marking**



**Figure 3. Impact of ewe age on mortality of twin and triplet-bearing ewes on Merino ewes between pregnancy scanning and lamb marking**



## Why triplet ewe loss is occurring – pregnancy toxemia

Pregnancy toxemia is essentially due to lack of energy in late pregnant ewes when the foetuses take more energy than the ewe can provide. The lack of energy is caused by a combination of poor feed in late-pregnancy (insufficient energy density of ration), decreased rumen capacity and a dramatic increase in energy requirements. The energy requirement for a ewe with triplets lambs in the last few weeks is more than double maintenance.


To provide energy, the ewe starts to breakdown body reserves, especially fat, however the liver cannot cope. The blood sugar (glucose) levels fall dramatically, and the ewe does not have enough energy to function normally – especially the brain, muscles and kidneys. Glucose (energy) is essential for proper brain function; a deficiency will result in nervous dysfunction and eventually coma and death. Glucose is also required for the muscles during grazing, deficiency leads to a drowsy ewe with a reduced appetite, while the foetuses are demanding more and more glucose.

For more information – <https://beeflambnz.com/knowledge-hub/PDF/metabolic-diseases-ewes.pdf>

When triplet ewes are in negative energy balance (precursor for pregnancy toxemia) and losing condition score in late-pregnancy their risk of death escalates:

- -1 CS compared to maintenance increases mortality of triple-bearing Merino ewes by 3.8% (Figure 4).

**Figure 4. The effect ( $\pm$  95% confidence intervals; dotted lines) of the change in condition score (CS) of triplet-bearing Merino ewes between pregnancy scanning and pre-lambing on their mortality to marking at seven commercial research sites across southern Australia between 2019 and 2021. The average CS at pregnancy scanning was 3.3 (range 1.5–4.5)**



## How to minimise triplet ewe loss – Merino triplets

Adult Merino ewes were allocated to a 'high' or 'low' condition score treatment after pregnancy scanning. Nutritional management after scanning aimed for the condition score of ewes in the high and low treatments to differ by at least 0.3 of a condition score at lambing. The condition score treatment concluded at around day 130–35 of pregnancy when the ewes were allocated to

similar lambing paddocks regardless of late-pregnancy treatment (average FOO at pre-lambing allocation was 1,500 kg DM/ha). Ewe survival was measured to lamb marking (Table 11).


**Table 11. Average condition score (CS) at pregnancy scanning, lambing and lamb marking for mobs of Merino ewes managed at the 'low' and 'high' CS, and ewe mortality between lambing and marking**

	Low CS	High CS
CS at scanning	3.3 (3.0–3.6)	3.3 (3.0–3.7)
CS at lambing	2.9 (2.4–3.1)	3.3 (2.8–3.6)
<b>Change in CS scanning to lambing</b>	<b>-0.4</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Ewe mortality during lambing</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>
CS at marking	2.8 (2.5–3.3)	2.9 (2.5–3.3)

**Key findings in relation to the impact of condition score in late-pregnancy on mortality of Merino triplet-bearing ewes:**

- Mortality of Merino ewes decreased when managed to High CS from pregnancy scanning to lambing.
- Gaining condition score between pregnancy scanning and lambing improved survival rates of Merino triplet-bearing ewes regardless of starting CS at scanning (Figure 5), where gaining 0.5 CS from scanning to lambing reduced ewe death by at least 2%.
- For triplet-bearing, Merino ewes lambing at CS 3.5, the profile of CS 3.0 at scanning and gaining 0.5 CS to lambing has lower ewe mortality than the profile of CS 4.0 at scanning and losing 0.5 CS to lambing.

**Figure 5. The impact of condition score at pregnancy scanning and change in condition score from pregnancy scanning to lambing on mortality rate (%) of triplet-bearing Merino ewes**



## Economics

The analysis focused on determining the optimal CS profile of triplet-bearing Merino ewes when the flock is scanned for litter size, allowing triplet-bearing ewes to be managed separately from twin-bearing ewes after scanning. When ewes are not scanned for litter size, the triplet-bearing ewes are expected to be about 0.2 CS lower than the twin-bearing ewes at lambing.

The recommended targets for absolute CS at lambing for Merino ewes is for single-bearing ewes to be at CS 3.0, with some latitude to be lower, the target for twin-bearing ewes is CS 3.3 and the target for triplet-bearing ewes is CS 3.5 (Table 12).

**Table 12. Recommended CS targets at lambing for single, twin and triplet-bearing Merino ewes**

	Ewe litter size		
	Single	Twin	Triplet
Merino ewes	3.0	3.3	3.5

The recommended CS for triplet-bearing, Merino ewes at lambing is to be 0.2 CS higher than twin-bearing ewes. The twin-triplet gap in CS at lambing is greater than the optimal profit targets identified in the economic modelling and this management buffer has been included because of the higher mortality risk of Merino triplet-bearing ewes and their lambs, and their greater sensitivity to CS at lambing than twin-bearing ewes.

The slight reduction in profit from being 0.2 CS fatter is offset by changes in ewe mortality (2%) and is justified on the grounds of risk management and animal welfare.

At reproduction rates of 170%, which includes about 10% triplets, differential management of Merino flocks based on litter size increased profit by \$0.80/ewe scanned or \$8/triplet-bearing ewe identified. On average, 30% of the benefit of identifying the triplet-bearing Merino ewes is from the differential nutrition outlined above and 70% is from differentially allocating to lambing paddocks.

## What are the best-practice key messages for Merino triplet-bearing ewe loss?

- Currently triplet-bearing Merino ewes on commercial farms are dying at about double the rate of twin-bearing ewes, even when they are identified at pregnancy scanning and differentially managed.
- Most of the triplet ewe loss occurs in late-pregnancy and during lambing.
- Triplet ewe mortality rate is greater when CS at lambing is lower – target CS 3.5 at lambing.
- Triplet ewe mortality rate is greater when Merino ewes are of older age (four years and older).
- Triplet ewe mortality rate escalates when ewes are in negative energy balance and losing CS in late-pregnancy, primarily due to pregnancy toxaemia.
- Gaining CS between pregnancy scanning and lambing improves triplet ewe survival regardless of starting CS.
- Manage triplet ewes separately from twins from pregnancy scanning, so triplet-bearing ewes lamb 0.2 CS higher than twins, rather than 0.2 CS lower that would have occurred if triplets were left with the twins.
- The recommended targets for absolute CS at lambing for Merino ewes are CS 3.0 for single-bearing ewes, CS 3.3 for twin-bearing ewes and CS 3.5 for triplet-bearing ewes.
- For a Merino flock with 10% triplets, differential management (nutritional management and lambing paddock allocation) of triplet-bearing ewes increases profit by about \$0.80/ewe scanned or \$8/triplet bearing ewe after the costs associated with scanning, labour and supplementary feeding.

# Section 6. Triplet lamb loss – what’s happening, when, why, and how to mitigate the risks

## What’s happening on Australian sheep farms with triplet lamb survival?

Producers in Australia who have been identifying and managing triplet-bearing ewes separately have reported an average survival of triplet-born lambs of 52.9% for Merinos and 60.1% for maternals. By contrast, the average survival of twin-born lambs was reported to be 75.5% for Merinos and 81.4% for maternals.

This level of triplet lamb mortality limits the productivity of this cohort and in turn overall flock performance. It also represents an animal welfare challenge that needs to be addressed, especially given an increasing number of triplets are being conceived as reproductive rates (foetuses per 100 ewes) on Australian farms are rising.

## When is the majority of triplet lamb mortality happening on Australian farms?

Most (>80%) lamb mortality occurs in the first few days following birth. Lamb birthweight is the biggest contributor to lamb survival, which is heavily influenced by ewe nutrition in late-pregnancy. Smaller mob sizes are known to improve the survival of single and twin-born lambs. The national triplet research project has determined the impact of mob size at lambing on the survival of triplet-born lambs. This project has also identified that ewe condition score and differential management of triplets significantly impact lamb survival.


Survival of triplet-born lambs is lower:

- when triplet-bearing ewes lamb in bigger mobs
- for Merinos, when ewes are at a lower condition score at lambing or when ewes lose more condition score between pregnancy scanning and lambing
- when twins and triplets are managed together between pregnancy scanning and lamb marking rather than managing them separately.

## Why do triplet lambs die?

The main causes of mortality of single and twin-born lambs are dystocia and the starvation-mismothering-exposure complex. In comparison to twins, the triplet lamb is born at lower birthweight, more metabolically challenged, with a lower body temperature, and receives less colostrum and milk. Combined, this results in lower survival rates. Poorer ewe-lamb behaviour of triplet ewes and lambs also increases the risk of mismothering (Kenyon et al. 2019). Producers in Australia who differentially manage triplets have reported the top three causes of mortality of triplet-born lambs to be mismothering, low birthweight and exposure to adverse weather conditions resulting in hypothermia (Figure 6).

Figure 6. Top causes of death for triplet-born lambs reported by producers in Australia who differentially manage triplets (Thompson et al. 2023)



## How to minimise mortality of Merino triplet-born lambs due to low birthweight

Adult Merino ewes were allocated to a ‘high’ or ‘low’ condition score treatment after pregnancy scanning. Nutritional management after scanning aimed for the condition score of ewes in the high and low treatments to differ by at least 0.3 of a condition score at lambing. Ewe and lamb survival were assessed until lamb marking (Table 13).

Table 13. Average (range) condition score (CS) at pregnancy scanning, lambing and lamb marking for mobs of Merino ewes managed at the ‘low’ and ‘high’ condition scores, and lamb survival to marking

	Low CS	High CS
CS at scanning	3.3 (3.0–3.6)	3.3 (3.0–3.7)
CS at lambing	2.9 (2.4–3.1)	3.3 (2.8–3.6)
Change in CS scanning to lambing	-0.4	0.0
Lamb survival	47.1%	53.4%
CS at marking	2.8 (2.5–3.3)	2.9 (2.5–3.3)

### Key findings:

- The survival of triplet-born lambs was 6.3% greater (160% lambs marked compared to 141% lambs marked) when born from ewes managed to high CS from scanning to lambing, and late-pregnancy nutrition is a big driver of lamb birthweight.
- More Merino triplet-born lambs are closer to the minimum critical birthweight and therefore sensitive to late-pregnancy nutrition, which lifts birthweights and survival responds accordingly.



## How to minimise mortality of triplet-born lambs due to mismothering

Adult Merino or maternal ewes were allocated to a 'high' or 'low' mob size treatment about 15 days before the start of lambing. Ewes in each treatment were allocated to lamb in paddocks with similar characteristics including feed-on-offer and shelter. Lamb survival was measured to lamb marking (Table 14).


**Table 14. Average mob size of ewes at lambing, condition score (CS) of ewes and feed-on-offer (FOO) pre-lambing, and survival of lambs to marking for mobs of Merinos and maternals managed at the 'low' and 'high' mob sizes at lambing**

	Low mob size	High mob size
Mob size of triplet-bearing ewes	20	63
Ewe CS pre-lambing	3.2	3.1
FOO pre-lambing (kg DM/ha)	1,210	1,110
Lamb survival	65.6%	56.6%

### Key findings:

- The survival of triplet-born lambs was greater when born at the low mob sizes (Table 14).
- Reducing mob size at lambing by 10 triplet-bearing ewes increased the survival of their lambs to marking by 1.5%, when mob size ranged from 10–139 ewes and stocking rates ranged from 0.7–13.4 ewes/ha (Figure 7).

**Figure 7. The effect ( $\pm 95\%$  confidence intervals) of the mob size of triplet-bearing ewes of Merino and non-Merino breeds at lambing on the survival of their lambs to marking at 15 research sites across southern Australia between 2019 and 2021**



## Economics

The optimum mob size at lambing varies depending on enterprise-specific factors such as the target return-on-investment, stocking rate of the ewes, breed and lamb price. The optimum mob size for triplet-bearing ewes is approximately 30% that for twins if ewes are allocated to existing paddocks.

The optimum mob size for triplet-bearing ewes is between 30 and 38 ewes when paddocks are subdivided using permanent fencing with lamb prices at \$7/kg and a target return-on-investment of 5% (Table 15). This optimum mob size when subdividing paddocks is approximately 35% of that for twins, which reflects the greater response in lamb survival for triplets compared with twins, when paddocks are subdivided.

The economic analysis is that scanning and identifying triplet-bearing ewes, costing an extra \$0.40/ewe scanned, is justified purely from the benefits of differential paddock allocation even if the scanning percentage is only 150%.

**Table 15. Optimum mob size and paddock size for twin and triplet-bearing Merino ewes when paddocks are permanently subdivided in half with lamb price at \$7/kg and a target return-on-investment of 5%**

	Merino ewes		
	DSE/ha	Twin	Triplet
Optimum mob size	2.1	110	38
	4.2	96	35
	8.4	86	32
	14.7	81	30
Optimum paddock size (ha)	2.1	94	38
	4.2	41	17
	8.4	18	8
	14.7	10	4

On average, 70% of the benefit of identifying the triplet-bearing Merino ewes is from differentially allocating to lambing paddocks improving lamb survival, with the remainder of the benefit from differential nutrition that improves both ewe and lamb survival. Collectively, differential management (lambing paddock allocation and nutritional management) of triplet-bearing ewes, for a Merino flock with 10% triplets, increases profit by about \$0.80/ewe scanned or \$8/triplet bearing ewe after the costs associated with scanning, labour and supplementary feeding.

### What are the best-practice recommendations?

- The survival of triplet-born lambs on Australian commercial farms has been reported to be about 20% lower than that of twin-born lambs.
- Merino triplet-born lambs are closer to the minimum critical birthweight and therefore sensitive to late-pregnancy nutrition that lifts birthweights and survival responds accordingly.
- Survival of triplet-born lambs was 6.3% greater (19% extra lambs marked) when born from Merino ewes managed to at least maintain CS from scanning to lambing, compared to Merino ewes that lost 0.4 CS over the same period. Late-pregnancy nutrition is a big driver of lamb birthweight and the survival Merino triplet lambs is very responsive to increases in lamb birthweight.
- Reducing mob size at lambing increases the survival of triplet-born lambs.
- The optimum mob size at lambing varies depending on enterprise-specific factors such as the target return-on-investment, stocking rate of the ewes, breed and lamb price.
- The optimum mob size for triplet-bearing ewes is approximately 30% that for twins if ewes are allocated to existing paddocks.
- The optimum mob size for Merino triplet-bearing ewes is between 30 and 38 ewes when paddocks are subdivided using permanent fencing with lamb price at \$7/kg and a target return-on-investment of 5%.
- For a Merino flock with 10% triplets, differential management increases profit by about \$0.80/ewe scanned or \$8/triplet bearing ewe after the costs associated with scanning, labour and supplementary feeding.
- On average, 70% of the benefit of identifying the Merino triplet-bearing ewes is from differentially allocating to lambing paddocks improving lamb survival, with the remainder of the benefit from differential nutrition that's improves both ewe and lamb survival.

## Section 7. Summary of the triplet BPG for Merinos and next steps

Accurately identifying triplet-bearing ewes and optimising their management has potential to improve productivity, profitability, and welfare outcomes, which has been outlined in this best practice guide.

According to survey data collected in this project, triplet-bearing ewes are dying at about double the rate of twin-bearing ewes, even when being identified at scanning and differentially managed. The average survival of Merino triplet-born lambs is 52.9% (158.7% lambs marked) compared to the average survival of Merino twin-born lambs of 75.5% (151% lambs marked), reported on the same farms.

The self-discovery sections of the BPG (Sections 2 and 3) enables producers to identify how many triplet-bearing ewes and therefore triplet-born lambs they have on their farm, for which most producers are unaware. This is critical as Australian lamb marking percentage has increased by more than 15% over the last 20 years, causing the proportion of triplet-bearing ewes in the national flock to increase. Further to this, Section 3 has enabled producers to determine the value of their triplet dams and their lambs and identify their opportunity to reduce triplet ewe and lamb loss.

### What are the best-practice recommendations for Merino triplets?

#### Scanning for litter size (empty, single, twin and triplet) in Merinos:

- Scanning for triplets and managing them separately from pregnancy scanning onwards can increase the survival of triplet-bearing ewes and lambs.
- The profitability of scanning Merino flocks for triplets is dependent on the reproductive rate of the flock, in particular the proportion of triplets, and the meat price.
- Scanning and identifying Merino triplet-bearing ewes, costing an extra \$0.40/ewe scanned, is justified if the proportion of triplet-bearing ewes is 5% or greater.
- The improvements in Merino triplet ewe and lamb survival are achieved through both better nutritional management and better paddock allocation for lambing.

#### Merino triplet ewe mortality:

- Most of the triplet ewe loss occurs in late-pregnancy and during lambing.
- Triplet ewe mortality rate is greater when CS at lambing is lower – target CS 3.5 at lambing.
- Triplet ewe mortality rate is greater when Merino ewes are of older age (four years and older).

- Triplet ewe mortality rate escalates when ewes are in negative energy balance and losing condition score in late-pregnancy, primarily due to pregnancy toxemia.
- Gaining CS between scanning and lambing improves triplet ewe survival regardless of starting CS.
- Manage triplet ewes separate from twins from scanning, so triplets lamb 0.2 CS higher than twins, rather than 0.2 CS lower which would have occurred if triplets were left with the twins.
- The targets for CS at lambing for Merino ewes is CS 3.0 for single-bearing ewes, CS 3.3 for twin-bearing ewes and CS 3.5 for triplet-bearing ewes.

#### Merino triplet lamb survival:

- Merino triplet born lambs are closer to the minimum critical birthweight and therefore sensitive to late-pregnancy nutrition that lifts birthweights and survival responds accordingly.
- The survival of triplet-born lambs was 6.3% greater (19% extra lambs marked) when born from Merino ewes managed to at least maintain CS from scanning to lambing, compared to Merino ewes that lost 0.4 CS over the same period.
- Late-pregnancy nutrition is a big driver of lamb birthweight, and the survival of Merino triplet lambs is very responsive to increases in lamb birthweight.
- Reducing mob size at lambing increases the survival of triplet-born lambs.
- The optimum mob size at lambing varies depending on enterprise-specific factors such as the target return-on-investment, stocking rate of the ewes, breed and lamb price.
- The optimum mob size for triplet-bearing ewes is approximately 30% that for twins if ewes are allocated to existing paddocks.
- The optimum mob size for Merino triplet-bearing ewes is between 30 and 38 ewes when paddocks are subdivided in half using permanent fencing with lamb price at \$7/kg and a target return-on-investment of 5%.
- At reproduction rates of 170%, which includes 10% triplets, differential management (nutritional management and lambing paddock allocation) of Merino flocks increased profit by \$0.80 per ewe scanned or \$8/triplet-bearing ewe after the costs associated with scanning, labour and supplementary feeding.

- On average, 70% of the benefit of identifying the Merino triplet-bearing ewes is from differentially allocating to lambing paddocks improving lamb survival, with the remainder of the benefit from differential nutrition which improves both ewe and lamb survival.
- There are social license, animal welfare and potential market access benefits from improving management of triplet-bearing ewes and these benefits have not been included in the values outlined.

**Next steps:**

- Refer to Section 3 of BPG (page 6), where you calculated your opportunity with triplets, and
- Identify your future management strategies that will improve triplet and lamb survival in your Merino enterprise that will deliver productivity, profit, and welfare benefits.

## Notes

This image shows a full page of blank, lined paper. It features approximately 20 evenly spaced horizontal grey lines across its entire width, providing a guide for writing. The paper itself is a clean, off-white color. There are no margins, text, or other markings present on the page.



PO Box 1961  
North Sydney NSW 2059  
P: 02 9463 9333  
E: [info@mla.com.au](mailto:info@mla.com.au)  
[mla.com.au](http://mla.com.au)